PART IV PUNITIVE ARTICLES

Discussion

Paragraphs 1 and 2 discuss the two articles of the code that are located in the punitive article subchapter of the code, but which are not punitive as such: Article 77, principals; and Article 79, lesser included offenses.

R.C.M. 307 prescribes rules for preferral of charges. The discussion under that rule explains how to allege violations under the code using the format of charge and specification.

Beginning with paragraph 3, the punitive articles of the code are discussed using the following sequence:

- a. Text of the article
- b. Elements of the offense or offenses
- c. Explanation
- d. Lesser included offenses
- e. Maximum punishment
- f. Sample specifications

The term "elements," as used in Part IV, includes both the statutory elements of the offense and any aggravating factors listed under the President's authority which increases the maximum permissible punishment when specified aggravating factors are pleaded and proven.

The prescriptions of maximum punishments in subparagraph e of each paragraph of this part must be read in conjunction with R.C.M. 1003, which prescribes additional punishments that may be available and additional limitations on punishments. The sample specifications provided in subparagraph f of each paragraph in this part are guides. The specifications may be varied in form and content as necessary. See R.C.M. 307 for additional guidance.

1. Article 77—Principals

- a. *Text.* "Any person punishable under this chapter who—
- (1) commits an offense punishable by this chapter, or aids, abets, counsels, commands, or procures its commission; or
- (2) causes an act to be done which if directly performed by him would be punishable by this chapter; is a principal."

b. Explanation.

(1) *Purpose*. Article 77 does not define an offense. Its purpose is to make clear that a person need not personally perform the acts necessary to constitute an offense to be guilty of it. A person who aids, abets, counsels, commands, or procures the commission of an offense, or who causes an act to be done which, if done by that person directly, would be an offense is equally guilty of the offense as one who

commits it directly, and may be punished to the same extent.

Article 77 eliminates the common law distinctions between principal in the first degree ("perpetrator"); principal in the second degree (one who aids, counsels, commands, or encourages the commission of an offense and who is present at the scene of the crime—commonly known as an "aider and abettor"); and accessory before the fact (one who aids, counsels, commands, or encourages the commission of an offense and who is not present at the scene of the crime). All of these are now "principals."

- (2) Who may be liable for an offense.
- (a) Perpetrator. A perpetrator is one who actually commits the offense, either by the perpetrator's own hand, or by causing an offense to be committed by knowingly or intentionally inducing or setting in motion acts by an animate or inanimate agency or instrumentality which result in the commission of an offense. For example, a person who knowingly conceals contraband drugs in an automobile, and then induces another person, who is unaware and has no reason to know of the presence of drugs, to drive the automobile onto a military installation, is, although not present in the automobile, guilty of wrongful introduction of drugs onto a military installation. (On these facts, the driver would be guilty of no crime.) Similarly, if, upon orders of a superior, a soldier shot a person who appeared to the soldier to be an enemy, but was known to the superior as a friend, the superior would be guilty of murder (but the soldier would be guilty of no offense).
- (b) *Other Parties*. If one is not a perpetrator, to be guilty of an offense committed by the perpetrator, the person must:
- (i) Assist, encourage, advise, instigate, counsel, command, or procure another to commit, or assist, encourage, advise, counsel, or command another in the commission of the offense; and
- (ii) Share in the criminal purpose of design. One who, without knowledge of the criminal venture or plan, unwittingly encourages or renders assistance to another in the commission of an offense is not guilty of a crime. *See* the parentheticals in the examples in paragraph 1b(2)(a) above. In some circumstances, inaction may make one liable as a party, where there is a duty to act. If a person

¶1.b.(2)(b)(ii)

(for example, a security guard) has a duty to interfere in the commission of an offense, but does not interfere, that person is a party to the crime *if* such a noninterference is intended to and does operate as an aid or encouragement to the actual perpetrator.

(3) Presence.

- (a) Not necessary. Presence at the scene of the crime is not necessary to make one a party to the crime and liable as a principal. For example, one who, knowing that person intends to shoot another person and intending that such an assault be carried out, provides the person with a pistol, is guilty of assault when the offense is committed, even though not present at the scene.
- (b) Not sufficient. Mere presence at the scene of a crime does not make one a principal unless the requirements of paragraph 1b(2)(a) or (b) have been met.
- (4) Parties whose intent differs from the perpetrator's. When an offense charged requires proof of a specific intent or particular state of mind as an element, the evidence must prove that the accused had that intent or state of mind, whether the accused is charged as a perpetrator or an "other party" to crime. It is possible for a party to have a state of mind more or less culpable than the perpetrator of the offense. In such a case, the party may be guilty of a more or less serious offense than that committed by the perpetrator. For example, when a homicide is committed, the perpetrator may act in the heat of sudden passion caused by adequate provocation and be guilty of manslaughter, while the party who, without such passion, hands the perpetrator a weapon and encourages the perpetrator to kill the victim, would be guilty of murder. On the other hand, if a party assists a perpetrator in an assault on a person who, known only to the perpetrator, is an officer, the party would be guilty only of assault, while the perpetrator would be guilty of assault on an officer.
- (5) Responsibility for other crimes. A principal may be convicted of crimes committed by another principal if such crimes are likely to result as a natural and probable consequence of the criminal venture or design. For example, the accused who is a party to a burglary is guilty as a principal not only of the offense of burglary, but also, if the perpetrator kills an occupant in the course of the burglary, of

- murder. (see also paragraph 5 concerning liability for offenses committed by co-conspirators.)
- (6) *Principals independently liable*. One may be a principal, even if the perpetrator is not identified or prosecuted, or is acquitted.
- (7) Withdrawal. A person may withdraw from a common venture or design and avoid liability for any offenses committed after the withdrawal. To be effective, the withdrawal must meet the following requirements:
- (a) It must occur before the offense is committed;
- (b) The assistance, encouragement, advice, instigation, counsel, command, or procurement given by the person must be effectively countermanded or negated; and
- (c) The withdrawal must be clearly communicated to the would-be perpetrators or to appropriate law enforcement authorities in time for the perpetrators to abandon the plan or for law enforcement authorities to prevent the offense.

2. Article 78—Accessory after the fact

a. *Text.* "Any person subject to this chapter who, knowing that an offense punishable by this chapter has been committed, receives, comforts, or assists the offender in order to hinder or prevent his apprehension, trial, or punishment shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That an offense punishable by the code was committed by a certain person;
- (2) That the accused knew that this person had committed such offense;
- (3) That thereafter the accused received, comforted, or assisted the offender; and
- (4) That the accused did so for the purpose of hindering or preventing the apprehension, trial, or punishment of the offender.

c. Explanation.

- (1) *In general*. The assistance given a principal by an accessory after the fact is not limited to assistance designed to effect the escape or concealment of the principal, but also includes acts performed to conceal the commission of the offense by the principal (for example, by concealing evidence of the offense).
 - (2) Failure to report offense. The mere failure to

report a known offense will not make one an accessory after the fact. Such failure may violate a general order or regulation, however, and thus constitute an offense under Article 92. See paragraph 16. If the offense involved is a serious offense, failure to report it may constitute the offense of misprision of a serious offense, under Article 134. See paragraph 95.

- (3) Offense punishable by the code. The term "offense punishable by this chapter" in the text of the article means any offense described in the code.
- (4) *Status of principal*. The principal who committed the offense in question need not be subject to the code, but the offense committed must be punishable by the code.
- (5) Conviction or acquittal of principal. The prosecution must prove that a principal committed the offense to which the accused is allegedly an accessory after the fact. However, evidence of the conviction or acquittal of the principal in a separate trial is not admissible to show that the principal did or did not commit the offense. Furthermore, an accused may be convicted as an accessory after the fact despite the acquittal in a separate trial of the principal whom the accused allegedly comforted, received, or assisted.
- (6) Accessory after the fact not a lesser included offense. The offense of being an accessory after the fact is not a lesser included offense of the primary offense.
- (7) Actual knowledge. Actual knowledge is required but may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80- attempts
- e. Maximum punishment. Any person subject to the code who is found guilty as an accessory after the fact to an offense punishable by the code shall be subject to the maximum punishment authorized for the principal offense, except that in no case shall the death penalty nor more than one-half of the maximum confinement authorized for that offense be adjudged, nor shall the period of confinement exceed 10 years in any case, including offenses for which life imprisonment may be adjudged.
- f. Sample specification.

In that			_(personal	jurisdiction	da	ta)
knowing	that	(at/on	board—	location),	on	01
about			2.0			

		had	commit	ted a	n offen	se punis	ha
ble by	the	Uniform	Code	of M	ilitary	Justice,	to
wit:			, did, ((at/on	board	l—locati	on
(subject	t-mat	ter jurisdi	iction d	ata, i	f requi	red), on	О
about_			_ 20			, in	or
der to	(hind	der) (prev	ent) the	e (apj	prehens	sion) (tr	ial
(punish	ment) of the s	aid			_, (recei	ve
(comf	ort)	(assist	the	said	l		
by							

3. Article 79—Conviction of lesser included offenses

- a. *Text.* "An accused may be found guilty of an offense necessarily included in the offense charged or of an attempt to commit either the offense charged or an offense necessarily included therein." b. *Explanation*.
- (1) In general. A lesser offense is included in a charged offense when the specification contains allegations which either expressly or by fair implication put the accused on notice to be prepared to defend against it in addition to the offense specifically charged. This requirement of notice may be met when:
- (a) All of the elements of the lesser offense are included in the greater offense, and the common elements are identical (for example, larceny as a lesser included offense of robbery);
- (b) All of the elements of the lesser offense are included in the greater offense, but one or more elements is legally less serious (for example, house-breaking as a lesser included offense of burglary); or
- (c) All of the elements of the lesser offense are included and necessary parts of the greater offense, but the mental element is legally less serious (for example, wrongful appropriation as a lesser included offense of larceny).

The notice requirement may also be met, depending on the allegations in the specification, even though an included offense requires proof of an element not required in the offense charged. For example, assault with a dangerous weapon may be included in a robbery

(2) Multiple lesser included offenses. When the offense charged is a compound offense comprising two or more included offenses, an accused may be found guilty of any or all of the offenses included in the offense charged. For example, robbery includes both larceny and assault. Therefore, in a proper case,

a court-martial may find an accused not guilty of robbery, but guilty of wrongful appropriation and assault.

(3) Findings of guilty to a lesser included offense. A court-martial may find an accused not guilty of the offense charged, but guilty of a lesser included offense by the process of exception and substitution. The court-martial may except (that is, delete) the words in the specification that pertain to the offense charged and, if necessary, substitute language appropriate to the lesser included offense. For example, the accused is charged with murder in violation of Article 118, but found guilty of voluntary manslaughter in violation of Article 119. Such a finding may be worded as follows:

Of the Specification: Guilty, except the word "murder," substituting therefor the words "willfully and unlawfully kill", of the excepted word, not guilty, of the substituted words, guilty.

Of the Charge: Not guilty, but guilty of a violation of Article 119.

If a court-martial finds an accused guilty of a lesser included offense, the finding as to the charge shall state a violation of the specific punitive article violated and not a violation of Article 79.

(4) Specific lesser included offenses. Specific lesser included offenses, if any, are listed for each offense discussed in this Part, but the lists are not all-inclusive.

4. Article 80—Attempts

a. Text.

- "(a) An act, done with specific intent to commit an offense under this chapter, amounting to more than mere preparation and tending, even though failing, to effect its commission, is an attempt to commit that offense.
- (b) Any person subject to this chapter who attempts to commit any offense punishable by this chapter shall be punished as a court-martial may direct, unless otherwise specifically prescribed.
- (c) Any person subject to this chapter may be

convicted of an attempt to commit an offense although it appears on the trial that the offense was consummated."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused did a certain overt act;
- (2) That the act was done with the specific intent to commit a certain offense under the code;
- (3) That the act amounted to more than mere preparation; and
- (4) That the act apparently tended to effect the commission of the intended offense.

c. Explanation.

- (1) In general. To constitute an attempt there must be a specific intent to commit the offense accompanied by an overt act which directly tends to accomplish the unlawful purpose.
- (2) More than preparation. Preparation consists of devising or arranging the means or measures necessary for the commission of the offense. The overt act required goes beyond preparatory steps and is a direct movement toward the commission of the offense. For example, a purchase of matches with the intent to burn a haystack is not an attempt to commit arson, but it is an attempt to commit arson to applying a burning match to a haystack, even if no fire results. The overt act need not be the last act essential to the consummation of the offense. For example, an accused could commit an overt act, and then voluntarily decide not to go through with the intended offense. An attempt would nevertheless have been committed, for the combination of a specific intent to commit an offense, plus the commission of an overt act directly tending to accomplish it, constitutes the offense of attempt. Failure to complete the offense, whatever the cause, is not a defense.
- (3) Factual impossibility. A person who purposely engages in conduct which would constitute the offense if the attendant circumstances were as that person believed them to be is guilty of an attempt. For example, if A, without justification or excuse and with intent to kill B, points a gun at B and pulls the trigger, A is guilty of attempt to murder, even though, unknown to A, the gun is defective and will not fire. Similarly, a person who reaches into the pocket of another with the intent to steal that person's billfold is guilty of an attempt to commit larceny, even though the pocket is empty.
- (4) Voluntary abandonment. It is a defense to an attempt offense that the person voluntarily and com-

pletely abandoned the intended crime, solely because of the person's own sense that it was wrong, prior to the completion of the crime. The voluntary abandonment defense is not allowed if the abandonment results, in whole or in part, from other reasons, for example, the person feared detection or apprehension, decided to await a better opportunity for success, was unable to complete the crime, or encountered unanticipated difficulties or unexpected resistance. A person who is entitled to the defense of voluntary abandonment may nonetheless be guilty of a lesser included, completed offense. For example, a person who voluntarily abandoned an attempted armed robbery may nonetheless be guilty of assault with a dangerous weapon.

- (5) Solicitation. Soliciting another to commit an offense does not constitute an attempt. See paragraph 6 for a discussion of article 82, solicitation.
- (6) Attempts not under Article 80. While most attempts should be charged under Article 80, the following attempts are specifically addressed by some other article, and should be charged accordingly:
 - (a) Article 85—desertion
 - (b) Article 94—mutiny or sedition.
 - (c) Article 100—subordinate compelling
 - (d) Article 104—aiding the enemy
 - (e) Article 106a—espionage
 - (f) Article 128—assault
- (7) Regulations. An attempt to commit conduct which would violate a lawful general order or regulation under Article 92 (see paragraph 16) should be charged under Article 80. It is not necessary in such cases to prove that the accused intended to violate the order or regulation, but it must be proved that the accused intended to commit the prohibited conduct.
- d. Lesser included offenses. If the accused is charged with an attempt under Article 80, and the offense attempted has a lesser included offense, then the offense of attempting to commit the lesser included offense would ordinarily be a lesser included offense to the charge of attempt. For example, if an accused was charged with attempted larceny, the offense of attempted wrongful appropriation would be a lesser included offense, although it, like the attempted larceny, would be a violation of Article 80.

e. *Maximum punishment*. Any person subject to the code who is found guilty of an attempt under Article 80 to commit any offense punishable by the code shall be subject to the same maximum punishment authorized for the commission of the offense attempted, except that in no case shall the death penalty be adjudged, nor shall any mandatory minimum punishment provisions apply; and in no case, other than attempted murder, shall confinement exceeding 20 years be adjudged.

f. Sample specification.

In that______ (personal jurisdiction data) did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter juris diction data, if required), on or about_______ 20______, attempt to (describe offense with sufficient detail to include expressly or by necessary implication every element).

5. Article 81—Conspiracy

a. *Text.* "Any person subject to this chapter who conspires with any other person to commit an offense under this chapter shall, if one or more of the conspirators does an act to effect the object of the conspiracy, be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused entered into an agreement with one or more persons to commit an offense under the code; and
- (2) That, while the agreement continued to exist, and while the accused remained a party to the agreement, the accused or at least one of the co-conspirators performed an overt act for the purpose of bringing about the object of the conspiracy.

c. Explanation.

(1) Co-conspirators. Two or more persons are required in order to have a conspiracy. Knowledge of the identity of co-conspirators and their particular connection with the criminal purpose need not be established. The accused must be subject to the code, but the other co-conspirators need not be. A person may be guilty of conspiracy although incapable of committing the intended offense. For example, a bedridden conspirator may knowingly furnish the car to be used in a robbery. The joining of another conspirator after the conspiracy has been established does not create a new conspiracy or affect the status of the other conspirators. However,

the conspirator who joined an existing conspiracy can be convicted of this offense only if, at or after the time of joining the conspiracy, an overt act in furtherance of the object of the agreement is committed.

- (2) Agreement. The agreement in a conspiracy need not be in any particular form or manifested in any formal words. It is sufficient if the minds of the parties arrive at a common understanding to accomplish the object of the conspiracy, and this may be shown by the conduct of the parties. The agreement need not state the means by which the conspiracy is to be accomplished or what part each conspirator is to play.
- (3) Object of the agreement. The object of the agreement must, at least in part, involve the commission of one or more offenses under the code. An agreement to commit several offenses is ordinarily but a single conspiracy. Some offenses require two or more culpable actors acting in concert. There can be no conspiracy where the agreement exists only between the persons necessary to commit such an offense. Examples include dueling, bigamy, incest, adultery, and bribery.

(4) Overt act.

- (a) The overt act must be independent of the agreement to commit the offense; must take place at the time of or after the agreement; must be done by one or more of the conspirators, but not necessarily the accused; and must be done to effectuate the object of the agreement.
- (b) The overt act need not be in itself criminal, but it must be a manifestation that the agreement is being executed. Although committing the intended offense may constitute the overt act, it is not essential that the object offense be committed. Any overt act is enough, no matter how preliminary or preparatory in nature, as long as it is a manifestation that the agreement is being executed.
- (c) An overt act by one conspirator becomes the act of all without any new agreement specifically directed to that act and each conspirator is equally guilty even though each does not participate in, or have knowledge of, all of the details of the execution of the conspiracy.
- (5) Liability for offenses. Each conspirator is liable for all offenses committed pursuant to the conspiracy by any of the co-conspirators while the

conspiracy continues and the person remains a party to it.

- (6) Withdrawal. A party to the conspiracy who abandons or withdraws from the agreement to commit the offense before the commission of an overt act by any conspirator is not guilty of conspiracy. An effective withdrawal or abandonment must consist of affirmative conduct which is wholly inconsistent with adherence to the unlawful agreement and which shows that the party has severed all connection with the conspiracy. A conspirator who effectively abandons or withdraws from the conspiracy after the performance of an overt act by one of the conspirators remains guilty of conspiracy and of any offenses committed pursuant to the conspiracy up to the time of the abandonment or withdrawal. However, a person who has abandoned or withdrawn from the conspiracy is not liable for offenses committed thereafter by the remaining conspirators. The withdrawal of a conspirator from the conspiracy does not affect the status of the remaining members.
- (7) Factual impossibility. It is not a defense that the means adopted by the conspirators to achieve their object, if apparently adapted to that end, were actually not capable of success, or that the conspirators were not physically able to accomplish their intended object.
- (8) Conspiracy as a separate offense. A conspiracy to commit an offense is a separate and distinct offense from the offense which is the object of the conspiracy, and both the conspiracy and the consummated offense which was its object may be charged, tried, and punished. The commission of the intended offense may also constitute the overt act which is an element of the conspiracy to commit that offense.
- (9) Special conspiracies under Article 134. The United States Code prohibits conspiracies to commit certain specific offenses which do not require an overt act. These conspiracies should be charged under Article 134. Examples include conspiracies to impede or injure any Federal officer in the discharge of duties under 18 U.S.C. § 372, conspiracies against civil rights under 18 U.S.C. § 241, and certain drug conspiracies under 21 U.S.C. § 846. See paragraph 60c(4)(c)(ii).
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Any person subject to the code who is found guilty of conspiracy shall be subject to the maximum punishment authorized for the offense which is the object of the conspiracy,

except that in no case shall the death penalty be imposed.

f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—lo	cation) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data, if	required), on or
about20_	, con-
spire with	(and)
to commit an offense under	the Uniform Code of
Military Justice, t	o wit: (larceny
of, of a	value of (about)
\$, the	e property
of), and in	order to effect the ob-
ject of the conspiracy the	said
(and) did	

6. Article 82—Solicitation

a. Text

"(a) Any person subject to this chapter who solicits or advises another or other to desert in violation of section 885 of this title (Article 85) or mutiny in violation of section 894 of this title (Article 94) shall, if the offense solicited or advised is attempted or committed, be punished with the punishment provided for the commission of the offense, but, if the offense solicited or advised is not committed or attempted, he shall be punished as a court-martial may direct.

(b) Any person subject to this chapter who solicits or advises another or others to commit an act of misbehavior before the enemy in violation of section 899 of this title (Article 99) or sedition in violation of section 894 of this title (Article 94) shall, if the offense solicited or advised is committed, be punished with the punishment provided for the commission of the offense, but, if the offense solicited or advised is not committed, he shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused solicited or advised a certain person or persons to commit any of the four offenses named in Article 82; and
- (2) That the accused did so with the intent that the offense actually be committed.

[Note: If the offense solicited or advised was attempted or committed, add the following element]

(3) That the offense solicited or advised was (committed) (attempted) as the proximate result of the solicitation.

c. Explanation.

- (1) Instantaneous offense. The offense is complete when a solicitation is made or advice is given with the specific wrongful intent to influence another or others to commit any of the four offenses named in Article 82. It is not necessary that the person or persons solicited or advised agree to or act upon the solicitation or advice.
- (2) Form of solicitation. Solicitation may be by means other than word of mouth or writing. Any act or conduct which reasonably may be construed as a serious request or advice to commit one of the four offenses named in Article 82 may constitute solicitation. It is not necessary that the accused act alone in the solicitation or in the advising; the accused may act through other persons in committing this offense.
- (3) Solicitations in violation of Article 134. Solicitation to commit offenses other than violations of the four offenses named in Article 82 may be charged as violations of Article 134. See paragraph 105. However, some offenses require, as an element of proof, some act of solicitation by the accused. These offenses are separate and distinct from solicitations under Articles 82 and 134. When the accused's act of solicitation constitutes, by itself, a separate offense, the accused should be charged with that separate, distinct offense—for example, pandering (see paragraph 97) and obstruction of justice (see paragraph 96) in violation of Article 134.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. Maximum punishment. If the offense solicited or advised is committed or (in the case of soliciting desertion or mutiny) attempted, then the accused shall be punished with the punishment provided for the commission of the offense solicited or advised. If the offense solicited or advised is not committed or (in the case of soliciting desertion or mutiny) attempted, then the following punishment may be imposed:
- (1) To desert—Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.
- (2) To mutiny—Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.
- (3) To commit an act of misbehavior before the enemy—Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.
 - (4) To commit an act of sedition—Dishonorable

discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.

f. Sample specifications.

(1) For soliciting desertion (Article 85) or mutiny (Article 94).

In that_ ___ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board-location), on or ____ 20___ ______, (a time of war) by (here state the manner and form of solicitation or advice), (solicit) (advise)_ _____) to (desert in violation of Article 85) (mutiny in violation of Article 94) [Note: If the offense solicited or advised is attempted or committed, add the following at the end of the *specification:*] and, as a result of such (solicitation) (advice), the offense (solicited) (advised) was, on or board—location), (attempted) (committed)

(2) For soliciting an act of misbehavior before the enemy (Article 99) or sedition (Article 94).

In that ______ (personal jurisdiction data) did, (at/on board—location), on or about ______ 20 _____, (a time of war) by (here state the manner and form of solicitation or advice), (solicit) (advise),______ (and______) to commit (an act of misbehavior before the enemy in violation of Article 99) (sedition in violation of Article 94)

[Note: If the offense solicited or advised is commit-

[Note: If the offense solicited or advised is committed, add the following at the end of the specification:]

7. Article 83—Fraudulent enlistment, appointment, or separation

a. Text.

"Any person who-

- (1) procures his own enlistment or appointment in the armed forces by knowingly false representation or deliberate concealment as to his qualifications for that enlistment or appointment and receives pay or allowances thereunder; or
 - (2) procures his own separation from the armed

forces by knowingly false representation or deliberate concealment as to his eligibility for that separation;

shall be punished as a court-martial may direct." b. *Elements*.

- (1) Fraudulent enlistment or appointment.
- (a) That the accused was enlisted or appointed in an armed force;
- (b) That the accused knowingly misrepresented or deliberately concealed a certain material fact or facts regarding qualifications of the accused for enlistment or appointment;
- (c) That the accused's enlistment or appointment was obtained or procured by that knowingly false representation or deliberate concealment; and
- (d) That under this enlistment or appointment that accused received pay or allowances or both.
 - (2) Fraudulent separation.
- (a) That the accused was separated from an armed force;
- (b) That the accused knowingly misrepresented or deliberately concealed a certain material fact or facts about the accused's eligibility for separation; and
- (c) That the accused's separation was obtained or procured by that knowingly false representation or deliberate concealment.

c. Explanation.

- (1) In general. A fraudulent enlistment, appointment, or separation is one procured by either a knowingly false representation as to any of the qualifications prescribed by law, regulation, or orders for the specific enlistment, appointment, or separation, or a deliberate concealment as to any of those disqualifications. Matters that may be material to an enlistment, appointment, or separation include any information used by the recruiting, appointing, or separating officer in reaching a decision as to enlistment, appointment, or separation in any particular case, and any information that normally would have been so considered had it been provided to that officer.
- (2) Receipt of pay or allowances. A member of the armed forces who enlists or accepts an appointment without being regularly separated from a prior enlistment or appointment should be charged under Article 83 only if that member has received pay or allowances under the fraudulent enlistment or ap-

pointment. Acceptance of food, clothing, shelter, or transportation from the government constitutes receipt of allowances. However, whatever is furnished the accused while in custody, confinement, arrest, or other restraint pending trial for fraudulent enlistment or appointment is not considered an allowance. The receipt of pay or allowances may be proved by circumstantial evidence.

- (3) One offense. One who procures one's own enlistment, appointment, or separation by several misrepresentations or concealment as to qualifications for the one enlistment, appointment, or separation so procured, commits only one offense under Article 83.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80—attempts e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Fraudulent enlistment or appointment. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- (2) Fraudulent separation. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specifications.
- (1) For fraudulent enlistment or appointment. In that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location), on or about_____, by means of (knowingly false representations that (here state the fact or facts material to qualification for enlistment or appointment which were represented), when in fact (here state the true fact of facts)) (deliberate concealment of the fact that (here state the fact or facts disqualifying the accused for enlistment or appointment which were concealed)), procure himself/herself to be (enlisted as a_____ (appointed as a_____) in the (here state the armed force in which the accused procured the enlistment or appointment), and did thereafter, (at/on board—location), receive (pay) (allowances) (pay and allowances) under the enlistment) (appointment) so procured.

(2) For fraudulent separation.

In that______ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location), on or about______ 20_____, by means of (knowingly false representations that (here state the fact or facts material to eligibility for separation which were represented), when in fact (here state the true fact or facts)) (deliberate concealment

of the fact that (here state the fact or facts concealed which made the accused ineligible for separation)), procure himself/herself to be separated from the (here state the armed force from which the accused procured his/her separation).

8. Article 84—Effecting unlawful enlistment, appointment, or separation

a. *Text.* "Any person subject to this chapter who effects an enlistment or appointment in or a separation from the armed forces of any person who is known to him to be ineligible for that enlistment, appointment, or separation because it is prohibited by law, regulation, or order shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

- (1) That the accused effected the enlistment, appointment, or separation of the person named;
- (2) That this person was ineligible for this enlistment, appointment, or separation because it was prohibited by law, regulation, or order; and
- (3) That the accused knew of the ineligibility at the time of the enlistment, appointment, or separation.
- c. Explanation. It must be proved that the enlistment, appointment, or separation was prohibited by law, regulation, or order when effected and that the accused then knew that the person enlisted, appointed, or separated was ineligible for the enlistment, appointment, or separation.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal jurisdict	ion da-
ta), did, (at/on	board—location),	on or
about		effect
(the (enlistment) (ap	pointment) of	
as a	_ in (here state the arme	d force
in which the person	was enlisted or appointed	d)) (the
separation of	from (here st	ate the
armed force from wh	nich the person was sepa	rated)),
then well knowing	that the said	
was ineligible for s	uch (enlistment) (appoin	ntment)
(separation) because	(here state facts where	eby the

enlistment, appointment, or separation was prohibited by law, regulation, or order).

9. Article 85—Desertion

a. Text.

- "(a) Any member of the armed forces who—
- (1) without authority goes or remains absent from his unit, organization, or place of duty with intent to remain away therefrom permanently;
- (2) quits his unit, organization, or place of duty with intent to avoid hazardous duty or to shirk important service; or
- (3) without being regularly separated from one of the armed forces enlists or accepts an appointment in the same or another one of the armed forces without fully disclosing the fact that he has not been regularly separated, or enters any foreign armed service except when authorized by the United States [Note: This provision has been held not to state a separate offense by the United States Court of Military Appeals in *United States v. Huff*, 7 U.S.C.M.A. 247, 22 C.M.R. 37 (1956)];

is guilty of desertion.

- (b) Any commissioned officer of the armed forces who, after tender of his resignation and before notice of its acceptance, quits his post or proper duties without leave and with intent to remain away therefrom permanently is guilty of desertion.
- (c) Any person found guilty of desertion or attempt to desert shall be punished, if the offense is committed in time of war, by death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct, but if the desertion or attempt to desert occurs at any other time, by such punishment, other than death, as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

IV-10

- (1) Desertion with intent to remain away permanently.
- (a) That the accused absented himself or herself from his or her unit, organization, or place of duty;
 - (b) That such absence was without authority;
- (c) That the accused, at the time the absence began or at some time during the absence, intended to remain away from his or her unit, organization, or place of duty permanently; and
- (d) That the accused remained absent until the date alleged.

[Note: If the absence was terminated by apprehen-

sion, add the following element]

- (e) That the accused's absence was terminated by apprehension.
- (2) Desertion with intent to avoid hazardous duty or to shirk important service.
- (a) That the accused quit his or her unit, organization, or other place of duty;
- (b) That the accused did so with the intent to avoid a certain duty or shirk a certain service;
- (c) That the duty to be performed was hazardous or the service important;
- (d) That the accused knew that he or she would be required for such duty or service; and
- (e) That the accused remained absent until the date alleged.
- (3) Desertion before notice of acceptance of resignation.
- (a) That the accused was a commissioned officer of an armed force of the United States, and had tendered his or her resignation;
- (b) That before he or she received notice of the acceptance of the resignation, the accused quit his or her post or proper duties;
- (c) That the accused did so with the intent to remain away permanently from his or her post or proper duties; and
- (d) That the accused remained absent until the date alleged.

[Note: If the absence was terminated by apprehension, add the following element]

- (e) That the accused's absence was terminated by apprehension.
 - (4) Attempted desertion.
 - (a) That the accused did a certain overt act;
- (b) That the act was done with the specific intent to desert;
- (c) That the act amounted to more than mere preparation; and
- (d) That the act apparently tended to effect the commission of the offense of desertion.

c. Explanation.

- (1) Desertion with intent to remain away permanently.
- (a) In general. Desertion with intent to remain away permanently is complete when the person absents himself or herself without authority from his or her unit, organization, or place of duty, with the

intent to remain away therefrom permanently. A prompt repentance and return, while material in extenuation, is no defense. It is not necessary that the person be absent entirely from military jurisdiction and control.

- (b) Absence without authority —inception, duration, termination. See paragraph 10c.
 - (c) Intent to remain away permanently.
- (i) The intent to remain away permanently from the unit, organization, or place of duty may be formed any time during the unauthorized absence. The intent need not exist throughout the absence, or for any particular period of time, as long as it exists at some time during the absence.
- (ii) The accused must have intended to remain away permanently from the unit, organization, or place of duty. When the accused had such an intent, it is no defense that the accused also intended to report for duty elsewhere, or to enlist or accept an appointment in the same or a different armed force.
- (iii) The intent to remain away permanently may be established by circumstantial evidence. Among the circumstances from which an inference may be drawn that an accused intended to remain absent permanently or; that the period of absence was lengthy; that the accused attempted to, or did, dispose of uniforms or other military property; that the accused purchased a ticket for a distant point or was arrested, apprehended, or surrendered a considerable distance from the accused's station; that the accused could have conveniently surrendered to military control but did not; that the accused was dissatisfied with the accused's unit, ship, or with military service; that the accused made remarks indicating an intention to desert; that the accused was under charges or had escaped from confinement at the time of the absence; that the accused made preparations indicative of an intent not to return (for example, financial arrangements); or that the accused enlisted or accepted an appointment in the same or another armed force without disclosing the fact that the accused had not been regularly separated, or entered any foreign armed service without being authorized by the United States. On the other hand, the following are included in the circumstances which may tend to negate an inference that the accused intended to remain away permanently: previous long and excellent service; that the accused left valuable per-

sonal property in the unit or on the ship; or that the accused was under the influence of alcohol or drugs during the absence. These lists are illustrative only.

- (iv) Entries on documents, such as personnel accountability records, which administratively refer to an accused as a "deserter" are not evidence of intent to desert.
- (v) Proof of, or a plea of guilty to, an unauthorized absence, even of extended duration, does not, without more, prove guilt of desertion.
- (d) Effect of enlistment or appointment in the same or a different armed force. Article 85a(3) does not state a separate offense. Rather, it is a rule of evidence by which the prosecution may prove intent to remain away permanently. Proof of an enlistment or acceptance of an appointment in a service without disclosing a preexisting duty status in the same or a different service provides the basis from which an inference of intent to permanently remain away from the earlier unit, organization, or place of duty may be drawn. Furthermore, if a person, without being regularly separated from one of the armed forces, enlists or accepts an appointment in the same or another armed force, the person's presence in the military service under such an enlistment or appointment is not a return to military control and does not terminate any desertion or absence without authority from the earlier unit or organization, unless the facts of the earlier period of service are known to military authorities. If a person, while in desertion, enlists or accepts an appointment in the same or another armed force, and deserts while serving the enlistment or appointment, the person may be tried and convicted for each desertion.
- (2) Quitting unit, organization, or place of duty with intent to avoid hazardous duty or to shirk important service.
- (a) Hazardous duty or important service. "Hazardous duty" or "important service" may include service such as duty in a combat or other dangerous area; embarkation for certain foreign or sea duty; movement to a port of embarkation for that purpose; entrainment for duty on the border or coast in time of war or threatened invasion or other disturbances; strike or riot duty; or employment in aid of the civil power in, for example, protecting property, or quelling or preventing disorder in times of great public disaster. Such services as drill, target practice, maneuvers, and practice marches are not ordinarily "hazardous duty or important service."

Whether a duty is hazardous or a service is important depends upon the circumstances of the particular case, and is a question of fact for the courtmartial to decide.

- (b) *Quits*. "Quits" in Article 85 means "goes absent without authority."
- (c) Actual knowledge. Article 85 a(2) requires proof that the accused actually knew of the hazardous duty or important service. Actual knowledge may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
- (3) Attempting to desert. Once the attempt is made, the fact that the person desists, voluntarily or otherwise, does not cancel the offense. The offense is complete, for example, if the person, intending to desert, hides in an empty freight car on a military reservation, intending to escape by being taken away in the car. Entering the car with the intent to desert is the overt act. For a more detailed discussion of attempts, see paragraph 4. For an explanation concerning intent to remain away permanently, see subparagraph 9c(1)(c).
- (4) Prisoner with executed punitive discharge. A prisoner whose dismissal or dishonorable or bad-conduct discharge has been executed is not a "member of the armed forces" within the meaning of Articles 85 or 86, although the prisoner may still be subject to military law under Article 2(a)(7). If the facts warrant, such a prisoner could be charged with escape from confinement under Article 95 or an offense under Article 134.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 86—absence without leave
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Completed or attempted desertion with intent to avoid hazardous duty or to shirk important service. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- (2) Other cases of completed or attempted desertion.
- (a) *Terminated by apprehension*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.
- (b) *Terminated otherwise*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- (3) *In time of war*. Death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct.
- f. Sample specifications.

(1) Desertion with intent to remain away per-
manently.
In that (personal jurisdiction da-
ta), did, on or about
20, (a time of war) without author-
ity and with intent to remain away therefrom per-
manently, absent himself/herself from his/her (unit)
(organization) (place of duty), to
wit:, located at
(), and did remain so absent in de-
sertion until (he/she was apprehended) on or
about20
(2) Desertion with intent to avoid hazardous duty
or shirk important service.
In that (personal jurisdiction da-
ta), did, on or about
20, (a time of war) with intent to
(avoid hazardous duty) (shirk important service),
namely:, quit his/her (unit) (organi-
zation) (place of duty), to wit:, lo-
cated at (), and did remain so
cated at (), and did remain so absent in desertion until on or
about20
(3) Desertion prior to acceptance of resignation
(3) Desertion prior to acceptance of resignation.
In that (personal jurisdiction da-
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20, (a time of war) without leave and with intent to remain
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20, (a time of war) without leave and with intent to remain
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20, (a time of war) without leave and with intent to remain away therefrom permanently, quit his/her (post)
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20, (a time of war) without leave and with intent to remain away therefrom permanently, quit his/her (post) (proper duties), to wit:, and did remain so absent in desertion until (he/she was ap-
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20, (a time of war) without leave and with intent to remain away therefrom permanently, quit his/her (post) (proper duties), to wit:, and did remain so absent in desertion until (he/she was apprehended) on or about
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20, (a time of war) without leave and with intent to remain away therefrom permanently, quit his/her (post) (proper duties), to wit:, and did remain so absent in desertion until (he/she was apprehended) on or about
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20, (a time of war) without leave and with intent to remain away therefrom permanently, quit his/her (post) (proper duties), to wit:, and did remain so absent in desertion until (he/she was apprehended) on or about
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20, (a time of war) without leave and with intent to remain away therefrom permanently, quit his/her (post) (proper duties), to wit:, and did remain so absent in desertion until (he/she was apprehended) on or about
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20, (a time of war) without leave and with intent to remain away therefrom permanently, quit his/her (post) (proper duties), to wit:, and did remain so absent in desertion until (he/she was apprehended) on or about
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20, (a time of war) without leave and with intent to remain away therefrom permanently, quit his/her (post) (proper duties), to wit:, and did remain so absent in desertion until (he/she was apprehended) on or about (4) Attempted desertion. In that (personal jurisdiction data), did (at/on board-location), on or
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20
In that
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20, (a time of war) without leave and with intent to remain away therefrom permanently, quit his/her (post) (proper duties), to wit:, and did remain so absent in desertion until (he/she was apprehended) on or about
In that (personal jurisdiction data) having tendered his/her resignation and prior to due notice of the acceptance of the same, did, on or about 20, (a time of war) without leave and with intent to remain away therefrom permanently, quit his/her (post) (proper duties), to wit:, and did remain so absent in desertion until (he/she was apprehended) on or about (4) Attempted desertion. In that (personal jurisdiction data), did (at/on board-location), on or about
In that

10. Article 86-Absence without leave

a. Text.

"Any member of the armed forces who, without authority—

- (1) fails to go to his appointed place of duty at the time prescribed;
 - (2) goes from that place; or
- (3) absents himself or remains absent from his unit, organization, or place of duty at which he is required to be at the time prescribed; shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) Failure to go to appointed place of duty.
- (a) That a certain authority appointed a certain time and place of duty for the accused;
- (b) That the accused knew of that time and place; and
- (c) That the accused, without authority, failed to go to the appointed place of duty at the time prescribed.
 - (2) Going from appointed place of duty.
- (a) That a certain authority appointed a certain time and place of duty for the accused;
- (b) That the accused knew of that time and place; and
- (c) That the accused, without authority, went from the appointed place of duty after having reported at such place.
- (3) Absence from unit, organization, or place of duty.
- (a) That the accused absented himself or herself from his or her unit, organization, or place of duty at which he or she was required to be;
- (b) That the absence was without authority from anyone competent to give him or her leave; and
- (c) That the absence was for a certain period of time.

[Note: if the absence was terminated by apprehension, add the following element]

- (d) That the absence was terminated by apprehension.
 - (4) Abandoning watch or guard.
- (a) That the accused was a member of a guard, watch, or duty;
- (b) That the accused absented himself or herself from his or her guard, watch, or duty section;

- (c) That absence of the accused was without authority; and
- [Note: If the absence was with intent to abandon the accused's guard, watch, or duty section, add the following element]
- (d) That the accused intended to abandon his or her guard, watch, or duty section.
- (5) Absence from unit, organization, or place of duty with intent to avoid maneuvers or field exercises
- (a) That the accused absented himself or herself from his or her unit, organization, or place of duty at which he or she was required to be;
- (b) That the absence of the accused was without authority;
- (c) That the absence was for a certain period of time;
- (d) That the accused knew that the absence would occur during a part of a period of maneuvers or field exercises; and
- (e) That the accused intended to avoid all or part of a period of maneuvers or field exercises.c. *Explanation*.
- (1) In general. This article is designed to cover every case not elsewhere provided for in which any member of the armed forces is through the member's own fault not at the place where the member is required to be at a prescribed time. It is not necessary that the person be absent entirely from military jurisdiction and control. The first part of this article—relating to the appointed place of duty—applies whether the place is appointed as a rendezvous for several or for one only.
- (2) Actual knowledge. The offenses of failure to go to and going from appointed place of duty require proof that the accused actually knew of the appointed time and place of duty. The offense of absence from unit, organization, or place of duty with intent to avoid maneuvers or field exercises requires proof that the accused actually knew that the absence would occur during a part of a period of maneuvers or field exercises. Actual knowledge may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
- (3) *Intent.* Specific intent is not an element of unauthorized absence. Specific intent is an element for certain aggravated unauthorized absences.
- (4) Aggravated forms of unauthorized absence. There are variations of unauthorized absence under Article 86(3) which are more serious because of

aggravating circumstances such as duration of the absence, a special type of duty from which the accused absents himself or herself, and a particular specific intent which accompanies the absence. These circumstances are not essential elements of a violation of Article 86. They simply constitute special matters in aggravation. The following are aggravated unauthorized absences:

- (a) Unauthorized absence for more than 3 days (duration).
- (b) Unauthorized absence for more than 30 days (duration).
- (c) Unauthorized absence from a guard, watch, or duty (special type of duty).
- (d) Unauthorized absence from guard, watch, or duty section with the intent to abandon it (special type of duty and specific intent).
- (e) Unauthorized absence with the intent to avoid maneuvers or field exercises (special type of duty and specific intent).
- (5) Control by civilian authorities. A member of the armed forces turned over to the civilian authorities upon request under Article 14 (see R.C.M. 106) is not absent without leave while held by them under that delivery. When a member of the armed forces, being absent with leave, or absent without leave, is held, tried, and acquitted by civilian authorities, the member's status as absent with leave, or absent without leave, is not thereby changed, regardless how long held. The fact that a member of the armed forces is convicted by the civilian authorities, or adjudicated to be a juvenile offender, or the case is "diverted" out of the regular criminal process for a probationary period does not excuse any unauthorized absence, because the member's inability to return was the result of willful misconduct. If a member is released by the civilian authorities without trial, and was on authorized leave at the time of arrest or detention, the member may be found guilty of unauthorized absence only if it is proved that the member actually committed the offense for which detained, thus establishing that the absence was the result of the member's own misconduct.
- (6) Inability to return. The status of absence without leave is not changed by an inability to return through sickness, lack of transportation facilities, or other disabilities. But the fact that all or part of a period of unauthorized absence was in a sense enforced or involuntary is a factor in extenuation and

- should be given due weight when considering the initial disposition of the offense. When, however, a person on authorized leave, without fault, is unable to return at the expiration thereof, that person has not committed the offense of absence without leave.
- (7) Determining the unit or organization of an accused. A person undergoing transfer between activities is ordinarily considered to be attached to the activity to which ordered to report. A person on temporary additional duty continues as a member of the regularly assigned unit and if the person is absent from the temporary duty assignment, the person becomes absent without leave from both units, and may be charged with being absent without leave from either unit.
- (8) Duration. Unauthorized absence under Article 86(3) is an instantaneous offense. It is complete at the instant an accused absents himself or herself without authority. Duration of the absence is a matter in aggravation for the purpose of increasing the maximum punishment authorized for the offense. Even if the duration of the absence is not over 3 days, it is ordinarily alleged in an Article 86(3) specification. If the duration is not alleged or if alleged but not proved, an accused can be convicted of and punished for only 1 day of unauthorized absence.
- (9) Computation of duration. In computing the duration of an unauthorized absence, any one continuous period of absence found that totals not more than 24 hours is counted as 1 day; any such period that totals more than 24 hours and not more than 48 hours is counted as 2 days, and so on. The hours of departure and return on different dates are assumed to be the same if not alleged and proved. For example, if an accused is found guilty of unauthorized absence from 0600 hours, 4 April, to 1000 hours, 7 April of the same year (76 hours), the maximum punishment would be based on an absence of 4 days. However, if the accused is found guilty simply of unauthorized absence from 4 April to 7 April, the maximum punishment would be based on an absence of 3 days.
- (10) Termination—methods of return to military control.
- (a) Surrender to military authority. A surrender occurs when a person presents himself or herself to any military authority, whether or not a member of the same armed force, notifies that authority of his or her unauthorized absence status, and submits or

demonstrates a willingness to submit to military control. Such a surrender terminates the unauthorized absence.

- (b) Apprehension by military authority. Apprehension by military authority of a known absentee terminates an unauthorized absence.
- (c) Delivery to military authority. Delivery of a known absentee by anyone to military authority terminates the unauthorized absence.
- (d) Apprehension by civilian authorities at the request of the military. When an absentee is taken into custody by civilian authorities at the request of military authorities, the absence is terminated.
- (e) Apprehension by civilian authorities without prior military request. When an absentee is in the hands of civilian authorities for other reasons and these authorities make the absentee available for return to military control, the absence is terminated when the military authorities are informed of the absentee's availability.
- (11) Findings of more than one absence under one specification. An accused may properly be found guilty of two or more separate unauthorized absences under one specification, provided that each absence is included within the period alleged in the specification and provided that the accused was not misled. If an accused is found guilty of two or more unauthorized absences under a single specification, the maximum authorized punishment shall not exceed that authorized if the accused had been found guilty as charged in the specification.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Failing to go to, or going from, the appointed place of duty. Confinement for 1 month and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 1 month.
- (2) Absence from unit, organization, or other place of duty.
- (a) For not more than 3 days. Confinement for 1 month and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 1 month.
- (b) For more than 3 days but not more than 30 days. Confinement for 6 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 6months.
- (c) For more than 30 days. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
 - (d) For more than 30 days and terminated by

apprehension. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 18

- (3) From guard or watch. Confinement for 3 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 3 months.
- (4) From guard or watch with intent to abandon. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- (5) With intent to avoid maneuvers or field exercises Bad-conduct discharge forfeiture of all pay

and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
f. Sample specifications.
(1) Failing to go or leaving place of duty. In that (personal jurisdiction da-
ta), did (at/on board—location), on or
about20, without
authority, (fail to go at the time prescribed to) (go from) his/her appointed place of duty, to wit: (here set forth the appointed place of duty).
(2) Absence from unit, organization, or place of
duty.
In that (personal jurisdiction da-
ta), did, on or about
20
and did remain so absent until (he/she was apprehended) on or about
20
(3) Absence from unit, organization, or place of duty with intent to avoid maneuvers or field exer-
cises.
In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, on or about
20 without authority and with in-
20 . WILHOUL AUTHORITY AND WITH III-

tent to avoid (maneuvers) (field exercises), absent

himself/herself from his/her (unit) (organization)

without authority, go from his/her (guard) (watch) (duty section) (with intent to abandon the same).

11. Article 87—Missing movement

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who through neglect or design misses the movement of a ship, aircraft, or unit with which he is required in the course of duty to move shall be punished as a courtmartial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused was required in the course of duty to move with a ship, aircraft or unit;
- (2) That the accused knew of the prospective movement of the ship, aircraft or unit;
- (3) That the accused missed the movement of the ship, aircraft or unit; and
- (4) That the accused missed the movement through design or neglect.

c. Explanation.

(1) Movement. "Movement" as used in Article 87 includes a move, transfer, or shift of a ship, aircraft, or unit involving a substantial distance and period of time. Whether a particular movement is substantial is a question to be determined by the court-martial considering all the circumstances. Changes which do not constitute a "movement" include practice marches of a short duration with a return to the point of departure, and minor changes in location of ships, aircraft, or units, as when a ship is shifted from one berth to another in the same shipyard or harbor or when a unit is moved from one barracks to another on the same post.

(2) Mode of movement.

- (a) *Unit*. If a person is required in the course of duty to move with a unit, the mode of travel is not important, whether it be military or commercial, and includes travel by ship, train, aircraft, truck, bus, or walking. The word "unit" is not limited to any specific technical category such as those listed in a table of organization and equipment, but also includes units which are created before the movement with the intention that they have organizational continuity upon arrival at their destination regardless of their technical designation, and units intended to be disbanded upon arrival at their destination.
- (b) Ship, aircraft. If a person is assigned as a crew member or is ordered to move as a passenger

aboard a particular ship or aircraft, military or chartered, then missing the particular sailing or flight is essential to establish the offense of missing movement.

- (3) *Design*. "Design" means on purpose, intentionally, or according to plan and requires specific intent to miss the movement.
- (4) Neglect. "Neglect" means the omission to take such measures as are appropriate under the circumstances to assure presence with a ship, aircraft, or unit at the time of a scheduled movement, or doing some act without giving attention to its probable consequences in connection with the prospective movement, such as a departure from the vicinity of the prospective movement to such a distance as would make it likely that one could not return in time for the movement.
- (5) Actual knowledge. In order to be guilty of the offense, the accused must have actually known of the prospective movement that was missed. Knowledge of the exact hour or even of the exact date of the scheduled movement is not required. It is sufficient if the approximate date was known by the accused as long as there is a causal connection between the conduct of the accused and the missing of the scheduled movement. Knowledge may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
- (6) *Proof of absence*. That the accused actually missed the movement may be proved by documentary evidence, as by a proper entry in a log or a morning report. This fact may also be proved by the testimony of personnel of the ship, aircraft, or unit (or by other evidence) that the movement occurred at a certain time, together with evidence that the accused was physically elsewhere at that time.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Design.
- (a) Article 87—missing movement through neglect
 - (b) Article 86—absence without authority
 - (c) Article 80—attempts
- (2) Neglect. Article 86—absence without authority
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) *Design*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- (2) *Neglect*. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.

f. Sample specification.

In that______ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location), on or about_____ 20_____, through (neglect) (design) miss the movement of (Aircraft No.______) (Flight______) (the USS______) (Company A, 1st Battalion, 7th Infantry) (_______) with which he/she was required in the course of duty to move.

12. Article 88—Contempt toward officials

a. Text.

"Any commissioned officer who uses contemptuous words against the President, the Vice President, Congress, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of a military department, the Secretary of Transportation, or the Governor or legislature of any State, Territory, Commonwealth, or possession in which he is on duty or present shall be punished as a courtmartial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused was a commissioned officer of the United States armed forces;
- (2) That the accused used certain words against an official or legislature named in the article;
- (3) That by an act of the accused these words came to the knowledge of a person other than the accused; and
- (4) That the words used were contemptuous, either in themselves or by virtue of the circumstances under which they were used.

[Note: If the words were against a Governor or legislature, add the following element]

- (5) That the accused was then present in the State, Territory, Commonwealth, or possession of the Governor or legislature concerned.
- c. Explanation. The official or legislature against whom the words are used must be occupying one of the offices or be one of the legislatures named in Article 88 at the time of the offense. Neither "Congress" nor "legislature" includes its members individually. "Governor" does not include "lieutenant governor." It is immaterial whether the words are used against the official in an official or private capacity. If not personally contemptuous, adverse criticism of one of the officials or legislatures named in the article in the course of a political discussion, even though emphatically expressed, may not be charged as a violation of the article.

Similarly, expressions of opinion made in a purely private conversation should not ordinarily be charged. Giving broad circulation to a written publication containing contemptuous words of the kind made punishable by this article, or the utterance of contemptuous words of this kind in the presence of military subordinates, aggravates the offense. The truth or falsity of the statements is immaterial.

- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dismissal, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- f. Sample specification.

1 1 3	
In that	(personal jurisdiction da-
ta), did, (at/on	board-location), on or
about	
	() the follow-
	ords] [in a contemptuous man-
	oublicly) () the
	inst the [(President) (Vice Pres-
	Secretary of)]
_	gislature) of the (State
	(Territory of)
	a (State) (Territory)
()	in which he/she, the
said, v	vas then (on duty), (present)], to
	," or words to that effect.

13. Article 89—Disrespect toward a superior commissioned officer

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who behaves with disrespect toward his superior commissioned officer shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

- (1) That the accused did or omitted certain acts or used certain language to or concerning a certain commissioned officer;
- (2) That such behavior or language was directed toward that officer;
- (3) That the officer toward whom the acts, omissions, or words were directed was the superior commissioned officer of the accused:
- (4) That the accused then knew that the commissioned officer toward whom the acts, omissions, or words were directed was the accused's superior commissioned officer; and
 - (5) That, under the circumstances, the behavior or

language was disrespectful to that commissioned officer.

c. Explanation.

- (1) Superior commissioned officer.
- (a) Accused and victim in same armed force. If the accused and the victim are in the same armed force, the victim is a "superior commissioned officer" of the accused when either superior in rank or command to the accused; however, the victim is not a "superior commissioned officer" of the accused if the victim is inferior in command, even though superior in rank.
- (b) Accused and victim in different armed forces. If the accused and the victim are in different armed forces, the victim is a "superior commissioned officer" of the accused when the victim is a commissioned officer and superior in the chain of command over the accused or when the victim, not a medical officer or a chaplain, is senior in grade to the accused and both are detained by a hostile entity so that recourse to the normal chain of command is prevented. The victim is not a "superior commissioned officer" of the accused merely because the victim is superior in grade to the accused.
- (c) Execution of office. It is not necessary that the "superior commissioned officer" be in the execution of office at the time of the disrespectful behavior.
- (2) Knowledge. If the accused did not know that the person against whom the acts or words were directed was the accused's superior commissioned officer, the accused may not be convicted of a violation of this article. Knowledge may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
- (3) Disrespect. Disrespectful behavior is that which detracts from the respect due the authority and person of a superior commissioned officer. It may consist of acts or language, however expressed, and it is immaterial whether they refer to the superior as an officer or as a private individual. Disrespect by words may be conveyed by abusive epithets or other contemptuous or denunciatory language. Truth is no defense. Disrespect by acts includes neglecting the customary salute, or showing a marked disdain, indifference, insolence, impertinence, undue familiarity, or other rudeness in the presence of the superior officer.
- (4) *Presence*. It is not essential that the disrespectful behavior be in the presence of the superior,

but ordinarily one should not be held accountable under this article for what was said or done in a purely private conversation.

- (5) Special defense—unprotected victim. A superior commissioned officer whose conduct in relation to the accused under all the circumstances departs substantially from the required standards appropriate to that officer's rank or position under similar circumstances loses the protection of this article. That accused may not be convicted of being disrespectful to the officer who has so lost the entitlement to respect protected by Article 89.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Article 117—provoking speeches or gestures
 - (2) Article 80—attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	(pe	rsonal juriso	diction da-
ta), did, (at/on	board—	-location), on or
about	20		, behave
himself/hersel	lf with	disresp	ect to-
ward	, his/he	er superior	commis-
sioned offices	r, then	known	by the
said	_ to be his	/her superio	or commis-
sioned officer,	by (sa	ying to	him/her
"	or words	to that eff	fect) (con-
temptuously turning			
he/she, the said		•	
him/her, th			
(),			,

14. Article 90—Assaulting or willfully disobeying superior commissioned officer

a. Text. "Any person subject to this chapter who—

- (1) strikes his superior commissioned officer or draws or lifts up any weapon or offers any violence against him while he is in the execution of his office; or
- (2) willfully disobeys a lawful command of his superior commissioned officer; shall be punished, if the offense is committed in time of war, by death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct, and if the offense is committed at any other time, by such punishment, other than death, as a court-martial may direct."
- b. Elements.

- (1) Striking or assaulting superior commissioned officer.
- (a) That the accused struck, drew, or lifted up a weapon against, or offered violence against, a certain commissioned officer;
- (b) That the officer was the superior commissioned officer of the accused:
- (c) That the accused then knew that the officer was the accused's superior commissioned officer; and
- (d) That the superior commissioned officer was then in the execution of office.
 - (2) Disobeying superior commissioned officer.
- (a) That the accused received a lawful command from a certain commissioned officer;
- (b) That this officer was the superior commissioned officer of the accused;
- (c) That the accused then knew that this officer was the accused's superior commissioned officer; and
- (d) That the accused willfully disobeyed the lawful command.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) Striking or assaulting superior commissioned officer.
 - (a) Definitions.
- (i) Superior commissioned officer. The definitions in paragraph 13c(1)(a) and (b) apply here and in subparagraph c(2).
- (ii) *Strikes*. "Strikes" means an intentional blow, and includes any offensive touching of the person of an officer, however slight.
- (iii) Draws or lifts up any weapon against. The phrase "draws or lifts up any weapon against" covers any simple assault committed in the manner stated. The drawing of any weapon in an aggressive manner or the raising or brandishing of the same in a threatening manner in the presence of and at the superior is the sort of act proscribed. The raising in a threatening manner of a firearm, whether or not loaded, of a club, or of anything by which a serious blow or injury could be given is included in "lifts up."
- (iv) Offers any violence against. The phrase "offers any violence against" includes any form of battery or of mere assault not embraced in the preceding more specific terms "strikes" and "draws or lifts up." If not executed, the violence must be phys-

ically attempted or menaced. A mere threatening in words is not an offering of violence in the sense of this article.

- (b) Execution of office. An officer is in the execution of office when engaged in any act or service required or authorized by treaty, statute, regulation, the order of a superior, or military usage. In general, any striking or use of violence against any superior officer by a person over whom it is the duty of that officer to maintain discipline at the time, would be striking or using violence against the officer in the execution of office. The commanding officer on board a ship or the commanding officer of a unit in the field is generally considered to be on duty at all times.
- (c) *Knowledge*. If the accused did not know the officer was the accused's superior commissioned officer, the accused may not be convicted of this offense. Knowledge may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
- (d) *Defenses*. In a prosecution for striking or assaulting a superior commissioned officer in violation of this article, it is a defense that the accused acted in the proper discharge of some duty, or that the victim behaved in a manner toward the accused such as to lose the protection of this article (*see* paragraph 13c(5)). For example, if the victim initiated an unlawful attack on the accused, this would deprive the victim of the protection of this article, and, in addition, could excuse any lesser included offense of assault as done in self-defense, depending on the circumstances (*see* paragraph 54c; R.C.M. 916(*e*)).
 - (2) Disobeying superior commissioned officer.
 - (a) Lawfulness of the order.
- (i) Inference of lawfulness. An order requiring the performance of a military duty or act may be inferred to be lawful and it is disobeyed at the peril of the subordinate. This inference does not apply to a patently illegal order, such as one that directs the commission of a crime.
- (ii) Authority of issuing officer. The commissioned officer issuing the order must have authority to give such an order. Authorization may be based on law, regulation, or custom of the service.
- (iii) Relationship to military duty. The order must relate to military duty, which includes all activities reasonably necessary to accomplish a military mission, or safeguard or promote the morale, disci-

pline, and usefulness of members of a command and directly connected with the maintenance of good order in the service. The order may not, without such a valid military purpose, interfere with private rights or personal affairs. However, the dictates of a person's conscience, religion, or personal philosophy cannot justify or excuse the disobedience of an otherwise lawful order. Disobedience of an order which has for its sole object the attainment of some private end, or which is given for the sole purpose of increasing the penalty for an offense which it is expected the accused may commit, is not punishable under this article.

- (iv) Relationship to statutory or constitutional rights. The order must not conflict with the statutory or constitutional rights of the person receiving the order.
- (b) Personal nature of the order. The order must be directed specifically to the subordinate. Violations of regulations, standing orders or directives, or failure to perform previously established duties are not punishable under this article, but may violate Article 92.
- (c) Form and transmission of the order. As long as the order is understandable, the form of the order is immaterial, as is the method by which it is transmitted to the accused.
- (d) Specificity of the order. The order must be a specific mandate to do or not to do a specific act. An exhortation to "obey the law" or to perform one's military duty does not constitute an order under this article.
- (e) *Knowledge*. The accused must have actual knowledge of the order and of the fact that the person issuing the order was the accused's superior commissioned officer. Actual knowledge may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
- (f) *Nature of the disobedience*. "Willful disobedience" is an intentional defiance of authority. Failure to comply with an order through heedlessness, remissness, or forgetfulness is not a violation of this article but may violate Article 92.
- (g) *Time for compliance*. When an order requires immediate compliance, an accused's declared intent not to obey and the failure to make any move to comply constitutes disobedience. If an order does not indicate the time within which it is to be complied with, either expressly or by implication, then a reasonable delay in compliance does not violate this

- article. If an order requires performance in the future, an accused's present statement of intention to disobey the order does not constitute disobedience of that order, although carrying out that intention may.
- (3) Civilians and discharged prisoners. A discharged prisoner or other civilian subject to military law (see Article 2) and under the command of a commissioned officer is subject to the provisions of this article.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
- (1) Striking superior commissioned officer in execution of office.
- (a) Article 90—drawing or lifting up a weapon or offering violence to superior commissioned officer in execution of office
- (b) Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery; assault with a dangerous weapon
- (c) Article 128—assault or assault consummated by a battery upon commissioned officer not in the execution of office
 - (d) Article 80—attempts
- (2) Drawing or lifting up a weapon or offering violence to superior commissioned officer in execution of office.
- (a) Article 128—assault, assault with dangerous weapon
- (b) Article 128—assault upon a commissioned officer not in the execution of office
 - (c) Article 80—attempts
- (3) Willfully disobeying lawful order of superior commissioned officer.
 - (a) Article 92—failure to obey lawful order
- (b) Article 89—disrespect to superior commissioned officer
 - (c) Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Striking, drawing, or lifting up any weapon or offering any violence to superior commissioned officer in the execution of office. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.
- (2) Willfully disobeying a lawful order of superior commissioned officer. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- (3) In time of war. Death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct.

(1) Striking superior commissioned officer. In that	
In that	f. Sample specifications.
jurisdiction data, if required), on or about	
jurisdiction data, if required), on or about	In that (personal jurisdiction
about	data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter
of war) strike	jurisdiction data, if required), on or
commissioned officer, then known by the said	about, (a time
commissioned officer, then known by the said	of war) strike, his/her superior
sioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, (in) (on) the with (a) (his/her) (2) Drawing or lifting up a weapon against superior commissioned officer. In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter juris diction data, if required), on or about 20, (a time of war) (draw) lift up) a weapon, to wit: a, against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office. (3) Offering violence to superior commissioned officer. In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter juris diction data, if required), on or about 20, (a time of war) offer violence against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	commissioned officer, then known by the
her office, (in) (on) the with (a) (his/her) (2) Drawing or lifting up a weapon against superior commissioned officer. In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about 20, (a time of war) (draw) lift up) a weapon, to wit: a, against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer. (3) Offering violence to superior commissioned officer. In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about 20, (a time of war) offer violence against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	
(his/her)	
(2) Drawing or lifting up a weapon against superior commissioned officer. In that	her office, (in) (on) the with (a)
rior commissioned officer. In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about 20, (a time of war) (draw) lift up) a weapon, to wit: a, against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office. (3) Offering violence to superior commissioned officer. In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about 20, (a time of war) offer violence against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	(his/her)
rior commissioned officer. In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about 20, (a time of war) (draw) lift up) a weapon, to wit: a, against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office. (3) Offering violence to superior commissioned officer. In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about 20, (a time of war) offer violence against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	(2) Drawing or lifting up a weapon against supe-
In that	
jurisdiction data, if required), on or about	
jurisdiction data, if required), on or about	data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter
about	
of war) (draw) lift up) a weapon, to wit: a	
a	
superior commissioned officer, then known by the said	
sioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office. (3) Offering violence to superior commissioned officer. In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about 20, (a time of war) offer violence against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	superior commissioned officer, then known by the
sioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office. (3) Offering violence to superior commissioned officer. In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about 20, (a time of war) offer violence against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	said to be his/her superior commis-
(3) Offering violence to superior commissioned officer. In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about 20, (a time of war) offer violence against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	
In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about 20, (a time of war) offer violence against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	her office.
In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about 20, (a time of war) offer violence against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	(3) Offering violence to superior commissioned
In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about 20, (a time of war) offer violence against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	
data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about	In that (personal jurisdiction
jurisdiction data, if required), on or about	data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter
about	
of war) offer violence against, his/her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	
her superior commissioned officer, then known by the said to be his/her superior commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	
commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	her superior commissioned officer, then known by
commissioned officer, who was then in the execution of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	the said to be his/her superior
tion of his/her office, by (4) Willful disobedience of superior commissioned officer.	
sioned officer.	
sioned officer.	(4) Willful disobedience of superior commis-
	In that (personal jurisdiction
data), having received a lawful command	
from, his/her superior commis-	

sioned officer, then known by the

said______ to be his/her superior commis-

sioned officer, to______, or words to that

effect, did, (at/on board-location), on or

about______, will-

fully disobey the same.

15. Article 91—Insubordinate conduct toward warrant officer, noncommissioned officer, or petty officer

- a. *Text.* "Any warrant officer or enlisted member who—
- (1) strikes or assaults a warrant officer, noncommissioned officer, or petty officer, while that officer is in the execution of his office;
- (2) willfully disobeys the lawful order of a warrant officer, noncommissioned officer, or petty officer: or
- (3) treats with contempt or is disrespectful in language or deportment toward a warrant officer, noncommissioned officer, or petty officer while that officer is in the execution of his office; shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) Striking or assaulting warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer.
- (a) That the accused was a warrant officer or enlisted member;
- (b) That the accused struck or assaulted a certain warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer;
- (c) That the striking or assault was committed while the victim was in the execution of office; and
- (d) That the accused then knew that the person struck or assaulted was a warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer.

[Note: If the victim was the superior noncommissioned or petty officer of the accused, add the following elements]

- (e) That the victim was the superior noncommissioned, or petty officer of the accused; and
- (f) That the accused then knew that the person struck or assaulted was the accused's superior noncommissioned, or petty officer.
- (2) Disobeying a warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer.
- (a) That the accused was a warrant officer or enlisted member;
- (b) That the accused received a certain lawful order from a certain warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer;
- (c) That the accused then knew that the person giving the order was a warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer;
- (d) That the accused had a duty to obey the order; and

- (e) That the accused willfully disobeyed the order.
- (3) Treating with contempt or being disrespectful in language or deportment toward a warrant, non-commissioned, or petty officer.
- (a) That the accused was a warrant officer or enlisted member;
- (b) That the accused did or omitted certain acts, or used certain language;
- (c) That such behavior or language was used toward and within sight or hearing of a certain warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer;
- (d) That the accused then knew that the person toward whom the behavior or language was directed was a warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer;
- (e) That the victim was then in the execution of office; and
- (f) That under the circumstances the accused, by such behavior or language, treated with contempt or was disrespectful to said warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer.

[Note: If the victim was the superior noncommissioned, or petty officer of the accused, add the following elements]

- (g) That the victim was the superior noncommissioned, or petty officer of the accused; and
- (h) That the accused then knew that the person toward whom the behavior or language was directed was the accused's superior noncommissioned, or petty officer.

c. Explanation.

- (1) In general. Article 91 has the same general objects with respect to warrant, noncommissioned, and petty officers as Articles 89 and 90 have with respect to commissioned officers, namely, to ensure obedience to their lawful orders, and to protect them from violence, insult, or disrespect. Unlike Articles 89 and 90, however, this article does not require a superior-subordinate relationship as an element of any of the offenses denounced. This article does not protect an acting noncommissioned officer or acting petty officer, nor does it protect military police or members of the shore patrol who are not warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officers.
- (2) Knowledge. All of the offenses prohibited by Article 91 require that the accused have actual knowledge that the victim was a warrant, noncom-

- missioned, or petty officer. Actual knowledge may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
- (3) Striking or assaulting a warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer. For a discussion of "strikes" and "in the execution of office," see paragraph 14c. For a discussion of "assault," see paragraph 54c. An assault by a prisoner who has been discharged from the service, or by any other civilian subject to military law, upon a warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer should be charged under Article 128 or 134.
- (4) Disobeying a warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer. See paragraph 14c(2) for a discussion of lawfulness, personal nature, form, transmission, and specificity of the order, nature of the disobedience, and time for compliance with the order.
- (5) Treating with contempt or being disrespectful in language or deportment toward a warrant, non-commissioned, or petty officer. "Toward" requires that the behavior and language be within the sight or hearing of the warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer concerned. For a discussion of "in the execution of his office," see paragraph 14c. For a discussion of disrespect, see paragraph 13c.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
- (1) Striking or assaulting warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer in the execution of office.
- (a) Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery; assault with a dangerous weapon
- (b) Article 128—assault upon warrant, non-commissioned, or petty officer not in the execution of office
 - (c) Article 80—attempts
- (2) Disobeying a warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer.
 - (a) Article 92—failure to obey a lawful order
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
- (3) Treating with contempt or being disrespectful in language or deportment toward warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer in the execution of office.
- (a) Article 117—using provoking or reproachful speech
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Striking or assaulting warrant officer. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- (2) Striking or assaulting superior noncommissioned or petty officer. Dishonorable discharge, for-

feiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.

- (3) Striking or assaulting other noncommissioned or petty officer. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- (4) Willfully disobeying the lawful order of a warrant officer. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- (5) Willfully disobeying the lawful order of a non-commissioned or petty officer. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- (6) Contempt or disrespect to warrant officer. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 9 months.
- (7) Contempt or disrespect to superior noncommissioned or petty officer. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- (8) Contempt or disrespect to other noncommissioned or petty officer. Forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 3 months, and confinement for 3 months.
- f. Sample specifications.

(1) Strik	ing or	assaulting	warrant,	noncommis-
sioned, or	petty o	fficer.		

In that	_ (personal _	jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board-lo	ocation) (sub	oject-matter
jurisdiction data, if	required), on or
about 20		, (strike)
(assault), a	ι	offi-
cer, then known to the said_		to be a
(superior)c	officer who v	was then in
the execution of his/her offi	ce, by	
him/her (in) (on) (the_) with
(a) (his/her	.)	

(2) Willful disobedience of warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer.

In that	(per	sonal juri	isdiction
data), having	received a	lawful	order
from	, a		officer,
then known by	the said		to be
a	officer, to		, an
order which it was	s his/her duty to	o obey, di	d (at/on
board— location)), on or abou	t	
20	_, willfully disc	obey the	same.

(3) Contempt or disrespect toward warrant,	non
commissioned, or petty officer.	

In that		_ (personal	jurisdiction
data) (at/on b	o a r d —	location), on or
about	20_		, [did
treat with contempt] [was dis	respectful ir	(language)
(deportment) t	oward].		
a	officer,	then know	wn by the
said		to be a	(superi-
or)	_ officer,	who was	then in the
execution of his/he	r office,	by (saying	to him/her,
,	or word	ds to that e	ffect) (spit-
ting at his/her feet) ()	

16. Article 92—Failure to obey order or regulation

- a. *Text.* "Any person subject to this chapter who—
- (1) violates or fails to obey any lawful general order or regulation;
- (2) having knowledge of any other lawful order issued by a member of the armed forces, which it is his duty to obey, fails to obey the order; or
- (3) is derelict in the performance of his duties; shall be punished as a court-martial may direct." b. *Elements*.
- (1) Violation of or failure to obey a lawful general order or regulation.
- (a) That there was in effect a certain lawful general order or regulation;
 - (b) That the accused had a duty to obey it; and
- (c) That the accused violated or failed to obey the order or regulation.
 - (2) Failure to obey other lawful order.
- (a) That a member of the armed forces issued a certain lawful order;
- (b) That the accused had knowledge of the order;
- (c) That the accused had a duty to obey the order; and
 - (d) That the accused failed to obey the order.
 - (3) Dereliction in the performance of duties.
 - (a) That the accused had certain duties;
- (b) That the accused knew or reasonably should have known of the duties; and
- (c) That the accused was (willfully) (through neglect or culpable inefficiency) derelict in the performance of those duties.

- c. Explanation.
- (1) Violation of or failure to obey a lawful general order or regulation.
- (a) General orders or regulations are those orders or regulations generally applicable to an armed force which are properly published by the President or the Secretary of Defense, of Transportation, or of a military department, and those orders or regulations generally applicable to the command of the officer issuing them throughout the command or a particular subdivision thereof which are issued by:
- (i) an officer having general court-martial jurisdiction;
 - (ii) a general or flag officer in command; or
 - (iii) a commander superior to (i) or (ii).
- (b) A general order or regulation issued by a commander with authority under Article 92(1) retains its character as a general order or regulation when another officer takes command, until it expires by its own terms or is rescinded by separate action, even if it is issued by an officer who is a general or flag officer in command and command is assumed by another officer who is not a general or flag officer.
- (c) A general order or regulation is lawful unless it is contrary to the Constitution, the laws of the United States, or lawful superior orders or for some other reason is beyond the authority of the official issuing it. See the discussion of lawfulness in paragraph 14c(2)(a).
- (d) *Knowledge*. Knowledge of a general order or regulation need not be alleged or proved, as knowledge is not an element of this offense and a lack of knowledge does not constitute a defense.
- (e) *Enforceability*. Not all provisions in general orders or regulations can be enforced under Article 92(1). Regulations which only supply general guidelines or advice for conducting military functions may not be enforceable under Article 92(1).
- (2) Violation of or failure to obey other lawful order.
- (a) *Scope*. Article 92(2) includes all other lawful orders which may be issued by a member of the armed forces, violations of which are not chargeable under Article 90, 91, or 92(1). It includes the violation of written regulations which are not general regulations. *See also* subparagraph (1)(e) above as applicable.

- (b) *Knowledge*. In order to be guilty of this offense, a person must have had actual knowledge of the order or regulation. Knowledge of the order may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
 - (c) Duty to obey order.
- (i) From a superior. A member of one armed force who is senior in rank to a member of another armed force is the superior of that member with authority to issue orders which that member has a duty to obey under the same circumstances as a commissioned officer of one armed force is the superior commissioned officer of a member of another armed force for the purposes of Articles 89 and 90. See paragraph 13c(1).
- (ii) From one not a superior. Failure to obey the lawful order of one not a superior is an offense under Article 92(2), provided the accused had a duty to obey the order, such as one issued by a sentinel or a member of the armed forces police. See paragraph 15b(2) if the order was issued by a warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer in the execution of office.
 - (3) Dereliction in the performance of duties.
- (a) *Duty*. A duty may be imposed by treaty, statute, regulation, lawful order, standard operating procedure, or custom of the service.
- (b) *Knowledge*. Actual knowledge of duties may be proved by circumstantial evidence. Actual knowledge need not be shown if the individual reasonably should have known of the duties. This may be demonstrated by regulations, training or operating manuals, customs of the service, academic literature or testimony, testimony of persons who have held similar or superior positions, or similar evidence.
- (c) *Derelict.* A person is derelict in the performance of duties when that person willfully or negligently fails to perform that person's duties or when that person performs them in a culpably inefficient manner. "Willfully" means intentionally. It refers to the doing of an act knowingly and purposely, specifically intending the natural and probable consequences of the act. "Negligently" means an act or omission of a person who is under a duty to use due care which exhibits a lack of that degree of care which a reasonably prudent person would have exercised under the same or similar circumstances. "Culpable inefficiency" is inefficiency for which there is no reasonable or just excuse.
 - (d) Ineptitude. A person is not derelict in the

performance of duties if the failure to perform those duties is caused by ineptitude rather than by willfulness, negligence, or culpable inefficiency, and may not be charged under this article, or otherwise punished. For example, a recruit who has tried earnestly during rifle training and throughout record firing is not derelict in the performance of duties if the recruit fails to qualify with the weapon.

- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80—attempts e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Violation or failure to obey lawful general order or regulation. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- (2) Violation of failure to obey other lawful order. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.

[Note: For (1) and (2), above, the punishment set forth does not apply in the following cases: if in the absence of the order or regulation which was violated or not obeyed the accused would on the same facts be subject to conviction for another specific offense for which a lesser punishment is prescribed; or if the violation or failure to obey is a breach of restraint imposed as a result of an order. In these instances, the maximum punishment is that specifically prescribed elsewhere for that particular offense.]

- (3) Dereliction in the performance of duties.
- (A) Through neglect or culpable inefficiency. Forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 3 months and confinement for 3 months.
- (B) Willful. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- f. Sample specifications.
- (1) Violation or failure to obey lawful general order or regulation.

In that		(personal	jurisdiction
data), did, (at/o	n board—lo	cation) (su	bject-matter
jurisdiction	data, if	required	l), on or
about	20		, (vio-
late) (fail to obe	y) a lawful g	general (ord	ler) (regula-
tion), to wit: (p	oaragraph		, (Army)
(Air Force)	Regulat	i o n	,
dated	20) (Arti-
cle	, U.S.	Navy Re	gulations,
dated 2	00) (Ge	neral Order
No	U.S.	Navv. dat	ted

2 0) () , b y
(wrongfully) _		-
(2) Violation	or failure to obe	y other lawful writ-
ten order.	J	J J
In that	(r	ersonal jurisdiction
		lawful order issued
		it: (para-
		the
)
(USS	, R	egulation -
tion), dated	egulation -
		hich it was his/her
duty to obey, o	did, (at/on board-	-location) (subject-
		required), on or
about	20	, fail to
obey the same	by (wrongfully).	·
(3) Failure	to obey other lav	wful order.
In that	, (r	personal jurisdiction
data) having k	nowledge of a	lawful order issued
by	(to submit	to certain medical
treatmen	t) (to) (not
to) (), an order
		o obey, did (at/on
		jurisdiction data, if
		u t
		bey the same (by
(wrongfully))	
(4) Dereliction	on in the perfori	mance of duties.
In that	, (r	personal jurisdiction
		known) of his/her
		subject-matter juris-
		ired), (on or
about	20) (from

17. Article 93—Cruelty and maltreatment

a b o u t ______ t o

derelict in the performance of those duties in that he/

she (negligently) (willfully) (by culpable inefficien-

cy) failed_____, as it was his/her duty to

_____ 20____

a. Text.

do.

about___

"Any person subject to this chapter who is guilty of cruelty toward, or oppression or maltreatment of, any person subject to his orders shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

- b. Elements.
- (1) That a certain person was subject to the orders of the accused; and

(2) That the accused was cruel toward, or oppressed, or maltreated that person.

c. Explanation.

- (1) Nature of victim. "Any person subject to his orders" means not only those persons under the direct or immediate command of the accused but extends to all persons, subject to the code or not, who by reason of some duty are required to obey the lawful orders of the accused, regardless whether the accused is in the direct chain of command over the person.
- (2) Nature of act. The cruelty, oppression, or maltreatment, although not necessarily physical, must be measured by an objective standard. Assault, improper punishment, and sexual harassment may constitute this offense. Sexual harassment includes influencing, offering to influence, or threatening the career, pay, or job of another person in exchange for sexual favors, and deliberate or repeated offensive comments or gestures of a sexual nature. The imposition of necessary or proper duties and the exaction of their performance does not constitute this offense even though the duties are arduous or hazardous or both.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.

f. Sample specification.

In that______ (personal jurisdiction data), (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about_______, (was cruel toward) (did (oppress) (maltreat))______, a person subject to his/her orders, by (kicking him/her in the stomach) (confining him/her for twenty-four hours without water) (_______).

18. Article 94—Mutiny and sedition

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who—

- (1) with intent to usurp or override lawful military authority, refuse, in concert with any other person, to obey orders or otherwise do his duty or creates any violence or disturbance is guilty of mutiny;
- (2) with intent to cause the overthrow or destruction of lawful civil authority, creates, in concert

- with any other person, revolt, violence, or other disturbance against that authority is guilty of sedition;
- (3) fails to do his utmost to prevent and suppress a mutiny or sedition being committed in his presence, or fails to take all reasonable means to inform his superior commissioned officer or commanding officer of a mutiny or sedition which he knows or has reason to believe is taking place, is guilty of a failure to suppress or report a mutiny or sedition.
- (b) A person who is found guilty of attempted mutiny, mutiny, sedition, or failure to suppress or report a mutiny or sedition shall be punished by death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) Mutiny by creating violence or disturbance.
- (a) That the accused created violence or a disturbance; and
- (b) That the accused created this violence or disturbance with intent to usurp or override lawful military authority.
- (2) Mutiny by refusing to obey orders or perform duty.
- (a) That the accused refused to obey orders or otherwise do the accused's duty;
- (b) That the accused in refusing to obey orders or perform duty acted in concert with another person or persons; and
- (c) That the accused did so with intent to usurp or override lawful military authority.

(3) Sedition.

- (a) That the accused created revolt, violence, or disturbance against lawful civil authority;
- (b) That the accused acted in concert with another person or persons; and
- (c) That the accused did so with the intent to cause the overthrow or destruction of that authority.
- (4) Failure to prevent and suppress a mutiny or sedition.
- (a) That an offense of mutiny or sedition was committed in the presence of the accused; and
- (b) That the accused failed to do the accused's utmost to prevent and suppress the mutiny or sedition.
 - (5) Failure to report a mutiny or sedition.
- (a) That an offense of mutiny or sedition occurred;

- (b) That the accused knew or had reason to believe that the offense was taking place; and
- (c) That the accused failed to take all reasonable means to inform the accused's superior commissioned officer or commander of the offense.
 - (6) Attempted mutiny.
- (a) That the accused committed a certain overt act;
- (b) That the act was done with specific intent to commit the offense of mutiny;
- (c) That the act amounted to more than mere preparation; and
- (d) That the act apparently tended to effect the commission of the offense of mutiny.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) *Mutiny*. Article 94(a)(1) defines two types of mutiny, both requiring an intent to usurp or override military authority.
- (a) Mutiny by creating violence or disturbance. Mutiny by creating violence or disturbance may be committed by one person acting alone or by more than one acting together.
- (b) Mutiny by refusing to obey orders or perform duties. Mutiny by refusing to obey orders or perform duties requires collective insubordination and necessarily includes some combination of two or more persons in resisting lawful military authority. This concert of insubordination need not be preconceived, nor is it necessary that the insubordination be active or violent. It may consist simply of a persistent and concerted refusal or omission to obey orders, or to do duty, with an insubordinate intent, that is, with an intent to usurp or override lawful military authority. The intent may be declared in words or inferred from acts, omissions, or surrounding circumstances.
- (2) Sedition. Sedition requires a concert of action in resistance to civil authority. This differs from mutiny by creating violence or disturbance. See subparagraph c(1)(a) above.
- (3) Failure to prevent and suppress a mutiny or sedition. "Utmost" means taking those measures to prevent and suppress a mutiny or sedition which may properly be called for by the circumstances, including the rank, responsibilities, or employment of the person concerned. "Utmost" includes the use of such force, including deadly force, as may be

reasonably necessary under the circumstances to prevent and suppress a mutiny or sedition.

- (4) Failure to report a mutiny or sedition. Failure to "take all reasonable means to inform" includes failure to take the most expeditious means available. When the circumstances known to the accused would have caused a reasonable person in similar circumstances to believe that a mutiny or sedition was occurring, this may establish that the accused had such "reason to believe" that mutiny or sedition was occurring. Failure to report an impending mutiny or sedition is not an offense in violation of Article 94. But see paragraph 16c(3) (dereliction of duty).
- (5) Attempted mutiny. For a discussion of attempts, see paragraph 4.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Mutiny by creating violence or disturbance.
- (a) Article 90—assault on commissioned officer
- (b) Article 91—assault on warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer
 - (c) Article 94—attempted mutiny
 - (d) Article 116—riot; breach of peace
 - (e) Article 128—assault
 - (f) Article 134—disorderly conduct
- (2) Mutiny by refusing to obey orders or perform duties.
- (a) Article 90—willful disobedience of commissioned officer
- (b) Article 91—willful disobedience of warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer
 - (c) Article 92-failure to obey lawful order
 - (d) Article 94—attempted mutiny
 - (3) Sedition.
 - (a) Article 116—riot; breach of peace
 - (b) Article 128-assault
 - (c) Article 134—disorderly conduct
 - (d) Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. For all offenses under Article 94, death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct.
- f. Sample specifications.
- (1) Mutiny by creating violence or disturbance.

 In that______ (personal jurisdiction data), with intent to (usurp) (override) (usurp and override) lawful military authority, did, (at/on

board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about	data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter juris diction data, if required), on or about
duties.	believe) was taking place.
In that (personal jurisdiction	(6) Attempted mutiny.
data), with intent to (usurp) (override) (usurp and	In that (personal jurisdiction
override) lawful military authority, did, (at/on	data), with intent to (usurp) (override) (usurp and
board— location) on or about	override) lawful military authority, did, (at/on
20, refuse, in concert	board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if
w i t h (a n d)	required), on or about
(others whose names are unknown), to (obey the	20, attempt to (create (violence) (a
orders of	disturbance) by
(perform his/her duty as).	().
(3) Sedition.	
In that (personal jurisdiction	19. Article 95—Resistance, flight, breach of
data), with intent to cause the (overthrow) (destruc-	arrest, and escape
tion) (overthrow and destruction) of lawful civil au-	a. Text.
thority, to wit:, did, (at/on board—	"Any person subject to this chapter who—
location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if re-	(1) resists apprehension; (2) flees from appre-
quired), on or about	hension; (3) breaks arrest; or (4) escapes from
	custody or confinement;
whose names are unknown), create (revolt) (vio-	shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."
lence) (a disturbance) against such authority by	b. Elements.
(entering the Town Hall of and	(1) Resisting apprehension.
destroying property and records therein) (marching	(a) That a certain person attempted to appre-
upon and compelling the surrender of the police	hend the accused;
of) ().	(b) That said person was authorized to appre-
(4) Failure to prevent and suppress a mutiny or	hend the accused; and
sedition.	(c) That the accused actively resisted the
In that (personal jurisdiction	apprehension.
data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter	(2) Flight from apprehension.
jurisdiction data, if required), on or	(a) That a certain person attempted to appre-
about, fail to	hend the accused;
do his/her utmost to prevent and suppress a (mutiny)	(b) That said person was authorized to appre-
(sedition) among the (soldiers) (sailors) (airmen)	hend the accused; and
(marines) () of,	(c) That the accused fled from the
which (mutiny) (sedition) was being committed in	apprehension.
his/her presence, in that (he/she took no means to	(3) Breaking arrest.
compel the dispersal of the assembly) (he/she made	•
no effort to assist who was at-	(a) That a certain person ordered the accused into arrest:
tempting to quell the mutiny) ().	into arrest;
(5) Failure to report a mutiny or sedition.	(b) That said person was authorized to order
In that (personal jurisdiction	the accused into arrest; and
IV-28	

- (c) That the accused went beyond the limits of arrest before being released from that arrest by proper authority.
 - (4) Escape from custody.
- (a) That a certain person apprehended the accused;
- (b) That said person was authorized to apprehend the accused; and
- (c) That the accused freed himself or herself from custody before being released by proper authority.
 - (5) Escape from confinement.
- (a) That a certain person ordered the accused into confinement;
- (b) That said person was authorized to order the accused into confinement; and
- (c) That the accused freed himself or herself from confinement before being released by proper authority.

[Note: If the escape was post-trial confinement, add the following element]

- (d) That the confinement was the result of a court-martial conviction.
- c. Explanation.
 - (1) Resisting apprehension.
- (a) Apprehension. Apprehension is the taking of a person into custody. See R.C.M. 302.
- (b) Authority to apprehend. See R.C.M. 302(b) concerning who may apprehend. Whether the status of a person authorized that person to apprehend the accused is a question of law to be decided by the military judge. Whether the person who attempted to make an apprehension had such a status is a question of fact to be decided by the factfinder.
- (c) Nature of the resistance. The resistance must be active, such as assaulting the person attempting to apprehend. Mere words of opposition, argument, or abuse, and attempts to escape from custody after the apprehension is complete, do not constitute the offense of resisting apprehension although they may constitute other offenses.
- (d) *Mistake*. It is a defense that the accused held a reasonable belief that the person attempting to apprehend did not have authority to do so. However, the accused's belief at the time that no basis exists for the apprehension is not a defense.
- (e) *Illegal apprehension*. A person may not be convicted of resisting apprehension if the attempted

- apprehension is illegal, but may be convicted of other offenses, such as assault, depending on all the circumstances. An attempted apprehension by a person authorized to apprehend is presumed to be legal in the absence of evidence to the contrary. Ordinarily the legality of an apprehension is a question of law to be decided by the military judge.
- (2) Flight from apprehension. The flight must be active, such as running or driving away.
 - (3) Breaking arrest.
- (a) *Arrest*. There are two types of arrest: pretrial arrest under Article 9 (*see* R.C.M. 304) and arrest under Article 15 (*see* paragraph 5c.(3), Part V, MCM). This article prohibits breaking any arrest.
- (b) Authority to order arrest. See R.C.M. 304(b) and paragraphs 2 and 5b, Part V, MCM concerning authority to order arrest.
- (c) Nature of restraint imposed by arrest. In arrest, the restraint is moral restraint imposed by orders fixing the limits of arrest.
- (d) *Breaking*. Breaking arrest is committed when the person in arrest infringes the limits set by orders. The reason for the infringement is immaterial. For example, innocence of the offense with respect to which an arrest may have been imposed is not a defense.
- (e) *Illegal arrest*. A person may not be convicted of breaking arrest if the arrest is illegal. An arrest ordered by one authorized to do so is presumed to be legal in the absence of some evidence to the contrary. Ordinarily, the legality of an arrest is a question of law to be decided by the military judge.
 - (4) Escape from custody.
- (a) Custody. "Custody" is restraint of free locomotion imposed by lawful apprehension. The restraint may be physical or, once there has been a submission to apprehension or a forcible taking into custody, it may consist of control exercised in the presence of the prisoner by official acts or orders. Custody is temporary restraint intended to continue until other restraint (arrest, restriction, confinement) is imposed or the person is released.
- (b) Authority to apprehend. See subparagraph (1)(b) above.
- (c) *Escape*. For a discussion of escape, *see* subparagraph c(5)(c), below.
- (d) *Illegal custody*. A person may not be convicted of this offense if the custody was illegal. An

apprehension effected by one authorized to apprehend is presumed to be lawful in the absence of evidence to the contrary. Ordinarily, the legality of an apprehension is a question of law to be decided by the military judge.

- (e) Correctional custody. See paragraph 70.
- (5) Escape from confinement.
- (a) Confinement. Confinement is physical restraint imposed under R.C.M. 305, 1101, or paragraph 5b, Part V, MCM. For purposes of the element of post-trial confinement (subparagraph b(5)(d), above) and increased punishment therefrom (subparagraph e(4), below), the confinement must have been imposed pursuant to an adjudged sentence of a court-martial and not as a result of pretrial restraint or nonjudicial punishment.
- (b) Authority to order confinement. See R.C.M. 304(b); 1101; and paragraphs 2 and 5b, Part V, MCM concerning who may order confinement.
- (c) *Escape*. An escape may be either with or without force or artifice, and either with or without the consent of the custodian. However, where a prisoner is released by one with apparent authority to do so, the prisoner may not be convicted of escape from confinement. *See also* paragraph 20c(1)(b). Any completed casting off of the restraint of confinement, before release by proper authority, is an escape, and lack of effectiveness of the restraint imposed is immaterial. An escape is not complete until the prisoner is momentarily free from the restraint. If the movement toward escape is opposed, or before it is completed, an immediate pursuit follows, there is no escape until opposition is overcome or pursuit is eluded.
- (d) Status when temporarily outside confinement facility. A prisoner who is temporarily escorted outside a confinement facility for a work detail or other reason by a guard, who has both the duty and means to prevent that prisoner from escaping, remains in confinement.
- (e) Legality of confinement. A person may not be convicted of escape from confinement if the confinement is illegal. Confinement ordered by one authorized to do so is presumed to be lawful in the absence of evidence to the contrary. Ordinarily, the legality of confinement is a question of law to be decided by the military judge.
- d. Lesser included offenses.

- (1) Resisting apprehension. Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery
 - (2) Breaking arrest.
 - (a) Article 134—breaking restriction
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
 - (3) Escape from custody. Article 80—attempts
- (4) Escape from confinement. Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Resisting apprehension. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- (2) Flight from apprehension. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- (3) *Breaking arrest*. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- (4) Escape from custody, pretrial confinement, or confinement on bread and water or diminished rations imposed pursuant to Article 15. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- (5) Escape from post-trial confinement. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specifications.
 - (1) Resisting apprehension.

In that	_ (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—lo	ocation) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data, if	required), on or
about 20_	, resist
being apprehended by	, (an armed
force policeman) (), a person au-
thorized to apprehend the a	ccused.

(2) Flight from apprehension.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board-	-location) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data,	if required), on or
about 20	, flee ap-
prehension by	, (an armed force po-
liceman) (_), a person authorized to
apprehend the accused.	

(3) Breaking arrest.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), having been placed in	arrest (in quarters) (in
his/her company area) () by a per-
son authorized to order the a	accused into arrest, did,

(at/on	board—location)	o n	O 1
about	20	, b	reak
said arrest.			

(4) Escape from custody.

In that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about______ 20_______, escape from the custody of________, a person authorized to apprehend the accused.

(5) Escape from confinement.

20. Article 96—Releasing prisoner without proper authority

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who, without proper authority, releases any prisoner committed to his charge, or who through neglect or design suffers any such prisoner to escape, shall be punished as a court-martial may direct, whether or not the prisoner was committed in strict compliance with law."

- (1) Releasing a prisoner without proper authority.
- (a) That a certain prisoner was committed to the charge of the accused; and
- (b) That the accused released the prisoner without proper authority.
- (2) Suffering a prisoner to escape through neglect.
- (a) That a certain prisoner was committed to the charge of the accused;
 - (b) That the prisoner escaped;
- (c) That the accused did not take such care to prevent the escape as a reasonably careful person, acting in the capacity in which the accused was acting, would have taken in the same or similar circumstances; and
- (d) That the escape was the proximate result of the neglect.
 - (3) Suffering a prisoner to escape through design.

- (a) That a certain prisoner was committed to the charge of the accused;
- (b) That the design of the accused was to suffer the escape of that prisoner; and
- (c) That the prisoner escaped as a result of the carrying out of the design of the accused.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) Releasing a prisoner without proper authority.
- (a) *Prisoner*. "Prisoner" includes a civilian or military person who has been confined.
- (b) *Release*. The release of a prisoner is removal of restraint by the custodian rather than by the prisoner.
- (c) Authority to release. See R.C.M. 305(g) as to who may release pretrial prisoners. Normally, the lowest authority competent to order release of a post-trial prisoner is the commander who convened the court-martial which sentenced the prisoner or the officer exercising general court-martial jurisdiction over the prisoner. See also R.C.M. 1101.
- (d) *Committed*. Once a prisoner has been confined, the prisoner has been "committed" in the sense of Article 96, and only a competent authority (*see* subparagraph (*c*)) may order release, regardless of failure to follow procedures prescribed by the code, this Manual, or other law.
- (2) Suffering a prisoner to escape through neglect.
- (a) Suffer. "Suffer" means to allow or permit; not to forbid or hinder.
- (b) Neglect. "Neglect" is a relative term. It is the absence of conduct which would have been taken by a reasonably careful person in the same or similar circumstances.
- (c) *Escape*. Escape is defined in paragraph 19c(4)(c).
- (d) Status of prisoner after escape not a defense. After escape, the fact that a prisoner returns, is captured, killed, or otherwise dies is not a defense.
- (3) Suffering a prisoner to escape through design. An escape is suffered through design when it is intended. Such intent may be inferred from conduct so wantonly devoid of care that the only reasonable inference which may be drawn is that the escape was contemplated as a probable result.
- d. Lesser included offenses.

- (1) Releasing a prisoner without proper authority. Article 80—attempts
- (2) Suffering a prisoner to escape through neglect. None
 - (3) Suffering a prisoner to escape through design.
- (a) Article 96—suffering a prisoner to escape through neglect
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Releasing a prisoner without proper authority. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- (2) Suffering a prisoner to escape through neglect. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- (3) Suffering a prisoner to escape through design. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- f. Sample specifications.
- (1) Releasing a prisoner without proper authority.

In	that_		(personal juri	isdic	ctior
data),	did,	(at/on	board-location),	on	1 01
about			_ 20,	wit	hou
proper	author	rity, rele	ease,	a	pris-
oner co	mmitt	ed to hi	s/her charge.		

(2) Suffering a prisoner to escape through neglect or design.

In	that_			(pers	onal	juri	SG10	etior
data),	did,	(at/on	boa	rd—lo	catio	n),	or	1 01
about			_ 20			,	thre	ough
(neglect	t) (des	sign), su	ıffer_			,	a	pris-
oner co	mmitt	ed to hi	s/her	charge,	to e	scap	e.	

21. Article 97—Unlawful detention

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who, except as provided by law, apprehends, arrests, or confines any person shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused apprehended, arrested, or confined a certain person; and
- (2) That the accused unlawfully exercised the accused's authority to do so.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) *Scope*. This article prohibits improper acts by **IV-32**

- those empowered by the code to arrest, apprehend, or confine. *See* Articles 7 and 9; R.C.M. 302, 304, 305, and 1101, and paragraphs 2 and 5b, Part V. It does not apply to private acts of false imprisonment or unlawful restraint of another's freedom of movement by one not acting under such a delegation of authority under the code.
- (2) *No force required.* The apprehension, arrest, or confinement must be against the will of the person restrained, but force is not required.
- (3) *Defense*. A reasonable belief held by the person imposing restraint that it is lawful is a defense.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.

f. Sample	specifica	t i o n.	Ιr
that	(personal	jurisdictio	n data)
did, (at/on	b o a r d — l o c	ation),	on or
about	20		, unlaw-
fully (ap	prehend	l)
(p l a c e	i n	arrest)	(con-
fine	in).	

22. Article 98—Noncompliance with procedural rules

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who-

- (1) is responsible for unnecessary delay in the disposition of any case of a person accused of an offense under this chapter; or
- (2) Knowingly and intentionally fails to enforce or comply with any provision of this chapter regulating the proceedings before, during, or after trial of an accused; shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

- (1) Unnecessary delay in disposing of case.
- (a) That the accused was charged with a certain duty in connection with the disposition of a case of a person accused of an offense under the code;
- (b) That the accused knew that the accused was charged with this duty;
- (c) That delay occurred in the disposition of the case;
- (d) That the accused was responsible for the delay; and

- (e) That, under the circumstances, the delay was unnecessary.
- (2) Knowingly and intentionally failing to enforce or comply with provisions of the code.
- (a) That the accused failed to enforce or comply with a certain provision of the code regulating a proceeding before, during, or after a trial;
- (b) That the accused had the duty of enforcing or complying with that provision of the code;
- (c) That the accused knew that the accused was charged with this duty; and
- (d) That the accused's failure to enforce or comply with that provision was intentional.

c. Explanation.

- (1) Unnecessary delay in disposing of case. The purpose of section (1) of Article 98 is to ensure expeditious disposition of cases of persons accused of offenses under the code. A person may be responsible for delay in the disposition of a case only when that person's duties require action with respect to the disposition of that case.
- (2) Knowingly and intentionally failing to enforce or comply with provisions of the code. Section (2) of Article 98 does not apply to errors made in good faith before, during, or after trial. It is designed to punish intentional failure to enforce or comply with the provisions of the code regulating the proceedings before, during, and after trial. Unlawful command influence under Article 37 may be prosecuted under this Article. See also Article 31 and R.C.M. 104.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Unnecessary delay in disposing of case. Badconduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- (2) Knowingly and intentionally failing to enforce or comply with provisions of the code. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.

f. Sample specifications.

(1) Unnecessar	y delay in disposing of	case.
In that	(personal ju	risdiction
data), being charg	ed with the duty of ((inve	stigating)
(taking immediate	steps to determine the pr	oper dis-
position o	f) charges pref	erred
against	, a person accused	of an of-
fense under the 1	Iniform Code of Military	Justice)
() was (at/on board—loca	ation) on

or	about	20			, re-
spo	onsible for unnecessary	delay	in (inves	tigating
sai	d charges) (determining	the prop	er d	lispos	ition of
sai	d charges (),	in	that	he/she
(di	d) (fai	iled to_)
().				

(2) Knowingly and intentionally failing to enforce or comply with provisions of the code.

In that	(persona	l juris	diction
data), being	charged	with	t h e	duty
of	_, did, (at/on	board-	-locati	on), or
or about		20		
knowingly and into	entionally fa	il to (er	force)	(com-
ply with) Article		, Uni:	form C	Code of
Military Justice, ir	n that he/she	<u></u>		

23. Article 99—Misbehavior before the enemy

a. Text.

"Any member of the armed forces who before or in the presence of the enemy—

- (1) runs away;
- (2) shamefully abandons, surrenders, or delivers up any command, unit, place, or military property which it is his duty to defend;
- (3) through disobedience, neglect, or intentional misconduct endangers the safety of any such command, unit, place, or military property;
 - (4) casts away his arms or ammunition;
 - (5) is guilty of cowardly conduct;
 - (6) quits his place of duty to plunder or pillage;
- (7) causes false alarms in any command, unit, or place under control of the armed forces;
- (8) willfully fails to do his utmost to encounter, engage, capture, or destroy any enemy troops, combatants, vessels, aircraft, or any other thing, which it is his duty so to encounter, engage, capture, or destroy; or
- (9) does not afford all practicable relief and assistance to any troops, combatants, vessels, or aircraft of the armed forces belonging to the United States or their allies when engaged in battle; shall be punished by death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct."

- (1) Running away.
- (a) That the accused was before or in the presence of the enemy;

- (b) That the accused misbehaved by running away; and
- (c) That the accused intended to avoid actual or impending combat with the enemy by running away.
- (2) Shamefully abandoning, surrendering, or delivering up command.
- (a) That the accused was charged by orders or circumstances with the duty to defend a certain command, unit, place, ship, or military property;
- (b) That, without justification, the accused shamefully abandoned, surrendered, or delivered up that command, unit, place, ship, or military property; and
- (c) That this act occurred while the accused was before or in the presence of the enemy.
- (3) Endangering safety of a command, unit, place, ship, or military property.
- (a) That it was the duty of the accused to defend a certain command, unit, place, ship, or certain military property;
- (b) That the accused committed certain disobedience, neglect, or intentional misconduct;
- (c) That the accused thereby endangered the safety of the command, unit, place, ship, or military property; and
- (d) That this act occurred while the accused was before or in the presence of the enemy.
 - (4) Casting away arms or ammunition.
- (a) That the accused was before or in the presence of the enemy; and
- (b) That the accused cast away certain arms or ammunition.
 - (5) Cowardly conduct.
- (a) That the accused committed an act of cowardice:
- (b) That this conduct occurred while the accused was before or in the presence of the enemy; and
 - (c) That this conduct was the result of fear.
 - (6) Quitting place of duty to plunder or pillage.
- (a) That the accused was before or in the presence of the enemy;
- (b) That the accused quit the accused's place of duty; and
- (c) That the accused's intention in quitting was to plunder or pillage public or private property.

- (7) Causing false alarms.
- (a) That an alarm was caused in a certain command, unit, or place under control of the armed forces of the United States;
 - (b) That the accused caused the alarm;
- (c) That the alarm was caused without any reasonable or sufficient justification or excuse; and
- (d) That this act occurred while the accused was before or in the presence of the enemy.
- (8) Willfully failing to do utmost to encounter enemy.
- (a) That the accused was serving before or in the presence of the enemy;
- (b) That the accused had a duty to encounter, engage, capture, or destroy certain enemy troops, combatants, vessels, aircraft, or a certain other thing; and
- (c) That the accused willfully failed to do the utmost to perform that duty.
 - (9) Failing to afford relief and assistance.
- (a) That certain troops, combatants, vessels, or aircraft of the armed forces belonging to the United States or an ally of the United States were engaged in battle and required relief and assistance;
- (b) That the accused was in a position and able to render relief and assistance to these troops, combatants, vessels, or aircraft, without jeopardy to the accused's mission;
- (c) That the accused failed to afford all practicable relief and assistance; and
- (d) That, at the time, the accused was before or in the presence of the enemy.
- c. Explanation.
 - (1) Running away.
- (a) Running away. "Running away" means an unauthorized departure to avoid actual or impending combat. It need not, however, be the result of fear, and there is no requirement that the accused literally
- (b) *Enemy*. "Enemy" includes organized forces of the enemy in time of war, any hostile body that our forces may be opposing, such as a rebellious mob or a band of renegades, and includes civilians as well as members of military organizations. "Enemy" is not restricted to the enemy government or its armed forces. All the citizens of one belligerent are enemies of the government and all the citizens of the other.

- (c) Before the enemy. Whether a person is "before the enemy" is a question of tactical relation, not distance. For example, a member of an antiaircraft gun crew charged with opposing anticipated attack from the air, or a member of a unit about to move into combat may be before the enemy although miles from the enemy lines. On the other hand, an organization some distance from the front or immediate area of combat which is not a part of a tactical operation then going on or in immediate prospect is not "before or in the presence of the enemy" within the meaning of this article.
- (2) Shamefully abandoning, surrendering, or delivering up of command.
- (a) *Scope*. This provision concerns primarily commanders chargeable with responsibility for defending a command, unit, place, ship or military property. Abandonment by a subordinate would ordinarily be charged as running away.
- (b) *Shameful*. Surrender or abandonment without justification is shameful within the meaning of this article.
- (c) Surrender; deliver up. "Surrender" and "deliver up" are synonymous for the purposes of this article.
- (d) *Justification*. Surrender or abandonment of a command, unit, place, ship, or military property by a person charged with its can be justified only by the utmost necessity or extremity.
- (3) Endangering safety of a command, unit, place, ship, or military property.
- (a) Neglect. "Neglect" is the absence of conduct which would have been taken by a reasonably careful person in the same or similar circumstances.
- (b) *Intentional misconduct*. "Intentional misconduct" does not include a mere error in judgment.
- (4) Casting away arms or ammunition. Self-explanatory.
 - (5) Cowardly conduct.
- (a) *Cowardice*. "Cowardice" is misbehavior motivated by fear.
- (b) *Fear*. Fear is a natural feeling of apprehension when going into battle. The mere display of apprehension does not constitute this offense.
- (c) Nature of offense. Refusal or abandonment of a performance of duty before or in the presence of the enemy as a result of fear constitutes this offense.

- (d) *Defense*. Genuine and extreme illness, not generated by cowardice, is a defense.
 - (6) Quitting place of duty to plunder or pillage.
- (a) *Place of duty*. "Place of duty" includes any place of duty, whether permanent or temporary, fixed or mobile.
- (b) *Plunder or pillage*. "Plunder or pillage" means to seize or appropriate public or private property unlawfully.
- (c) *Nature of offense*. The essence of this offense is quitting the place of duty with intent to plunder or pillage. Merely quitting with that purpose is sufficient, even if the intended misconduct is not done.
- (7) Causing false alarms. This provision covers spreading of false or disturbing rumors or reports, as well as the false giving of established alarm signals.
- (8) Willfully failing to do utmost to encounter enemy. Willfully refusing a lawful order to go on a combat patrol may violate this provision.
 - (9) Failing to afford relief and assistance.
- (a) All practicable relief and assistance. "All practicable relief and assistance" means all relief and assistance which should be afforded within the limitations imposed upon a person by reason of that person's own specific tasks or mission.
- (b) *Nature of offense*. This offense is limited to a failure to afford relief and assistance to forces "engaged in battle."
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Running away.
- (a) Article 85—desertion with intent to avoid hazardous or important service
- (b) Article 86—absence without authority; going from appointed place of duty
 - (c) Article 80—attempts
- (2) Shamefully abandoning, surrendering, or delivering up command. Article 80—attempts
- (3) Endangering safety of a command, unit, place, ship, or military property.
- (a) Through disobedience of order. Article 92—failure to obey lawful order
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
 - (4) Casting away arms or ammunition.
- (a) Article 108—military property of the United States—loss, damage, destruction, or wrongful disposition.

(b) Article 80—attempts

(5) Cowardly conduct.
(a) Article 85—desertion with intent to avoid
hazardous duty or important service
(b) Article 86—absence without authority
(c) Article 99—running away
(d) Article 80—attempts
(6) Quitting place of duty to plunder or pillage.
(a) Article 86(2)—going from appointed place
of duty
•
(b) Article 80—attempts
(7) Causing false alarms. Article 80—attempts
(8) Willfully failing to do utmost to encounter en-
emy. Article 80—attempts
(9) Failing to afford relief and assistance. Article
80—attempts
e. Maximum punishment. All offenses under Article
99. Death or such other punishment as a court-mar-
tial may direct.
f. Sample specifications.
(1) Running away.
In that (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—location), on or
a b o u t 2 0,
(before) (in the presence of) the enemy, run away
(from his/her company) (and hide)
(), (and did not return until after
the engagement had been concluded)
().
(2) Shamefully abandoning, surrendering, or
delivering up command.
In that (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—location), on or
a b o u t 2 0,
a b o u t 2 0, (before) (in the presence of) the enemy, shamefully
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t

in that he/she became drunk and fired flares, thus
revealing the location of his/her unit)
().
(4) Casting away arms or ammunition.
In that (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—location), on or
a b o u t
(before) (in the presence of) the enemy, cast away
his/her (rifle) (ammunition) ().
(5) Cowardly conduct.
In that (personal jurisdiction
data), (at/on board—location), on or
a b o u t 2 0,
(before) (in the presence of) the enemy, was guilty
of cowardly conduct as a result of fear, in
that
(6) Quitting place of duty to plunder or pillage.
In that (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—location), on or
a b o u t 2 0,
(before) (in the presence of) the enemy, quit his/her
place of duty for the purpose of (plundering) (pillag-
ing) (plundering and pillaging).
(7) Causing false alarms.
In that (personal jurisdiction
1
data), did, (at/on board—location), on or
data), did, (at/on board—location), on or a b o u t 2 0,
a b o u t 2 0,
a b o u t 2 0, (before) (in the presence of) the enemy, cause a
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t
a b o u t

USS_________, which was engaged in battle and had run aground, in that he/she failed to take her in tow) (certain troops of the ground forces of________, which were engaged in battle and were pinned down by enemy fire, in that he/she failed to furnish air cover) (________) as he/she properly should have done.

24. Article 100—Subordinate compelling surrender

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who compels or attempts to compel the commander of any place, vessel, aircraft, or other military property, or of any body of members of the armed forces, to give it up to an enemy or to abandon it, or who strikes the colors or flag to an enemy without proper authority, shall be punished by death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) Compelling surrender.
- (a) That a certain person was in command of a certain place, vessel, aircraft, or other military property or of a body of members of the armed forces;
- (b) That the accused did an overt act which was intended to and did compel that commander to give it up to the enemy or abandon it; and
- (c) That the place, vessel, aircraft, or other military property or body of members of the armed forces was actually given up to the enemy or abandoned.
 - (2) Attempting to compel surrender.
- (a) That a certain person was in command of a certain place, vessel, aircraft, or other military property or of a body of members of the armed forces;
 - (b) That the accused did a certain overt act;
- (c) That the act was done with the intent to compel that commander to give up to the enemy or abandon the place, vessel, aircraft, or other military property or body of members of the armed forces;
- (d) That the act amounted to more than mere preparation; and
- (e) That the act apparently tended to bring about the compelling of surrender or abandonment.
 - (3) Striking the colors or flag.
- (a) That there was an offer of surrender to an enemy;

- (b) That this offer was made by striking the colors or flag to the enemy or in some other manner;
- (c) That the accused made or was responsible for the offer; and
- (d) That the accused did not have proper authority to make the offer.

- (1) Compelling surrender.
- (a) *Nature of offense*. The offenses under this article are similar to mutiny or attempted mutiny designed to bring about surrender or abandonment. Unlike some cases of mutiny, however, concert of action is not an essential element of the offenses under this article. The offense is not complete until the place, military property, or command is actually abandoned or given up to the enemy.
- (b) *Surrender*. "Surrender" and "to give it up to an enemy" are synonymous.
- (c) Acts required. The surrender or abandonment must be compelled or attempted to be compelled by acts rather than words.
- (2) Attempting to compel surrender. The offense of attempting to compel a surrender or abandonment does not require actual abandonment or surrender, but there must be some act done with this purpose in view, even if it does not accomplish the purpose.
 - (3) Striking the colors or flag.
- (a) *In general.* To "strike the colors or flag" is to haul down the colors or flag in the face of the enemy or to make any other offer of surrender. It is traditional wording for an act of surrender.
- (b) Nature of offense. The offense is committed when one assumes the authority to surrender a military force or position when not authorized to do so either by competent authority or by the necessities of battle. If continued battle has become fruitless and it is impossible to communicate with higher authority, those facts will constitute proper authority to surrender. The offense may be committed whenever there is sufficient contact with the enemy to give the opportunity of making an offer of surrender and it is not necessary that an engagement with the enemy be in progress. It is unnecessary to prove that the offer was received by the enemy or that it was rejected or accepted. The sending of an emissary charged with making the offer or surrender is an act sufficient to prove the offer, even though the emissary does not reach the enemy.

- (4) *Enemy*. For a discussion of "enemy," *see* paragraph 23c(1)(b).
- d. Lesser included offense. Striking the colors or flag. Article 80— attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. All offenses under Article 100. Death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct.
- f. Sample specifications.
- (1) Compelling surrender or attempting to compel surrender.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on—l	ooard location), on or
about	20, (at-
tempt to) compel	, the commander
of, (to	give up to the enemy) (to
abandon) s	a i d
by .	

(2) Striking the colors or flag.

In that______ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location), on or about______ 20_____, without proper authority, offer to surrender to the enemy by (striking the (colors)(flag)) (______).

25. Article 101—Improper use of countersign

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who in time of war discloses the parole or countersign to any person not entitled to receive it or who gives to another who is entitled to receive and use the parole or countersign a different parole or countersign from that which, to his knowledge, he was authorized and required to give, shall be punished by death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) Disclosing the parole or countersign to one not entitled to receive it.
- (a) That, in time of war, the accused disclosed the parole or countersign to a person, identified or unidentified; and
- (b) That this person was not entitled to receive it.
- (2) Giving a parole or countersign different from that authorized.
- (a) That, in time of war, the accused knew that the accused was authorized and required to give a certain parole or countersign; and
 - (b) That the accused gave to a person entitled

to receive and use this parole or countersign a different parole or countersign from that which the accused was authorized and required to give.

- c. Explanation.
- (1) Countersign. A countersign is a word, signal, or procedure given from the principal headquarters of a command to aid guards and sentinels in their scrutiny of persons who apply to pass the lines. It consists of a secret challenge and a password, signal, or procedure.
- (2) *Parole*. A parole is a word used as a check on the countersign; it is given only to those who are entitled to inspect guards and to commanders of guards.
- (3) Who may receive countersign. The class of persons entitled to receive the countersign or parole will expand and contract under the varying circumstances of war. Who these persons are will be determined largely, in any particular case, by the general or special orders under which the accused was acting. Before disclosing such a word, a person subject to military law must determine at that person's peril that the recipient is a person authorized to receive it.
- (4) Intent, motive, negligence, mistake, ignorance not defense. The accused's intent or motive in disclosing the countersign or parole is immaterial to the issue of guilt, as is the fact that the disclosure was negligent or inadvertent. It is no defense that the accused did not know that the person to whom the countersign or parole was given was not entitled to receive it.
- (5) How accused received countersign or parole. It is immaterial whether the accused had received the countersign or parole in the regular course of duty or whether it was obtained in some other way.
 - (6) In time of war. See R.C.M. 103(19).
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct.
- f. Sample specifications.
- (1) Disclosing the parole or countersign to one not entitled to receive it.

In	that_		(personal	juris	dict	ioı
data),	did,	(at/on	board-	-locatio	n),	on	0
about_			20		,	a ti	me
of war	, disc	close the	e (parol	e)(count	ersig	gn),	to
wit:		,	to		, a	pers	SOI
who wa	as not	entitled	to receiv	e it.			

(2) Giving	a parole	or	countersign	different	from
that	authorize	ed.				

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on	board-location), on or
about	_ 20, a time
of war, give to	, a person entitled to
receive and use the (p	arole)(countersign), a (parole)
(countersign), namely:	which was
different from that wh	ich, to his/her knowledge, he/
she was authorized	and required to give, to
wit:	

26. Article 102—Forcing a safeguard

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who forces a safeguard shall suffer death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) that a safeguard had been issued or posted for the protection of a certain person or persons, place, or property;
- (2) That the accused knew or should have known of the safeguard; and
 - (3) That the accused forced the safeguard.

c. Explanation.

- (1) Safeguard. A safeguard is a detachment, guard, or detail posted by a commander for the protection of persons, places, or property of the enemy, or of a neutral affected by the relationship of belligerent forces in their prosecution of war or during circumstances amounting to a state of belligerency. The term also includes a written order left by a commander with an enemy subject or posted upon enemy property for the protection of that person or property. A safeguard is not a device adopted by a belligerent to protect its own property or nationals or to ensure order within its own forces, even if those forces are in a theater of combat operations, and the posting of guards or of off-limits signs does not establish a safeguard unless a commander takes those actions to protect enemy or neutral persons or property. The effect of a safeguard is to pledge the honor of the nation that the person or property shall be respected by the national armed forces.
- (2) Forcing a safeguard. "Forcing a safeguard" means to perform an act or acts in violation of the protection of the safeguard.
 - (3) Nature of offense. Any trespass on the protec-

- tion of the safeguard will constitute an offense under this article, whether the safeguard was imposed in time of war or in circumstances amounting to a state of belligerency short of a formal state of war.
- (4) *Knowledge*. Actual knowledge of the safeguard is not required. It is sufficient if an accused should have known of the existence of the safeguard.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct.

f. Sample	specification	. I n
that	(personal jurisdi	ction data),
did, (at/on	board—location	n), on or
about	20	, force a
safeguard, (know	vn by him/her to have	been placed
over the premi	ses occupied by	
at	by (overwhelming	g the guard
posted for the p	protection of the same)	
(_)) ()).

27. Article 103—Captured or abandoned property

a. Text.

- "(a) All persons subject to this chapter shall secure all public property taken from the enemy for the service of the United States, and shall give notice and turn over to the proper authority without delay all captured or abandoned property in their possession, custody, or control.
 - (b) Any person subject to this chapter who—
- (1) fails to carry out the duties prescribed in subsection (a);
- (2) buys, sells, trades, or in any way deals in or disposes of captured or abandoned property, whereby he receives or expects any profit, benefit, or advantage to himself or another directly or indirectly connected with himself; or
- (3) engages in looting or pillaging; shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) Failing to secure public property taken from the enemy.
- (a) That certain public property was taken from the enemy;
- (b) That this property was of a certain value; and
 - (c) That the accused failed to do what was rea-

sonable under the circumstances to secure this property for the service of the United States.

- (2) Failing to report and turn over captured or abandoned property.
- (a) That certain captured or abandoned public or private property came into the possession, custody, or control of the accused;
- (b) That this property was of a certain value; and
- (c) That the accused failed to give notice of its receipt and failed to turn over to proper authority, without delay, the captured or abandoned public or private property.
 - (3) Dealing in captured or abandoned property.
- (a) That the accused bought, sold, traded, or otherwise dealt in or disposed of certain public or private captured or abandoned property;
 - (b) That this property was of certain value; and
- (c) That by so doing the accused received or expected some profit, benefit, or advantage to the accused or to a certain person or persons connected directly or indirectly with the accused.
 - (4) Looting or pillaging.
- (a) That the accused engaged in looting, pillaging, or looting and pillaging by unlawfully seizing or appropriating certain public or private property;
- (b) That this property was located in enemy or occupied territory, or that it was on board a seized or captured vessel; and
 - (c) That this property was:
- (i) left behind, owned by, or in the custody of the enemy, an occupied state, an inhabitant of an occupied state, or a person under the protection of the enemy or occupied state, or who, immediately prior to the occupation of the place where the act occurred, was under the protection of the enemy or occupied state; or
- (ii) part of the equipment of a seized or captured vessel; or
- (iii) owned by, or in the custody of the officers, crew, or passengers on board a seized or captured vessel.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) Failing to secure public property taken from the enemy.
- (a) Nature of property. Unlike the remaining offenses under this article, failing to secure public

- property taken from the enemy involves only public property. Immediately upon its capture from the enemy public property becomes the property of the United States. Neither the person who takes it nor any other person has any private right in this property.
- (b) *Nature of duty*. Every person subject to military law has an immediate duty to take such steps as are reasonably within that person's power to secure public property for the service of the United States and to protect it from destruction or loss.
- (2) Failing to report and turn over captured or abandoned property.
- (a) Reports. Reports of receipt of captured or abandoned property are to be made directly or through such channels as are required by current regulations, orders, or the customs of the service.
- (b) *Proper authority*. "Proper authority" is any authority competent to order disposition of the property in question.
- (3) Dealing in captured or abandoned property. "Disposed of" includes destruction or abandonment.
- (4) Looting or pillaging. "Looting or pillaging" means unlawfully seizing or appropriating property which is located in enemy or occupied territory.
- (5) *Enemy*. For a discussion of "enemy," see paragraph 23c(1)(b).
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80—attempts e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Failing to secure public property taken from the enemy; failing to secure, give notice and turn over, selling, or otherwise wrongfully dealing in or disposing of captured or abandoned property:
- (a) of a value of \$500.00 or less. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- (b) of a value of more than \$500.00 or any firearm or explosive. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- (2) Looting or pillaging. Any punishment, other than death, that a court-martial may direct. See R.C.M. 1003.
- f. Sample specifications.
- (1) Failing to secure public property taken from the enemy.
- In that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location), on or

about, fail to
secure for the service of the United States certain
public property taken from the enemy, to
wit:, of a value of (about)
\$
(2) Failing to report and turn over captured or
abandoned property.
In that (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—location), on or
about, fail to
give notice and turn over to proper authority without
delay certain (captured) (abandoned) property which
had come into his/her (possession) (custody) (con-
trol), to wit:, of a value of (about),
\$
(3) Dealing in captured or abandoned property.
In that (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—location), on or
about
(sell) (trade) (deal in) (dispose of)
() certain (captured) (abandoned)
property, to wit:, (a firearm) (an
explosive), of a value of (about) \$,
thereby (receiving) (expecting) a (profit) (benefit)
(advantage) to (himself/herself)
(, his/her accomplice)
(, his/her brother)
().
(4) Looting or pillaging.
In that (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—location), on or
about, engage
in (looting) (pillage) (looting and pillaging) by un-
lawfully (seizing) (appropriating),
(property which had been left behind) (the property
of), (an inhabitant
of) ()).

28. Article 104—Aiding the enemy

a. Text.

"Any person who-

- (1) aids, or attempts to aid, the enemy with arms, ammunition, supplies, money, or other things; or
- (2) without proper authority, knowingly harbors or protects or gives intelligence to or communicates or corresponds with or holds any intercourse with the enemy, either directly or indirectly; shall suffer

death or such other punishment as a court-martial or military commission may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) Aiding the enemy.
 - (a) That the accused aided the enemy; and
- (b) That the accused did so with certain arms, ammunition, supplies, money, or other things.
 - (2) Attempting to aid the enemy.
 - (a) That the accused did a certain overt act;
- (b) That the act was done with the intent to aid the enemy with certain arms, ammunition, supplies, money, or other things;
- (c) That the act amounted to more than mere preparation; and
- (d) That the act apparently tended to bring about the offense of aiding the enemy with certain arms, ammunition, supplies, money, or other things.
 - (3) Harboring or protecting the enemy.
- (a) That the accused, without proper authority, harbored or protected a person;
- (b) That the person so harbored or protected was the enemy; and
- (c) That the accused knew that the person so harbored or protected was an enemy.
 - (4) Giving intelligence to the enemy.
- (a) That the accused, without proper authority, knowingly gave intelligence information to the enemy; and
- (b) That the intelligence information was true, or implied the truth, at least in part.
 - (5) Communicating with the enemy.
- (a) That the accused, without proper authority, communicated, corresponded, or held intercourse with the enemy, and;
- (b) That the accused knew that the accused was communicating, corresponding, or holding intercourse with the enemy.

- (1) Scope of Article 104. This article denounces offenses by all persons whether or not otherwise subject to military law. Offenders may be tried by court-martial or by military commission.
- (2) *Enemy*. For a discussion of "enemy," *see* paragraph 23c(1)(b).
- (3) Aiding or attempting to aid the enemy. It is not a violation of this article to furnish prisoners of

war subsistence, quarters, and other comforts or aid to which they are lawfully entitled.

- (4) Harboring or protecting the enemy.
- (a) *Nature of offense*. An enemy is harbored or protected when, without proper authority, that enemy is shielded, either physically or by use of any artifice, aid, or representation from any injury or misfortune which in the chance of war may occur.
- (b) *Knowledge*. Actual knowledge is required, but may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
 - (5) Giving intelligence to the enemy.
- (a) *Nature of offense*. Giving intelligence to the enemy is a particular case of corresponding with the enemy made more serious by the fact that the communication contains intelligence that may be useful to the enemy for any of the many reasons that make information valuable to belligerents. This intelligence may be conveyed by direct or indirect means.
- (b) *Intelligence*. "Intelligence" imports that the information conveyed is true or implies the truth, at least in part.
- (c) *Knowledge*. Actual knowledge is required but may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
 - (6) Communicating with the enemy.
- (a) Nature of the offense. No unauthorized communication, correspondence, or intercourse with the enemy is permissible. The intent, content, and method of the communication, correspondence, or intercourse are immaterial. No response or receipt by the enemy is required. The offense is complete the moment the communication, correspondence, or intercourse issues from the accused. The communication, correspondence, or intercourse may be conveyed directly or indirectly. A prisoner of war may violate this Article by engaging in unauthorized communications with the enemy. See also paragraph 29c(3).
- (b) *Knowledge*. Actual knowledge is required but may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
- (c) Citizens of neutral powers. Citizens of neutral powers resident in or visiting invaded or occupied territory can claim no immunity from the customary laws of war relating to communication with the enemy.
- d. Lesser included offense. For harboring or protecting the enemy, giving intelligence to the enemy, or communicating with the enemy. Article 80—attempts

e. *Maximum punishment*. Death or such other punishment as a court-martial or military commission may direct.

publishing in______, a newspaper published at______, a communication in

words and figures as follows, to

wit:_____, which communication was in-

tended to reach the enemy))((_____

29. Article 105—Misconduct as a prisoner

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who, while in the hands of the enemy in time of war—

- (1) for the purpose of securing favorable treatment by his captors acts without proper authority in a manner contrary to law, custom, or regulation, to the detriment of others of whatever nationality held by the enemy as civilian or military prisoners; or
- (2) while in a position of authority over such persons maltreats them without justifiable cause; shall be punished as a court-martial may direct." b. *Elements*.
- (1) Acting without authority to the detriment of another for the purpose of securing favorable treatment.
- (a) That without proper authority the accused acted in a manner contrary to law, custom, or regulation;
- (b) That the act was committed while the accused was in the hands of the enemy in time of war;
- (c) That the act was done for the purpose of securing favorable treatment of the accused by the captors; and
- (d) That other prisoners held by the enemy, either military or civilian, suffered some detriment because of the accused's act.
- (2) Maltreating prisoners while in a position of authority.
- (a) That the accused maltreated a prisoner held by the enemy;
- (b) That the act occurred while the accused was in the hands of the enemy in time of war;
- (c) That the accused held a position of authority over the person maltreated; and
- (d) That the act was without justifiable cause. c. *Explanation*.
- (1) *Enemy*. For a discussion of "enemy," *see* paragraph 23c(1)(b).
 - (2) In time of war. See R.C.M. 103(19).
- (3) Acting without authority to the detriment of another for the purpose of securing favorable treatment.
- (a) *Nature of offense*. Unauthorized conduct by a prisoner of war must be intended to result in improvement by the enemy of the accused's condition and must operate to the detriment of other prisoners

either by way of closer confinement, reduced rations, physical punishment, or other harm. Examples of this conduct include reporting plans of escape being prepared by others or reporting secret food caches, equipment, or arms. The conduct of the prisoner must be contrary to law, custom, or regulation.

- (b) *Escape*. Escape from the enemy is authorized by custom. An escape or escape attempt which results in closer confinement or other measures against fellow prisoners still in the hands of the enemy is not an offense under this article.
- (4) Maltreating prisoners while in a position of authority.
- (a) Authority. The source of authority is not material. It may arise from the military rank of the accused or—despite service regulations or customs to the contrary—designation by the captor authorities, or voluntary election or selection by other prisoners for their self-government.
- (b) *Maltreatment*. The maltreatment must be real, although not necessarily physical, and it must be without justifiable cause. Abuse of an inferior by inflammatory and derogatory words may, through mental anguish, constitute this offense.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Any punishment other than death that a court-martial may direct. *See* R.C.M. 1003.
- f. Sample specifications.
- (1) Acting without authority to the detriment of another for the purpose of securing favorable treatment.

In that (personal jurisdiction
data), while in the hands of the enemy, did, (at/or
board—location) on or about
20, a time of war, without proper
authority and for the purpose of securing favorable
treatment by his/her captors, (report to the com-
mander of Camp the preparations
by, a prisoner at said camp, to es-
cape, as a result of which report the
said was placed in solitary confine-
ment) ().

(2) Maltreating prisoner while in a position of authority.

In	that_			(personal	juris	sdict	ion
data),	did,	(at/on	board	l—locatio	n),	on	or
about			_ 20			a ti	me
of ward	. whil	e in the	hands.	of the ener	nv a	nd ii	n a

position of authority over	·			, a pris-
oner at,	as	(office	r in	charge of
prisoners at		_) (),
maltreat the said			by	(depriving
him/her of		_)(),
without justifiable cause.				

30. Article 106—Spies

a. Text.

"Any person who in time of war is found lurking as a spy or acting as a spy in or about any place, vessel, or aircraft, within the control or jurisdiction of any of the armed forces, or in or about any shipyard, any manufacturing or industrial plant, or any other place or institution engaged in work in aid of the prosecution of the war by the United States, or elsewhere, shall be tried by a general court-martial or by a military commission and on conviction shall be punished by death."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused was found in, about, or in and about a certain place, vessel, or aircraft within the control or jurisdiction of an armed force of the United States, or a shipyard, manufacturing or industrial plant, or other place or institution engaged in work in aid of the prosecution of the war by the United States, or elsewhere;
- (2) That the accused was lurking, acting clandestinely or under false pretenses;
- (3) That the accused was collecting or attempting to collect certain information;
- (4) That the accused did so with the intent to convey this information to the enemy; and
- (5) That this was done in time of war. c. *Explanation*.
 - (1) In time of war. See R.C.M. 103(19).
- (2) *Enemy*. For a discussion of "enemy," *see* paragraph 23c(1)(b).
- (3) Scope of offense. The words "any person" bring within the jurisdiction of general courts-martial and military commissions all persons of whatever nationality or status who commit spying.
- (4) *Nature of offense*. A person can be a spy only when, acting clandestinely or under false pretenses, that person obtains or seeks to obtain information with the intent to convey it to a hostile party. It is not essential that the accused obtain the information sought or that it be communicated. The of-

fense is complete with lurking or acting clandestinely or under false pretenses with intent to accomplish these objects.

- (5) *Intent.* It is necessary to prove an intent to convey information to the enemy. This intent may be inferred from evidence of a deceptive insinuation of the accused among our forces, but evidence that the person had come within the lines for a comparatively innocent purpose, as to visit family or to reach friendly lines by assuming a disguise, is admissible to rebut this inference.
 - (6) Persons not included under "spying".
- (a) Members of a military organization not wearing a disguise, dispatch drivers, whether members of a military organization or civilians, and persons in ships or aircraft who carry out their missions openly and who have penetrated enemy lines are not spies because, while they may have resorted to concealment, they have not acted under false pretenses.
- (b) A spy who, after rejoining the armed forces to which the spy belongs, is later captured by the enemy incurs no responsibility for previous acts of espionage.
- (c) A person living in occupied territory who, without lurking, or acting clandestinely or under false pretenses, merely reports what is seen or heard through agents to the enemy may be charged under Article 104 with giving intelligence to or communicating with the enemy, but may not be charged under this article as being a spy.
- d. Lesser included offenses. None.
- e. Mandatory punishment. Death
- f. Sample specification. that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), was, (at/on board—location), on or about_______, a time of war, found (lurking) (acting) as a spy (in) (about) (in and about)______, (a (fortification) (port) (base) (vessel) (aircraft) (___ within the (control)(jurisdiction) (control and jurisdiction) of an armed force of the United States, to ______) (a (shipyard) (manufacturing plant) (industrial plant) (______) engaged in work in aid of the prosecution of the war by the United States) (______), for the purpose of (collecting) (attempting to collect) information in regard to the ((numbers) (resources) (operations) (_____) of the armed forces of the United States) ((military production)

(_______) of the United States)
(______), with intent to impart the same to the enemy.

30a. Article 106a—Espionage

a. Text.

- "(a)(1) Any person subject to this chapter who, with intent or reason to believe that it is to be used to the injury of the United States or to the advantage of a foreign nation, communicates, delivers, or transmits, or attempts to communicate, deliver, or transmit, to any entity described in paragraph (2), either directly or indirectly, anything described in paragraph (3) shall be punished as a court-martial may direct, except that if the accused is found guilty of an offense that directly concerns (A) nuclear weaponry, military spacecraft or satellites, early warning systems, or other means of defense or retaliation against large scale attack, (B) war plans, (C) communications intelligence or cryptographic information, or (D) any other major weapons system or major element of defense strategy, the accused shall be punished by death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct.
 - (2) An entity referred to in paragraph (1) is—
 - (A) a foreign government;
- (B) a faction or party or military or naval force within a foreign country, whether recognized or unrecognized by the United States; or
- (C) a representative, officer, agent, employee, subject, or citizen of such a government, faction, party, or force.
- (3) A thing referred to in paragraph (1) is a document, writing, code book, signal book, sketch, photograph, photographic negative, blueprint, plan, map, model, note, instrument, appliance, or information relating to the national defense.
- (b)(1) No person may be sentenced by court-martial to suffer death for an offense under this section (article) unless—
- (A) the members of the court-martial unanimously find at least one of the aggravating factors set out in subsection (c); and
- (B) the members unanimously determine that any extenuating or mitigating circumstances are substantially outweighed by any aggravating circumstances, including the aggravating factors set out under subsection (c).
- (2) Findings under this subsection may be based on—

- (A) evidence introduced on the issue of guilt or innocence;
- (B) evidence introduced during the sentencing proceeding; or
 - (C) all such evidence.
- (3) The accused shall be given broad latitude to present matters in extenuation and mitigation.
- (c) A sentence of death may be adjudged by a court-martial for an offense under this section (article) only if the members unanimously find, beyond a reasonable doubt, one or more of the following aggravating factors:
- (1) The accused has been convicted of another offense involving espionage or treason for which either a sentence of death or imprisonment for life was authorized by statute.
- (2) In the commission of the offense, the accused knowingly created a grave risk of substantial damage to the national security.
- (3) In the commission of the offense, the accused knowingly created a grave risk of death to another person.
- (4) Any other factor that may be prescribed by the President by regulations under section 836 of this title (Article 36)."

b. Elements.

- (1) Espionage.
- (a) That the accused communicated, delivered, or transmitted any document, writing, code book, signal book, sketch, photograph, photographic negative, blueprint, plan, map, model, note, instrument, appliance, or information relating to the national defense;
- (b) That this matter was communicated, delivered, or transmitted to any foreign government, or to any faction or party or military or naval force within a foreign country, whether recognized or unrecognized by the United States, or to any representative, officer, agent, employee, subject or citizen thereof, either directly or indirectly; and
- (c) That the accused did so with intent or reason to believe that such matter would be used to the injury of the United States or to the advantage of a foreign nation.
 - (2) Attempted espionage.
 - (a) That the accused did a certain overt act;
- (b) That the act was done with the intent to commit the offense of espionage;

- (c) That the act amounted to more than mere preparation; and
- (d) That the act apparently tended to bring about the offense of espionage.
 - (3) Espionage as a capital offense.
- (a) That the accused committed espionage or attempted espionage; and
- (b) That the offense directly concerned (1) nuclear weaponry, military spacecraft or satellites, early warning systems, or other means of defense or retaliation against large scale attack, (2) war plans, (3) communications intelligence or cryptographic information, or (4) any other major weapons system or major element of defense strategy.

c. Explanation.

- (1) *Intent.* "Intent or reason to believe" that the information "is to be used to the injury of the United States or to the advantage of a foreign nation" means that the accused acted in bad faith and without lawful authority with respect to information that is not lawfully accessible to the public.
- (2) National defense information. "Instrument, appliance, or information relating to the national defense" includes the full range of modern technology and matter that may be developed in the future, including chemical or biological agents, computer technology, and other matter related to the national defense.
- (3) Espionage as a capital offense. Capital punishment is authorized if the government alleges and proves that the offense directly concerned (1) nuclear weaponry, military spacecraft or satellites, early warning systems, or other means of defense or retaliation against large scale attack, (2) war plans, (3) communications intelligence or cryptographic information, or (4) any other major weapons system or major element of defense strategy. See R.C.M. 1004 concerning sentencing proceedings in capital cases.
- d. Lesser included offense. Although no lesser included offenses are set forth in the Code, federal civilian offenses on this matter may be incorporated through the third clause of Article 134.

e. Maximum punishment.

- (1) Espionage as a capital offense. Death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct. See R.C.M. 1003.
- (2) Espionage or attempted espionage. Any pun-

ishment, other than death, that a court-martial may direct. See R.C.M. 1003.

f. Sample specification.

In that (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board-location), on or
about, with
intent or reason to believe it would be used to the
injury of the United States or to the advantage
of, a foreign nation, (attempt to)
(communicate) (deliver) (transmit)
(description of item), (a document) (a writing) (a
code book) (a sketch) (a photograph) (a photo-
graphic negative) (a blueprint) (a plan) (a map) (a
model) (a note) (an instrument) (an appliance) (in-
formation) relating to the national defense, ((which
directly concerned (nuclear weaponry) (military
spacecraft) (military satellites) (early warning sys-
tems) (, a means of defense or re-
taliation against a large scale attack) (war plans)
(communications intelligence) (cryptographic infor-
mation) (, a major weapons sys-
tem) (, a major element of defense
strategy)) to ((a representative of)
(an officer of) (an agent of) (an employee of) (a
subject of) (a citizen of)) ((a foreign government) (a
faction within a foreign country) (a party within a
foreign country) (a military force within a foreign
country) (a naval force within a foreign country))
(indirectly by).

31. Article 107-False official statements

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who, with intent to deceive, signs any false record, return, regulation, order, or other official document, knowing it to be false, or makes any other false official statement knowing it to be false, shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused signed a certain official document or made a certain official statement:
- (2) That the document or statement was false in certain particulars;
- (3) That the accused knew it to be false at the time of signing it or making it; and
- (4) That the false document or statement was made with the intent to deceive.

c. Explanation.

(1) Official documents and statements. Official

documents and official statements include all documents and statements made in the line of duty.

- (2) Status of victim of the deception. The rank of any person intended to be deceived is immaterial if that person was authorized in the execution of a particular duty to require or receive the statement or document from the accused. The government may be the victim of this offense.
- (3) Intent to deceive. The false representation must be made with the intent to deceive. It is not necessary that the false statement be material to the issue inquiry. If, however, the falsity is in respect to a material matter, it may be considered as some evidence of the intent to deceive, while immateriality may tend to show an absence of this intent.
- (4) Material gain. The expectation of material gain is not an element of this offense. Such expectation or lack of it, however, is circumstantial evidence bearing on the element of intent to deceive.
- (5) Knowledge that the document or statement was false. The false representation must be one which the accused actually knew was false. Actual knowledge may be proved by circumstantial evidence. An honest, although erroneous, belief that a statement made is true, is a defense.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80—attempts e. Maximum punishment. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.

f. Samp	le specification.	Ιn
that	(personal jurisdiction	data),
did, (at/on bo	oard—location), (subject-matter ju	risdic-
tion data, if	required), on or about	
20	, with intent to deceive, (s	ign an
official (rec	cord) (return) (_), to
wit:) (make to	
an official	statement, to wit:),
which (re	ecord) (return) (statem	nent)
() was (totally false) (fa	lse in
that), and was then known	by the
said	to be so false.	

32. Article 108—Military property of the United States—sale, loss, damage, destruction, or wrongful disposition

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who, without

proper authority—

- (1) sells or otherwise disposes of;
- (2) willfully or through neglect damages, destroys, or loses; or
- (3) willfully or through neglect suffers to be lost, damaged, destroyed, sold, or wrongfully disposed of, any military property of the United States, shall be punished as a court-martial may direct." b. *Elements*.
- (1) Selling or otherwise disposing of military property.
- (a) That the accused sold or otherwise disposed of certain property (which was a firearm or explosive);
- (b) That the sale or disposition was without proper authority;
- (c) That the property was military property of the United States; and
 - (d) That the property was of a certain value.
- (2) Damaging, destroying, or losing military property.
- (a) That the accused, without proper authority, damaged or destroyed certain property in a certain way, or lost certain property;
- (b) That the property was military property of the United States;
- (c) That the damage, destruction, or loss was willfully caused by the accused or was the result of neglect by the accused; and
- (d) That the property was of a certain value or the damage was of a certain amount.
- (3) Suffering military property to be lost, damaged, destroyed, sold, or wrongfully disposed of.
- (a) That certain property (which was a firearm or explosive) was lost, damaged, destroyed, sold, or wrongfully disposed of;
- (b) That the property was military property of the United States;
- (c) That the loss, damage, destruction, sale, or wrongful disposition was suffered by the accused, without proper authority, through a certain omission of duty by the accused;
- $\begin{tabular}{ll} \begin{tabular}{ll} \beg$
- (e) That the property was of a certain value or the damage was of a certain amount.
- c. Explanation.

- (1) Military property. Military property is all property, real or personal, owned, held, or used by one of the armed forces of the United States. If is immaterial whether the property sold, disposed, destroyed, lost, or damaged had been issued to the accused, to someone else, or even issued at all. If it is proved by either direct or circumstantial evidence that items of individual issue were issued to the accused, it may be inferred, depending on all the evidence, that the damage, destruction, or loss proved was due to the neglect of the accused. Retail merchandise of service exchange stores is not military property under this article.
- (2) Suffering military property to be lost, damaged, destroyed, sold, or wrongfully disposed of. "To suffer" means to allow or permit. The willful or negligent sufferance specified by this article includes: deliberate violation or intentional disregard of some specific law, regulation, or order; reckless or unwarranted personal use of the property; causing or allowing it to remain exposed to the weather, insecurely housed, or not guarded; permitting it to be consumed, wasted, or injured by other persons; or loaning it to a person, known to be irresponsible, by whom it is damaged.
- (3) Value and damage. In the case of loss, destruction, sale, or wrongful disposition, the value of the property controls the maximum punishment which may be adjudged. In the case of damage, the amount of damage controls. As a general rule, the amount of damage is the estimated or actual cost of repair by the government agency normally employed in such work, or the cost of replacement, as shown by government price lists or otherwise, whichever is less.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Sale or disposition of military property.
 - (a) Article 80-attempts
- (b) Article 134—sale or disposition of non-military government property
 - (2) Willfully damaging military property.
- (a) Article 108—damaging military property through neglect
- (b) Article 109—willfully damaging non-military property
 - (c) Article 80—attempts
- (3) Willfully suffering military property to be damaged.

- (a) Article 108—through neglect suffering military property to be damaged
 - (b) Article 80-attempts
 - (4) Willfully destroying military property.
- (a) Article 108—through neglect destroying military property
- (b) Article 109—willfully destroying non-military property
- (c) Article 108—willfully damaging military property
- (d) Article 109—willfully damaging non-military property
- (e) Article 108—through neglect damaging military property
 - (f) Article 80—attempts
- (5) Willfully suffering military property to be destroyed.
- (a) Article 108—through neglect suffering military property to be destroyed
- (b) Article 108—willfully suffering military property to be damaged
- (c) Article 108—through neglect suffering military property to be damaged
 - (d) Article 80-attempts
 - (6) Willfully losing military property.
- (a) Article 108—through neglect, losing military property
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
 - (7) Willfully suffering military property to be lost.
- (a) Article 108—through neglect, suffering military property to be lost
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
- (8) Willfully suffering military property to be sold.
- (a) Article 108—through neglect, suffering military property to be sold
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
- (9) Willfully suffering military property to be wrongfully disposed of.
- (a) Article 108—through neglect, suffering military property to be wrongfully disposed of in the manner alleged
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Selling or otherwise disposing of military property.

- (a) Of a value of \$500.00 or less. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowance, and confinement for 1 year.
- (b) Of a value of more than \$500.00 or any firearm or explosive. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.
- (2) Through neglect damaging, destroying, or losing, or through neglect suffering to be lost, damaged, destroyed, sold, or wrongfully disposed of, military property.
- (a) Of a value or damage of \$500.00 or less. Confinement for 6 months, and forfeiture of twothirds pay per month for 6 months.
- (b) Of a value or damage of more than \$500.00. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- (3) Willfully damaging, destroying, or losing, or willfully suffering to be lost, damaged, destroyed, sold, or wrongfully disposed of, military property.
- (a) Of a value or damage of \$500.00 or less. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- (b) Of a value or damage of more than \$500.00, or of any firearm or explosive. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.
- f. Sample specifications.

(1) Selling	or dis	nosing	of mil	itary 1	nronerty

In that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about______, without proper authority,(sell to_____) (dispose arm) (an explosive)) of a value of (about) \$______, military property of the United

(2) Damaging, destroying, or losing military property.

In that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about______, without proper authority, ((willfully) (through neglect)) ((damage by _____) (destroy value of (about) \$_______, military prop-

erty of the United States (the amount of said	damage
being in the sum of (about) \$).

(3) Suffering military property to be lost, damaged, destroyed, sold, or wrongfully disposed of.

	00 7 1	J
In that	(personal jur	isdiction
data), did, (at/on board—lo	cation) (subject	et-matter
jurisdiction data, if	required),	on or
about 20	······································	without
proper authority, (willfully)	(through negle	ect) suf-
fer, ((a firea	rm) (an explos	ive)) (of
a value of (about) \$) milita	ıry prop-
erty of the United States, t	to be (lost) (damaged
b y) (
by) (sold	to)
(wrongfully disposed of by_) (the
amount of said damage being	g in the sum of	of (about
\$).	-	

33. Article 109—Property other than military property of the United States-waste, spoilage, or destruction

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who willfully or recklessly wastes, spoils, or otherwise willfully and wrongfully destroys or damages any property other than military property of the United States shall be punished as a court-martial may direct." b. Elements.

- (1) Wasting or spoiling of non-military property.
- (a) That the accused willfully or recklessly wasted or spoiled certain real property in a certain manner;
- (b) That the property was that of another person: and
 - (c) That the property was of a certain value.
- (2) Destroying or damaging non-military proper-
- (a) That the accused willfully and wrongfully destroyed or damaged certain personal property in a certain manner;
- (b) That the property was that of another person; and
- (c) That the property was of a certain value or the damage was of a certain amount.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) Wasting or spoiling non-military property. This portion of Article 109 proscribes willful or reckless waste or spoliation of the real property of

another. The terms "wastes" and "spoils" as used in this article refer to such wrongful acts of voluntary destruction of or permanent damage to real property as burning down buildings, burning piers, tearing down fences, or cutting down trees. This destruction in punishable whether done willfully, that is intentionally, or recklessly, that is through a culpable disregard of the foreseeable consequences of some voluntary act.

- (2) Destroying or damaging non-military property. This portion of Article 109 proscribes the willful and wrongful destruction or damage of the personal property of another. To be destroyed, the property need not be completely demolished or annihilated, but must be sufficiently injured to be useless for its intended purpose. Damage consists of any physical injury to the property. To constitute an offense under this section, the destruction or damage of the property must have been willful and wrongful. As used in this section "willfully" means intentionally and "wrongfully" means contrary to law, regulation, lawful order, or custom. Willfulness may be proved by circumstantial evidence, such as the manner in which the acts were done.
- (3) Value and damage. In the case of destruction, the value of the property destroyed controls the maximum punishment which may be adjudged. In the case of damage, the amount of the damage controls. As a general rule, the amount of damage is the estimated or actual cost of repair by artisans employed in this work who are available to the community wherein the owner resides, or the replacement cost, whichever is less. See also paragraph 46c(1)(g).
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80—attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Wasting, spoiling, destroying, or damaging any property other than military property of the United States of a value or damage.
- (1) Of \$500.00 or less. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- (2) Of more than \$500.00. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.

f. Sample specification.

In that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about_____ 20_____, ((will-

fully) recklessly) wast	e) ((willfully) (recklessly)
spoil) (willfully and wro	ongfully (destroy) (damage)
by)	, (of a value
of (about) \$) (the amount of said
damage being in	the sum of (about
\$) ,	the property
of .	

34. Article 110—Improper hazarding of vessel

a. Text.

- "(a) Any person subject to this chapter who willfully and wrongfully hazards or suffers to be hazarded any vessel of the armed forces shall suffer death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct.
- (b) Any person subject to this chapter who negligently hazards or suffers to be hazarded any vessel of the armed forces shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That a vessel of the armed forces was hazarded in a certain manner; and
- (2) That the accused by certain acts or omissions, willfully and wrongfully, or negligently, caused or suffered the vessel to be hazarded.

- (1) Hazard. "Hazard" means to put in danger of loss or injury. Actual damage to, or loss of, a vessel of the armed forces by collision, stranding, running upon a shoal or a rock, or by any other cause, is conclusive evidence that the vessel was hazarded but not of the fact of culpability on the part of any particular person. "Stranded" means run aground so that the vessel is fast for a time. If the vessel "touches and goes," she is not stranded; if she "touches and sticks," she is. A shoal is a sand, mud, or gravel bank or bar that makes the water shallow.
- (2) Willfully and wrongfully. As used in this article, "willfully" means intentionally and "wrongfully" means contrary to law, regulation, lawful order, or custom.
- (3) Negligence. "Negligence" as used in this article means the failure to exercise the care, prudence, or attention to duties, which the interests of the government require a prudent and reasonable person to exercise under the circumstances. This negligence may consist of the omission to do something the prudent and reasonable person would have done, or

the doing of something which such a person would not have done under the circumstances. No person is relieved of culpability who fails to perform such duties as are imposed by the general responsibilities of that person's grade or rank, or by the customs of the service for the safety and protection of vessels of the armed forces, simply because these duties are not specifically enumerated in a regulation or order. However, a mere error in judgment that a reasonably able person might have committed under the same circumstances does not constitute an offense under this article.

- (4) Suffer. "To suffer" means to allow or permit. A ship is willfully suffered to be hazarded by one who, although not in direct control of the vessel, knows a danger to be imminent but takes no steps to prevent it, as by a plotting officer of a ship under way who fails to report to the officer of the deck a radar target which is observed to be on a collision course with, and dangerously close to, the ship. A suffering through neglect implies an omission to take such measures as were appropriate under the circumstances to prevent a foreseeable danger.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Willfully and wrongfully hazarding a vessel.
 - (a) Article 110—negligently hazarding a vessel
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
- (2) Willfully and wrongfully suffering a vessel to be hazarded.
- (a) Article 110—negligently suffering a vessel to be hazarded
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment. Hazarding or suffering to be hazarded any vessel of the armed forces:
- (1) Willfully and wrongfully. Death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct.
- (2) Negligently. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- f. Sample specifications.
- (1) Hazarding or suffering to be hazarded any vessel, willfully and wrongfully.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, on	20
while serving	asaboard
t h e	in the vicinity
of,	willfully and wrongfully (haz-
ard the said vessel	(suffer the said vessel to be

with) (allowing the said vessel to
run aground) ().
(2) Hazarding of vessel, negligently.
(a) Example 1.
In that (personal jurisdiction
In that (personal jurisdiction data), on 20
while serving in command of the
making entrance to (Boston Harbor), did negligently
hazard the said vessel by failing and neglecting to
maintain or cause to be maintained an accurate run-
ning plot of the true position of said vessel while
making said approach, as a result of which neglect
the said, at or
about, hours on the day aforesaid,
became stranded in the vicinity of (Channel Buoy
Number Three).
(b) Example 2.
In that (personal jurisdiction
(b) Example 2. In that (personal jurisdiction data), on 20, while serving as navigator of the,
while serving as navigator of the,
cruising on special service in the
Ocean off the coast of, notwith-
standing the fact that at about midnight,
20, the northeast point of Island bore abeam and
was about six miles distant, the said ship being then
under way and making a speed of about ten knots,
and well knowing the position of the said ship at the
time stated, and that the charts of the locality were
unreliable and the currents thereabouts uncertain, did
then and there negligently hazard the said vessel by
failing and neglecting to exercise proper care and
attention in navigating said ship while approach- ing Island, in that he/she neglected
and failed to lay a course that would carry said ship
clear of the last aforesaid island, and to change the course in due time to avoid disaster; and the said
ship, as a result of said negligence on the part of
said, ran upon a rock off the southwest coast of Island, at
abouthours,
20, in consequence of which the
said was lost.
(c) Example 3.
In that (personal jurisdiction
data), on20,
while serving as navigator of the
and well knowing that at about sunset of said day
the said ship had nearly run her estimated distance
11/_54

hazarded) by (causing the said vessel to collide

from the position, obtained and
plotted by him/her, to the position
of, and well knowing the difficulty
of, and well knowing the difficulty of sighting, from a safe distance
after sunset, did then and there negligently hazard
the said vessel by failing and neglecting to advise
his/her commanding officer to lay a safe course for
said ship to the northward before continuing on a
westerly course, as it was the duty of
said to do; in consequence of
which the said ship was, at about
hours on the day above mentioned, run
upon bank in the
Sea, about latitudedegrees,
minutes, north, and longi-
tudedegrees,
minutes, west, and seriously injured.
(3) Suffering a vessel to be hazarded, negligently.
In that (personal jurisdiction
data), while serving as combat intelligence center
officer on board the, making pas-
sage from Boston to Philadelphia, and having, be-
tween and hours
on, 20, been duly
informed of decreasing radar ranges and constant
radar bearing indicating that the
said was upon a collision course
approaching a radar target, did then and there
negligently suffer the said vessel to be hazarded by
failing and neglecting to report said collision course
with said radar target to the officer of the deck, as it
was his/her duty to do, and he/she, the
said, through negligence, did cause
the said to collide with
the at or about
hours on said date, with resultant damage to both

35. Article 111-Drunken or reckless operation of vehicle, aircraft, or vessel

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who—

- (1) operates or physically controls any vehicle, aircraft, or vessel in a reckless or wanton manner or while impaired by a substance described in section 912a(b) of this title (Article 112a(b)), or
- (2) operates or is in actual physical control of any vehicle, aircraft, or vessel while drunk or when the alcohol concentration in the person's blood or breath

is 0.10 grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or 0.10 grams of alcohol per 210 liters of breath, as shown by chemical analysis, shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused was operating or in physical control of a vehicle, aircraft, or vessel; and
- (2) That while operating or in physical control of a vehicle, aircraft, or vessel, the accused:
 - (a) did so in a wanton or reckless manner, or
 - (b) was drunk or impaired, or
- (c) the alcohol concentration in the accused's blood or breath was 0.10 grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or 0.10 grams of alcohol per 210 liters of breath, or greater, as shown by chemical analysis.

[Note: If injury resulted add the following element]

- (3) That the accused thereby caused the vehicle, aircraft, or vessel to injure a person.
- c. Explanation.
 - (1) Vehicle. See 1 U.S.C. § 4.
 - (2) Vessel. See. 1 U.S.C. § 3.
- (3) Aircraft. Any contrivance used or designed for transportation in the air.
- (4) Operates. Operating a vehicle, aircraft, or vessel includes not only driving or guiding a vehicle, aircraft or vessel while it is in motion, either in person or through the agency of another, but also setting of its motive power in action or the manipulation of its controls so as to cause the particular vehicle, aircraft or vessel to move.
- (5) Physical control and actual physical control. These terms as used in the statute are synonymous. They describe the present capability and power to dominate, direct or regulate the vehicle, vessel, or aircraft, either in person or through the agency of another, regardless of whether such vehicle, aircraft, or vessel is operated. For example, the intoxicated person seated behind the steering wheel of a vehicle with the keys of the vehicle in or near the ignition but with the engine not turned on could be deemed in actual physical control of that vehicle. However, the person asleep in the back seat with the keys in his or her pocket would not be deemed in actual physical control. Physical control necessarily encompasses operation.
- (6) Drunk or impaired. "Drunk" and "impaired" mean any intoxication which is sufficient to impair

the rational and full exercise of the mental or physical faculties. The term drunk is used in relation to intoxication by alcohol. The term impaired is used in relation to intoxication by a substance described in Article 112(a), Uniform Code of Military Justice.

- (7) Reckless. The operation or physical control of a vehicle, vessel, or aircraft is "reckless" when it exhibits a culpable disregard of foreseeable consequences to others from the act or omission involved. Recklessness is not determined solely by reason of the happening of an injury, or the invasion of the rights of another, nor by proof alone of excessive speed or erratic operation, but all these factors may be admissible and relevant as bearing upon the ultimate question: whether, under all the circumstances, the accused's manner of operation or physical control of the vehicle, vessel, or aircraft was of that heedless nature which made it actually or imminently dangerous to the occupants, or to the rights or safety of others. It is operating or physically controlling a vehicle, vessel, or aircraft with such a high degree of negligence that if death were caused, the accused would have committed involuntary manslaughter, at least. The nature of the conditions in which the vehicle, vessel, or aircraft is operated or controlled, the time of day or night, the proximity and number of other vehicles, vessels, or aircraft and the condition of the vehicle, vessel, or aircraft, are often matters of importance in the proof of an offense charged under this article and, where they are of importance, may properly be alleged.
- (8) Wanton. "Wanton" includes "reckless", but in describing the operation or physical control of a vehicle, vessel, or aircraft "wanton" may, in a proper case, connote willfulness, or a disregard of probable consequences, and thus describe a more aggravated offense.
- (9) Causation. The accused's drunken or reckless driving must be a proximate cause of injury for the accused to be guilty of drunken or reckless driving resulting in personal injury. To be proximate, the accused's actions need not be the sole cause of the injury, nor must they be the immediate cause of the injury, that is, the latest in time and space preceding the injury. A contributing cause is deemed proximate only if it plays a material role in the victim's injury.
- (10) Separate offenses. While the same course of conduct may constitute violations of both subsections (1) and (2) of the Article, e.g., both drunken

and reckless operation or physical control, this article proscribes the conduct described in both subsections as separate offenses, which may be charged separately. However, as recklessness is a relative matter, evidence of all the surrounding circumstances that made the operation dangerous, whether alleged or not, may be admissible. Thus, on a charge of reckless driving, for example, evidence of drunkenness might be admissible as establishing one aspect of the recklessness, and evidence that the vehicle exceeded a safe speed, at a relevant prior point and time, might be admissible as corroborating other evidence of the specific recklessness charged. Similarly, on a charge of drunken driving, relevant evidence of recklessness might have probative value as corroborating other proof of drunkenness.

d. Lesser included offense.

- (1) Reckless or wanton or impaired operation or physical control of a vessel. Article 110—improper hazarding of a vessel.
- (2) Drunken operation of a vehicle, vessel, or aircraft while drunk or with a blood or breath alcohol concentration in violation of the described per se standard.
- (a) Article 110—improper hazarding of a vessel
 - (b) Article 112—drunk on duty
 - (c) Article 134—drunk on station
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Resulting in personal injury. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 18 months.
- (2) No personal injury involved. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.

f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did (at/onboard-l-l	ocation) (subject-matter ju-
risdiction data,	if required), on or
about2	20, (in the
motor pool area) (near	the Officer's Club) (at the
intersection	on of
and) (w	hile in the Gulf of Mexico
(while in flight over Nor	th America) physically con-
trol [a vehicle, to wit:	(a truck) (a passenger car)
()] [an	aircraft, to wit: (an AH-64
helicopter) (an F-14A	fighter)(a KC-135 tank-
er)()] [a	vessel, to wit: (the aircraft
carrier USS) (the Coast Guard Cut-

36. Article 112—Drunk on duty

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter other than sentinel or look-out, who is found drunk on duty, shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused was on a certain duty; and
- (2) That the accused was found drunk while on this duty.

c. Explanation.

- (1) Drunk. See paragraph 35c(6).
- (2) Duty. "Duty" as used in this article means military duty. Every duty which an officer or enlisted person may legally be required by superior authority to execute is necessarily a military duty. Within the meaning of this article, when in the actual exercise of command, the commander of a post, or of a command, or of a detachment in the field is constantly on duty, as is the commanding officer on board a ship. In the case of other officers or enlisted persons, "on duty" relates to duties or routine or detail, in garrison, at a station, or in the field, and does not relate to those periods when, no duty being required of them by orders or regulations, officers and enlisted persons occupy the status of leisure known as "off duty" or "on liberty." In a region of active hostilities, the circumstances are often such that all members of a command may properly be considered as being continuously on duty within the meaning of this article. So also, an officer of the day and members of the guard, or of the watch, are on duty during their entire tour within the meaning of this article.
- (3) Nature of offense. It is necessary that the accused be found drunk while actually on the duty alleged, and the fact the accused became drunk

before going on duty, although material in extenuation, does not affect the question of guilt. If, however, the accused does not undertake the responsibility or enter upon the duty at all, the accused's conduct does not fall within the terms of this article, nor does that of a person who absents himself or herself from duty and is found drunk while so absent. Included within the article is drunkenness while on duty of an anticipatory nature such as that of an aircraft crew ordered to stand by for flight duty, or of an enlisted person ordered to stand by for guard duty.

- (4) *Defenses*. If the accused is known by superior authorities to be drunk at the time a duty is assigned, and the accused is thereafter allowed to assume that duty anyway, or if the drunkenness results from an accidental over dosage administered for medicinal purposes, the accused will have a defense to this offense. *But see* paragraph 76 (incapacitation for duty).
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 134—drunk on station
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 9 months.

c	a 1	• ••	
t	Sample	specification	١

In	that		(personal	juris	dict	ion
data),	was,	(at/on	board-locatio	n),	on	01
about_			_ 20		fou	ınd
drunk v	while o	on duty	as			

37. Article 112a—Wrongful use, possession, etc., of controlled substances

a. Text.

- "(a) Any person subject to this chapter who wrongfully uses, possesses, manufactures, distributes, imports into the customs territory of the United States, exports from the United States, or introduces into an installation, vessel, vehicle, or aircraft used by or under the control of the armed forces a substance described in subsection (b) shall be punished as a court-martial may direct.
- (b) The substances referred to in subsection (a) are the following:
- (1) opium, heroin, cocaine, amphetamine, lysergic acid diethylamide, methamphetamine, phencyclidine, barbituric acid, and marijuana, and any compound or derivative of any such substance.
 - (2) Any substance not specified in clause (1)

that is listed on a schedule of controlled substances prescribed by the President for the purposes of this article.

(3) Any other substance not specified in clause (1) or contained on a list prescribed by the President under clause (2) that is listed in Schedules I through V of section 202 of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812)."

b. Elements.

- (1) Wrongful possession of controlled substance.
- (a) That the accused possessed a certain amount of a controlled substance; and
- (b) That the possession by the accused was wrongful.
 - (2) Wrongful use of controlled substance.
- (a) That the accused used a controlled substance; and
 - (b) That the use by the accused was wrongful.
 - (3) Wrongful distribution of controlled substance.
- (a) That the accused distributed a certain amount of a controlled substance; and
- (b) That the distribution by the accused was wrongful.
- (4) Wrongful introduction of a controlled substance.
- (a) That the accused introduced onto a vessel, aircraft, vehicle, or installation used by the armed forces or under the control of the armed forces a certain amount of a controlled substance; and
 - (b) That the introduction was wrongful.
- (5) Wrongful manufacture of a controlled substance.
- (a) That the accused manufactured a certain amount of a controlled substance; and
 - (b) That the manufacture was wrongful.
- (6) Wrongful possession, manufacture, or introduction of a controlled substance with intent to distribute.
- (a) That the accused (possessed) (manufactured) (introduced) a certain amount of a controlled substance:
- (b) That the (possession) (manufacture) (introduction) was wrongful; and
- (c) That the (possession) (manufacture) (introduction) was with the intent to distribute.
- (7) Wrongful importation or exportation of a controlled substance.

- (a) That the accused (imported into the customs territory of) (exported from) the United States a certain amount of a controlled substance; and
- (b) That the (importation) (exportation) was wrongful.

[Note: When any of the aggravating circumstances listed in subparagraph e is alleged, it must be listed as an element.]

- (1) Controlled substance. "Controlled substance" means amphetamine, cocaine, heroin, lysergic acid diethylamide, marijuana, methamphetamine, opium, phencyclidine, and barbituric acid, including phenobarbital and secobarbital. "Controlled substance" also means any substance which is included in Schedules I through V established by the Controlled Substances Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 812).
- (2) Possess. "Possess" means to exercise control of something. Possession may be direct physical custody like holding an item is one's hand, or it may be constructive, as in the case of a person who hides an item in a locker or car to which that person may return to retrieve it. Possession must be knowing and conscious. Possession inherently includes the power or authority to preclude control by others. It is possible, however, for more than one person to possess an item simultaneously, as when several people share control of an item. An accused may not be convicted of possession of a controlled substance if the accused did not know that the substance was present under the accused's control. Awareness of the presence of a controlled substance may be inferred from circumstantial evidence.
- (3) *Distribute.* "Distribute" means to deliver to the possession of another. "Deliver" means the actual, constructive, or attempted transfer of an item, whether or not there exists an agency relationship.
- (4) Manufacture. "Manufacture" means the production, preparation, propagation, compounding, or processing of a drug or other substance, either directly or indirectly or by extraction from substances of natural origin, or independently by means of chemical synthesis or by a combination of extraction and chemical synthesis, and includes any packaging or repackaging of such substance or labeling or relabeling of its container. "Production," as used in this subparagraph, includes the planting, cultivating, growing, or harvesting of a drug or other substance.

- (5) Wrongfulness. To be punishable under Article 112a, possession, use, distribution, introduction, or manufacture of a controlled substance must be wrongful. Possession, use, distribution, introduction, or manufacture of a controlled substance is wrongful if it is without legal justification or authorization. Possession, distribution, introduction, or manufacture of a controlled substance is not wrongful if such act or acts are: (A) done pursuant to legitimate law enforcement activities (for example, an informant who receives drugs as part of an undercover operation is not in wrongful possession); (B) done by authorized personnel in the performance of medical duties; or (C) without knowledge of the contraband nature of the substance (for example, a person who possesses cocaine, but actually believes it to be sugar, is not guilty of wrongful possession of cocaine). Possession, use, distribution, introduction, or manufacture of a controlled substance may be inferred to be wrongful in the absence of evidence to the contrary. The burden of going forward with evidence with respect to any such exception in any courtmartial or other proceeding under the code shall be upon the person claiming its benefit. If such an issue is raised by the evidence presented, then the burden of proof is upon the United States to establish that the use, possession, distribution, manufacture, or introduction was wrongful.
- (6) Intent to distribute. Intent to distribute may be inferred from circumstantial evidence. Examples of evidence which may tend to support an inference of intent to distribute are: possession of a quantity of substance in excess of that which one would be likely to have for personal use; market value of the substance; the manner in which the substance is packaged; and that the accused is not a user of the substance. On the other hand, evidence that the accused is addicted to or is a heavy user of the substance may tend to negate an inference of intent to distribute.
- (7) Certain amount. When a specific amount of a controlled substance is believed to have been possessed, distributed, introduced, or manufactured by an accused, the specific amount should ordinarily be alleged in the specification. It is not necessary to allege a specific amount, however, and a specification is sufficient if it alleges that an accused possessed, distributed, introduced, or manufactured "some," "traces of," or "an unknown quantity of" a controlled substance.

- (8) Missile launch facility. A "missile launch facility" includes the place from which missiles are fired and launch control facilities from which the launch of a missile is initiated or controlled after launch.
- (9) Customs territory of the United States. "Customs territory of the United States" includes only the States, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico.
- (10) *Use.* "Use" means to inject, ingest, inhale, or otherwise introduce into the human body, any controlled substance. Knowledge of the presence of the controlled substance is a required component of use. Knowledge of the presence of the controlled substance may be inferred from the presence of the controlled substance in the accused's body or from other circumstantial evidence. This permissive inference may be legally sufficient to satisfy the government's burden of proof as to knowledge.
- (11) Deliberate ignorance. An accused who consciously avoids knowledge of the presence of a controlled substance or the contraband nature of the substance is subject to the same criminal liability as one who has actual knowledge.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
- (1) Wrongful possession of controlled substance. Article 80—attempts
 - (2) Wrongful use of controlled substance.
- (a) Article 112a—wrongful possession of controlled substance
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
- (3) Wrongful distribution of controlled substance. Article 80—attempts
- (4) Wrongful manufacture of controlled substance.
- (a) Article 112a—wrongful possession of controlled substance
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
- (5) Wrongful introduction of controlled substance.
- (a) Article 112a—wrongful possession of controlled substance
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
- (6) Wrongful possession, manufacture, or introduction of a controlled substance with intent to distribute.
- (a) Article 112a—wrongful possession, manufacture, or introduction of controlled substance

- (b) Article 80—attempts
- (7) Wrongful importation or exportation of a controlled substance. Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishments.
- (1) Wrongful use, possession, manufacture, or introduction of controlled substance.
- (a) Amphetamine, cocaine, heroin, lysergic acid diethylamide, marijuana (except possession of less than 30 grams or use of marijuana), methamphetamine, opium, phencyclidine, secobarbital, and Schedule I, II, III controlled substances. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement 5 years.
- (b) Marijuana (possession of less than 30 grams or use), phenobarbital, and Schedule IV and V controlled substances. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- (2) Wrongful distribution, possession, manufacture, or introduction of controlled substance with intent to distribute, or wrongful importation or exportation of a controlled substance.
- (a) Amphetamine, cocaine, heroin, lysergic acid diethylamide, marijuana, methamphetamine, opium, phencyclidine, secobarbital, and Schedule I, II, and III controlled substances. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 15 years.
- (b) Phenobarbital and Schedule IV and V controlled substances. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.

When any offense under paragraph 37 is committed; while the accused is on duty as a sentinel or lookout; on board a vessel or aircraft used by or under the control of the armed forces; in or at a missile launch facility used by or under the control of the armed forces; while receiving special pay under 37 U.S.C. § 310; in time of war; or in a confinement facility used by or under the control of the armed forces, the maximum period of confinement authorized for such offense shall be increased by 5 years. f. Sample specifications.

(1)	Wrongful	possession,	manufacture,	or	distri
bution	of contro	olled substa	nce.		

In that			_ (personal ju	risdic	tion
data) did, (at/o	n board–	-loc	ation) (subject-n	natter	ju-
risdiction	data,	i f	required),	o n	o r
about			2 0		

	37.1.(4)
wrongfully (possess)	(distribute) (manufac-
(pounds)((grams) (ounces) _) of (a
schedule	
() contro	olled substance), (with the
	said controlled substance)
	nel or lookout) (while (on
	in or at a missile launch
	ed forces or under the con-
trol of the armed forces.	, to wit:)
(while receiving special p	ay under 37 U.S.C. § 310)
(during time of war).	
· · · · · ·	ontrolled substance.
	(personal jurisdiction
data) did (at/on board	—location) (subject-matter
	if required), on or
a hout	_, 20,
wrongfully use	
	rolled substance) (while on
	okout) (while (on board a
•	a missile launch facility)
	or under the control of the
•) (while re-
ceiving special pay under	37 U.S.C. § 310) (during
time of war).	e, e § e) (
	ction of controlled sub-
stance.	
	(personal jurisdiction
data) did, (at/on bo	ard—location) on or
wrongfully introduce_	(grams)
(ounces) (poun	ds) ()
of (a Sc	hedule ()
controlled substance) onto	a vessel, aircraft, vehicle,
	he armed forces or under
	es, to wit:
	ate the said controlled sub-
	as a sentinel or lookout)
	ay under 37 U.S.C. § 310)
(during a time of war).	
(4) Wrongful importati	ion or exportation of con-
trolled substance.	
	(personal jurisdiction
	ard—location) on or
about	
wrongfully (import)	(export)
(grams) (ounces) (por	unds) ()
	chedule ()
	o the customs territory of)
(110m) the United States	(while on board a vessel/

aircraft used by the armed forces or under the control of the armed forces, to wit:_____) (during time of war).

38. Article 113—Misbehavior of sentinel or lookout

a. Text.

"Any sentinel or look-out who is found drunk or sleeping upon his post, or leaves it before he is regularly relieved, shall be punished, if the offense is committed in time of war, by death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct, but if the offense is committed at any other time, by such punishment other than death as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused was posted or on post as a sentinel or lookout;
- (2) That the accused was found drunk while on post, was found sleeping while on post, or left post before being regularly relieved.
- [Note: If the offense was committed in time of war or while the accused was receiving special pay under 37 U.S.C. § 310, add the following element]
- (3) That the offense was committed (in time of war) (while the accused was receiving special pay under 37 U.S.C. § 310).

- (1) In general. This article defines three kinds of misbehavior committed by sentinels or lookouts: being found drunk or sleeping upon post, or leaving it before being regularly relieved. This article does not include an officer or enlisted person of the guard, or of a ship's watch, not posted or performing the duties of a sentinel or lookout, nor does it include a person whose duties as a watchman or attendant do not require constant alertness.
- (2) Post. "Post" is the area where the sentinel or lookout is required to be for the performance of duties. It is not limited by an imaginary line, but includes, according to orders or circumstances, such surrounding area as may be necessary for the proper performance of the duties for which the sentinel or lookout was posted. The offense of leaving post is not committed when a sentinel or lookout goes an immaterial distance from the post, unless it is such a distance that the ability to fully perform the duty for which posted is impaired.

- (3) On post. A sentinel or lookout becomes "on post" after having been given a lawful order to go "on post" as a sentinel or lookout and being formally or informally posted. The fact that a sentinel or lookout is not posted in the regular way is not a defense. It is sufficient, for example, if the sentinel or lookout has taken the post in accordance with proper instruction, whether or not formally given. A sentinel or lookout is on post within the meaning of the article not only when at a post physically defined, as is ordinarily the case in garrison or aboard ship, but also, for example, when stationed in observation against the approach of an enemy, or detailed to use any equipment designed to locate friend, foe, or possible danger, or at a designated place to maintain internal discipline, or to guard stores, or to guard prisoners while in confinement or at work.
- (4) Sentinel or lookout. A sentinel or a lookout is a person whose duties include the requirement to maintain constant alertness, be vigilant, and remain awake, in order to observe for the possible approach of the enemy, or to guard persons, property, or a place and to sound the alert, if necessary.
- (5) *Drunk*. For an explanation of "drunk," *see* paragraph 35c(3).
- (6) Sleeping. As used in this article, "sleeping" is that condition of insentience which is sufficient sensibly to impair the full exercise of the mental and physical faculties of a sentinel or lookout. It is not necessary to show that the accused was in a wholly comatose condition. The fact that the accused's sleeping resulted from a physical incapacity caused by disease or accident is an affirmative defense. See R.C.M. 916(i).
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Drunk on post.
 - (a) Article 112-drunk on duty
 - (b) Article 92—dereliction of duty
 - (c) Article 134—drunk on station
- (d) Article 134—drunk in uniform in a public place
 - (2) Sleeping on post.
 - (a) Article 92—dereliction of duty
- (b) Article 134—loitering or wrongfully sitting down on post
 - (3) Leaving post.
 - (a) Article 92—dereliction of duty

- (b) Article 86—going from appointed place of duty
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) In time of war. Death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct.
- (2) While receiving special pay under 37 U.S.C. § 310. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.
- (3) *In all other places*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- f. Sample specification.

In that_______ (personal jurisdiction d a t a) , o n o r a b o u t_______ 20_____ (a time of war) (at/on board—location), (while receiving special pay under 37 U.S.C. § 310), being (posted) (on post) as a (sentinel) (lookout) at (warehouse no. 7) (post no. 11) (for radar observation) (________) (was found (drunk) (sleeping) upon his/her post) (did leave his/her post before he/she was regularly relieved).

39. Article 114—Dueling

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who fights or promotes, or is concerned in or connives at fighting a duel, or who, having knowledge of a challenge sent or about to be sent, fails to report the fact promptly to the proper authority, shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) Dueling.
- (a) That the accused fought another person with deadly weapons;
- (b) That the combat was for private reasons; and
 - (c) That the combat was by prior agreement.
 - (2) Promoting a duel.
- (a) That the accused promoted a duel between certain persons; and
- (b) That the accused did so in a certain manner.
 - (3) Conniving at fighting a duel.
- (a) That certain persons intended to and were about to engage in a duel;
- (b) That the accused had knowledge of the planned duel; and

- (c) That the accused connived at the fighting of the duel in a certain manner.
 - (4) Failure to report a duel.
- (a) That a challenge to fight a duel had been sent or was about to be sent;
- (b) That the accused had knowledge of this challenge; and
- (c) That the accused failed to report this fact promptly to proper authority.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) *Duel*. A duel is combat between two persons for private reasons fought with deadly weapons by prior agreement.
- (2) Promoting a duel. Urging or taunting another to challenge or to accept a challenge to duel, acting as a second or as carrier of a challenge or acceptance, or otherwise furthering or contributing to the fighting of a duel are examples of promoting a duel.
- (3) Conniving at fighting a duel. Anyone who has knowledge that steps are being taken or have been taken toward arranging or fighting a duel and who fails to take reasonable preventive action thereby connives at the fighting of a duel.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80—attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. For all Article 114 offenses: dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- f. Sample specifications.

(1) Dueung	(1)	Duelin	g
------------	-----	--------	---

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data) (and), did, (at/on board—lo-
cation) (subject-matter jun	risdiction data, if required)
on or about	20
fight a duel (with), using as weap-
ons therefor (pistols) (sv	vords) ().

(2) Promoting a duel.

in that (personal juristiction
data), did, (at/on board-location) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data, if required), on or
about, pro-
mote a duel between
andby (telling
said he/she would be a coward if
he/she failed to challenge said to a
duel) (knowingly carrying from
said to said a
challenge to fight a duel).

(3) Conniving at fighting a duel.

(narronal jurisdiction

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), having knowledge	that
and were ab	out to engage in a du-
el, did (at/on board-location) (subject-matter juris-
diction data, if re	quired), on or
about20	, con-
nive at the fighting of said d	uel by (failing to take
reasonable preventive action)	•

(4) Failure to report a duel.

In that______ (personal jurisdiction data), having knowledge that a challenge to fight a duel (had been sent) (was about to be sent) by______ to_____, did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about______ fail to report that fact promptly to the proper authority.

40. Article 115—Malingering

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who for the purpose of avoiding work, duty, or service"—

- (1) feigns illness, physical disablement, mental lapse or derangement; or
- (2) intentionally inflicts self-injury; shallbe punished as a court-martial may direct.

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused was assigned to, or was aware of prospective assignment to, or availability for, the performance of work, duty, or service;
- (2) That the accused feigned illness, physical disablement, mental lapse or derangement, or intentionally inflicted injury upon himself or herself; and
- (3) That the accused's purpose or intent in doing so was to avoid the work, duty, or service. [Note: If the offense was committed in time of war or in a hostile fire pay zone, add the following element]
- (4) That the offense was committed (in time of war) (in a hostile fire pay zone).

c. Explanation.

(1) Nature of offense. The essence of this offense is the design to avoid performance of any work, duty, or service which may properly or normally be expected of one in the military service. Whether to avoid all duty, or only a particular job, it is the purpose to shirk which characterizes the offense. Hence, the nature or permanency of a self-inflicted

injury is not material on the question of guilt, nor is the seriousness of a physical or mental disability which is a sham. Evidence of the extent of the selfinflicted injury or feigned disability may, however, be relevant as a factor indicating the presence or absence of the purpose.

(2) How injury inflicted. The injury may be inflicted by nonviolent as well as by violent means and may be accomplished by any act or omission which produces, prolongs, or aggravates any sickness or disability. Thus, voluntary starvation which results in debility is a self-inflicted injury and when done for the purpose of avoiding work, duty, or service constitutes a violation of this article.

d. Lesser included offenses.

- (1) Article 134—self-injury without intent to avoid service
 - (2) Article 80—attempts

e. Maximum punishment.

- (1) Feigning illness, physical disablement, mental lapse, or derangement. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- (2) Feigning illness, physical disablement, mental lapse, or derangement in a hostile fire pay zone or in time of war. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.
- (3) *Intentional self-inflicted injury*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- (4) Intentional self-inflicted injury in a hostile fire pay zone or in time of war. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.

f. Sample specification.

in that (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board-location) (in a hostile fire
pay zone) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if re-
quired) (on or about
20) (from about
20to about
20), (a time of war) for the pur-
pose of avoiding (his/her duty as officer of the day
(his/her duty as aircraft mechanic) (work in the mess
hall) (service as an enlisted person)
() (feign (a headache) (a sore back
(illness) (mental lapse) (mental derangement

())	(intentionally	injure	himself/
herself by).		

41. Article 116-Riot or breach of peace

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who causes or participates in any riot or breach of the peace shall be punished as a court-martial may direct." b. *Elements*.

- (1) *Riot*.
- (a) That the accused was a member of an assembly of three or more persons;
- (b) That the accused and at least two other members of this group mutually intended to assist one another against anyone who might oppose them in doing an act for some private purpose;
- (c) That the group or some of its members, in furtherance of such purpose, unlawfully committed a tumultuous disturbance of the peace in a violent or turbulent manner; and
- (d) That these acts terrorized the public in general in that they caused or were intended to cause public alarm or terror.
 - (2) Breach of the peace.
- (a) That the accused caused or participated in a certain act of a violent or turbulent nature; and
- (b) That the peace was thereby unlawfully disturbed.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) Riot. "Riot" is a tumultuous disturbance of the peace by three or more persons assembled together in furtherance of a common purpose to execute some enterprise of a private nature by concerted action against anyone who might oppose them, committed in such a violent and turbulent manner as to cause or be calculated to cause public terror. The gravamen of the offense of riot is terrorization of the public. It is immaterial whether the act intended was lawful. Furthermore, it is not necessary that the common purpose be determined before the assembly. It is sufficient if the assembly begins to execute in a tumultuous manner a common purpose formed after it assembled.
- (2) Breach of the peace. A "breach of the peace" is an unlawful disturbance of the peace by an outward demonstration of a violent or turbulent nature. The acts or conduct contemplated by this article are those which disturb the public tranquility or impinge

upon the peace and good order to which the community is entitled. Engaging in an affray and unlawful discharge of firearms in a public street are examples of conduct which may constitute a breach of the peace. Loud speech and unruly conduct may also constitute a breach of the peace by the speaker. A speaker may also by guilty of causing a breach of the peace if the speaker uses language which can reasonably be expected to produce a violent or turbulent response and a breach of the peace results. The fact that the words are true or used under provocation is not a defense, nor is tumultuous conduct excusable because incited by others.

- (3) *Community and public*. "Community" and "public" include a military organization, post, camp, ship, aircraft, or station.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) *Riot*.
 - (a) Article 116—breach of the peace
 - (b) Article 134—disorderly conduct
 - (c) Article 80—attempts
 - (2) Breach of the peace.
 - (a) Article 134—disorderly conduct
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) *Riot*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.
- (2) *Breach of the peace*. Confinement for 6 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 6 months.
- f. Sample specifications.
 - (1) *Riot*.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—lo	cation) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data, if	required), on or
about 20	, (cause)
(participate in) a riot by a	unlawfully assembling
with (and_) (and)
(others to the number of	about
whose names are unknown	n) for the purpose of
(resisting the police of) (assaulting
passers-by) (
of said purpose did (fight wi	
certain persons, to v	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(), to the	
of	
(2) P 1 C 1	

data), did, (at/on be	oard—lo	cation)	(subjec	t-mat	ter
jurisdiction da	ta, if	requ	ired),	o n	01
about	20			(cau	se)
(participate in) a brea	ach of th	e peac	e by (wr	ongfu	lly
engaging in a f	ist figl	ht in	the da	yro	om
with	_) (using	g the fo	ollowing	prove	ok-
ing language (tow	ard),	to w	/it:
"	or words	s to tha	t effect)	(wro	ng-
fully shouting and	singing	in a	public p	lace,	to
wit:	_) ().		

42. Article 117—Provoking speeches or gestures

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who uses provoking or reproachful words or gestures towards any other person subject to this chapter shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused wrongfully used words or gestures toward a certain person;
- (2) That the words or gestures used were provoking or reproachful; and
- (3) That the person toward whom the words or gestures were used was a person subject to the code.

c. Explanation.

- (1) In general. As used in this article, "provoking" and "reproachful" describe those words or gestures which are used in the presence of the person to whom they are directed and which a reasonable person would expect to induce a breach of the peace under the circumstances. These words and gestures do not include reprimands, censures, reproofs and the like which may properly be administered in the interests of training, efficiency, or discipline in the armed forces.
- (2) *Knowledge*. It is not necessary that the accused have knowledge that the person toward whom the words or gestures are directed is a person subject to the code.
- d. Lesser included offenses. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Confinement for 6 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 6 months.

f. Sample specification.

IV-62

In that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or

about	20	wrong
fully use	(provoking) (reproachful) (words,	to wit
"	:" or words to that effect	(and
(gestures,	to wit:) toward	s (Ser-
geant_	, U.S. Air F	orce)
().	

43. Article 118-Murder

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who, without justification or excuse, unlawfully kills a human being, when he—"

- (1) has a premeditated design to kill;
- (2) intends to kill or inflict great bodily harm;
- (3) is engaged in an act that is inherently dangerous to another and evinces a wanton disregard of human life; or
- (4) is engaged in the perpetration or attempted perpetration of burglary, sodomy, rape, robbery, or aggravated arson; is guilty of murder, and shall suffer such punishment as a court-martial may direct, except that if found guilty under clause (1) or (4), he shall suffer death or imprisonment for life as a court-martial may direct.

b. Elements.

- (1) Premeditated murder.
- (a) That a certain named or described person is dead;
- (b) That the death resulted from the act or omission of the accused;
 - (c) That the killing was unlawful; and
- (d) That, at the time of the killing, the accused had a premeditated design to kill.
 - (2) Intent to kill or inflict great bodily harm.
- (a) That a certain named or described person is dead;
- (b) That the death resulted from the act or omission of the accused;
 - (c) That the killing was unlawful; and
- (d) That, at the time of the killing, the accused had the intent to kill or inflict great bodily harm upon a person.
 - (3) Act inherently dangerous to another.
- (a) That a certain named or described person is dead:
- (b) That the death resulted from the intentional act of the accused;

- (c) That this act was inherently dangerous to another and showed a wanton disregard for human life:
- (d) That the accused knew that death or great bodily harm was a probable consequence of the act; and
 - (e) That the killing was unlawful.
 - (4) During certain offenses.
- (a) That a certain named or described person is dead:
- (b) That the death resulted from the act or omission of the accused;
 - (c) That the killing was unlawful; and
- (d) That, at the time of the killing, the accused was engaged in the perpetration or attempted perpetration of burglary, sodomy, rape, robbery, or aggravated arson.

c. Explanation.

(1) In general. Killing a human being is unlawful when done without justification or excuse. See R.C.M. 916. Whether an unlawful killing constitutes murder or a lesser offense depends upon the circumstances. The offense is committed at the place of the act or omission although the victim may have died elsewhere. Whether death occurs at the time of the accused's act or omission, or at some time thereafter, it must have followed from an injury received by the victim which resulted from the act or omission.

(2) Premeditated murder.

- (a) Premeditation. A murder is not premeditated unless the thought of taking life was consciously conceived and the act or omission by which it was taken was intended. Premeditated murder is murder committed after the formation of a specific intent to kill someone and consideration of the act intended. It is not necessary that the intention to kill have been entertained for any particular or considerable length of time. When a fixed purpose to kill has been deliberately formed, it is immaterial how soon afterwards it is put into execution. The existence of premeditation may be inferred from the circumstances.
- (b) Transferred premeditation. When an accused with a premeditated design attempted to unlawfully kill a certain person, but, by mistake or inadvertence, killed another person, the accused is still criminally responsible for a premeditated mur-

- der, because the premeditated design to kill is transferred from the intended victim to the actual victim.
- (c) *Intoxication*. Voluntary intoxication (*see* R.C.M. 916(1)(2)) not amounting to legal insanity may reduce premeditated murder (Article 118(1)) to unpremeditated murder (Article 118(2) or (3)) but it does not reduce either premeditated murder or unpremeditated murder to manslaughter (Article 119) or any other lesser offense.
 - (3) Intent to kill or inflict great bodily harm.
- (a) Intent. An unlawful killing without premeditation is also murder when the accused had either an intent to kill or inflict great bodily harm. It may be inferred that a person intends the natural and probable consequences of an act purposely done. Hence, if a person does an intentional act likely to result in death or great bodily injury, it may be inferred that death or great bodily injury was intended. The intent need not be directed toward the person killed, or exist for any particular time before commission of the act, or have previously existed at all. It is sufficient that it existed at the time of the act or omission (except if death is inflicted in the heat of a sudden passion caused by adequate provocation— see paragraph 44). For example, a person committing housebreaking who strikes and kills the householder attempting to prevent flight can be guilty of murder even if the householder was not seen until the moment before striking the fatal blow.
- (b) Great bodily harm. "Great bodily harm" means serious injury; it does not include minor injuries such as a black eye or a bloody nose, but it does include fractured or dislocated bones, deep cuts, torn members of the body, serious damage to internal organs, and other serious bodily injuries. It is synonymous with the term "grievous bodily harm."
- (c) *Intoxication*. Voluntary intoxication not amounting to legal insanity does not reduce unpremeditated murder to manslaughter (Article 119) or any other lesser offense.
 - (4) Act inherently dangerous to others.
- (a) Wanton disregard of human life. Intentionally engaging in an act inherently dangerous to another—although without an intent to cause the death of or great bodily harm to any particular person, or even with a wish that death will not be caused—may also constitute murder if the act shows wanton disregard of human life. Such disregard is characterized by heedlessness of the probable conse-

quences of the act or omission, or indifference to the likelihood of death or great bodily harm. Examples include throwing a live grenade toward another in jest or flying an aircraft very low over one or more persons to cause alarm.

- (b) *Knowledge*. The accused must know that death or great bodily harm was a probable consequence of the inherently dangerous act. Such knowledge may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
 - (5) During certain offenses.
- (a) *In general.* The commission or attempted commission of any of the offenses listed in Article 118(4) is likely to result in homicide, and when an unlawful killing occurs as a consequence of the perpetration or attempted perpetration of one of these offenses, the killing is murder. Under these circumstances it is not a defense that the killing was unintended or accidental.
- (b) Separate offenses. The perpetration or attempted perpetration of the burglary, sodomy, rape, robbery, or aggravated arson may be charged separately from the homicide.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
- (1) Premeditated murder and murder during certain offenses. Article 118(2) and (3)—murder
 - (2) All murders under Article 118.
 - (a) Article 119—involuntary manslaughter
- (b) Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery; aggravated assault
 - (c) Article 134—negligent homicide
- (3) Murder as defined in Article 118(1), (2), and (4).
 - (a) Article 80—attempts
 - (b) Article 119—voluntary manslaughter
- (c) Article 134—assault with intent to commit murder
- (d) Article 134—assault with intent to commit voluntary manslaughter
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Article 118(1) or (4)—death. Mandatory minimum—imprisonment for life with eligibility for parole.
- (2) Article 118(2) or (3)—such punishment other than death as a court-martial may direct.
- f. Sample specification.

In that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter IV-64

jurisdiction	data, if requ	ıired),	o n	01
about	20		_, (w	ith
premeditation) (while (perpetrating	g) (attem	pting	to
perpetra	t e))	m u	r -
der	by means of	(shooting	him/	her
with a rifle) ().			

44. Article 119—Manslaughter

a. Text.

- "(a) Any person subject to this chapter who, with an intent to kill or inflict great bodily harm, unlawfully kills a human being in the heat of sudden passion caused by adequate provocation is guilty of voluntary manslaughter and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."
- (b) Any person subject to this chapter who, without an intent to kill or inflict great bodily harm, unlawfully kills a human being—
 - (1) by culpable negligence; or
- (2) while perpetrating or attempting to perpetrate an offense, other than those named in clause (4) of section 918 of this title (article 118), directly affecting the person; is guilty of involuntary manslaughter and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) Voluntary manslaughter.
- (a) That a certain named or described person is dead:
- (b) That the death resulted from the act or omission of the accused;
 - (c) That the killing was unlawful; and
- (d) That, at the time of the killing, the accused had the intent to kill or inflict great bodily harm upon the person killed.
 - (2) Involuntary manslaughter.
- (a) That a certain named or described person is dead;
- (b) That the death resulted from the act or omission of the accused;
 - (c) That the killing was unlawful; and
- (d) That this act or omission of the accused constituted culpable negligence, or occurred while the accused was perpetrating or attempting to perpetrate an offense directly affecting the person other than burglary, sodomy, rape, robbery, or aggravated arson.
- c. Explanation.

- (1) Voluntary manslaughter.
- (a) Nature of offense. An unlawful killing, although done with an intent to kill or inflict great bodily harm, is not murder but voluntary manslaughter if committed in the heat of sudden passion caused by adequate provocation. Heat of passion may result from fear or rage. A person may be provoked to such an extent that in the heat of sudden passion caused by the provocation, although not in necessary defense of life or to prevent bodily harm, a fatal blow may be struck before self-control has returned. Although adequate provocation does not excuse the homicide, it does preclude conviction of murder.
- (b) Nature of provocation. The provocation must be adequate to excite uncontrollable passion in a reasonable person, and the act of killing must be committed under and because of the passion. However, the provocation must not be sought or induced as an excuse for killing or doing harm. If, judged by the standard of a reasonable person, sufficient cooling time elapses between the provocation and the killing, the offense is murder, even if the accused's passion persists. Examples of acts which may, depending on the circumstances, constitute adequate provocation are the unlawful infliction of great bodily harm, unlawful imprisonment, and the sight by one spouse of an act of adultery committed by the other spouse. Insulting or abusive words or gestures, a slight blow with the hand or fist, and trespass or other injury to property are not, standing alone, adequate provocation.
 - (2) Involuntary manslaughter.
 - (a) Culpable negligence.
- (i) Nature of culpable negligence. Culpable negligence is a degree of carelessness greater than simple negligence. It is a negligent act or omission accompanied by a culpable disregard for the foreseeable consequences to others of that act or omission. Thus, the basis of a charge of involuntary manslaughter may be a negligent act or omission which, when viewed in the light of human experience, might foreseeably result in the death of another, even though death would not necessarily be a natural and probable consequence of the act or omission. Acts which may amount to culpable negligence include negligently conducting target practice so that the bullets go in the direction of an inhabited house within range; pointing a pistol in jest at another and

- pulling the trigger, believing, but without taking reasonable precautions to ascertain, that it would not be dangerous; and carelessly leaving poisons or dangerous drugs where they may endanger life.
- (ii) Legal duty required. When there is no legal duty to act there can be no neglect. Thus, when a stranger makes no effort to save a drowning person, or a person allows a beggar to freeze or starve to death, no crime is committed.
- (b) Offense directly affecting the person. An "offense directly affecting the person" means one affecting some particular person as distinguished from an offense affecting society in general. Among offenses directly affecting the person are the various types of assault, battery, false imprisonment, voluntary engagement in an affray, and maiming.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Voluntary manslaughter.
 - (a) Article 119—involuntary manslaughter
- (b) Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery; aggravated assault
- (c) Article 134—assault with intent to commit voluntary manslaughter
 - (d) Article 134—negligent homicide
 - (e) Article 80—attempts
 - (2) Involuntary manslaughter.
- (a) Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery
 - (b) Article 134—negligent homicide
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) *Voluntary manslaughter*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 15 years.
- (2) *Involuntary manslaughter*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.
- f. Sample specifications.
 - (1) Voluntary manslaughter.

In that	(perso	nal juri	isdicti	or
data), did, (at/o	n board—location)	(subjec	t-mat	tei
jurisdiction	data, if requi	red),	o n	01
about	20		_, wi	11-
fully and ur	nlawfully kill_			
b y	h i m / h e r	(i n)	(o ı	n)
the	with a			

(2) Involuntary manslaughter.

In that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter

45. Article 120—Rape and carnal knowledge a. *Text*.

- "(a) Any person subject to this chapter who commits an act of sexual intercourse by force and without consent, is guilty of rape and shall be punished by death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct."
- (b) Any person subject to this chapter who, under circumstances not amounting to rape, commits an act of sexual intercourse with a person—
 - (1) who is not his or her spouse; and
- (2) who has not attained the age of sixteen years, is guilty of carnal knowledge and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct.
- (c) Penetration, however slight, is sufficient to complete either of these offenses.
- (d)(1) In a prosecution under subsection (b), it is an affirmative defense that—
- (A) the person with whom the accused committed the act of sexual intercourse had at the time of the alleged offense attained the age of twelve years; and
- (B) the accused reasonably believed that the person had at the time of the alleged offense attained the age of 16 years.
- (2) The accused has the burden of proving a defense under subparagraph (d)(1) by a preponderance of the evidence.

b. Elements.

- (1) *Rape*.
- (a) That the accused committed an act of sexual intercourse; and
- (b) That the act of sexual intercourse was done by force and without consent.
 - (2) Carnal knowledge.
- (a) That the accused committed an act of sexual intercourse with a certain person;

- (b) That the person was not the accused's spouse; and
- (c) That at the time of the sexual intercourse the person was under 16 years of age.

- (1) *Rape*.
- (a) Nature of offense. Rape is sexual intercourse by a person, executed by force and without consent of the victim. It may be committed on a victim of any age. Any penetration, however slight, is sufficient to complete the offense.
- (b) Force and lack of consent. Force and lack of consent are necessary to the offense. Thus, if the victim consents to the act, it is not rape. The lack of consent required, however, is more than mere lack of acquiescence. If a victim in possession of his or her mental faculties fails to make lack of consent reasonably manifest by taking such measures of resistance as are called for by the circumstances, the inference may be drawn that the victim did consent. Consent, however, may not be inferred if resistance would have been futile, where resistance is overcome by threats of death or great bodily harm, or where the victim is unable to resist because of the lack of mental or physical faculties. In such a case there is no consent and the force involved in penetration will suffice. All the surrounding circumstances are to be considered in determining whether a victim gave consent, or whether he or she failed or ceased to resist only because of a reasonable fear of death or grievous bodily harm. If there is actual consent, although obtained by fraud, the act is not rape, but if to the accused's knowledge the victim is of unsound mind or unconscious to an extent rendering him or her incapable of giving consent, the act is rape. Likewise, the acquiescence of a child of such tender years that he or she is incapable of understanding the nature of the act is not consent.
- (c) Character of victim. See Mil. R. Evid. 412 concerning rules of evidence relating to an alleged rape victim's character.
- (2) Carnal knowledge. "Carnal knowledge" is sexual intercourse under circumstances not amounting to rape, with a person who is not the accused's spouse and who has not attained the age of 16 years. Any penetration, however slight, is sufficient to complete the offense. It is a defense, however, which the accused must prove by a preponderance of the evidence, that at the time of the act of sexual

intercourse, the person with whom the accused committed the act of sexual intercourse was at least 12 years of age, and that the accused reasonably believed that this same person was at least 16 years of age.

- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) *Rape*.
- (a) Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery
- (b) Article 134—assault with intent to commit rape
 - (c) Article 134—indecent assault
 - (d) Article 80-attempts
 - (e) Article 120(b)—carnal knowledge
 - (2) Carnal knowledge.
- (a) Article 134—indecent acts or liberties with a person under 16
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) *Rape*. Death or such other punishment as a court-martial may direct.
- (2) Carnal knowledge with a child who, at the time of the offense, has attained the age of 12 years. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 20 years.
- (3) Carnal knowledge with a child under the age of 12 years at the time of the offense. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for life without eligibility for parole.
- f. Sample specifications.
 - (1) *Rape*.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board-	-location) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data,	if required), on or
about	2 0
rape, (a	person who had not at-
tained the age of 16 year	ars).

(2) Carnal knowledge.

In that______ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about______ 20______, commit the offense of carnal knowledge with

46. Article 121—Larceny and wrongful appropriation

a. Text.

- "(a) Any person subject to this chapter who wrongfully takes, obtains, or withholds, by any means, from the possession of the owner or of any other person any money, personal property, or article of value of any kind—"
- (1) with intent permanently to deprive or defraud another person of the use and benefit of property or to appropriate it to his own use or the use of any person other than the owner, steals that property and is guilty of larceny; or
- (2) with intent temporarily to deprive or defraud another person of the use and benefit of property or to appropriate it to his own use or the use of any person other than the owner, is guilty of wrongful appropriation.
- (b) Any person found guilty of larceny or wrongful appropriation shall be punished as a court-martial may direct.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) Larceny.
- (a) That the accused wrongfully took, obtained, or withheld certain property from the possession of the owner or of any other person;
- (b) That the property belonged to a certain person;
- (c) That the property was of a certain value, or of some value; and
- (d) That the taking, obtaining, or withholding by the accused was with the intent permanently to deprive or defraud another person of the use and benefit of the property or permanently to appropriate the property for the use of the accused or for any person other than the owner.

[Note: If the property is alleged to be military property, as defined in paragraph 32c(1), add the following element]

- (e) That the property was military property.
- (2) Wrongful appropriation.
- (a) That the accused wrongfully took, obtained, or withheld certain property from the possession of the owner or of any other person;
- (b) That the property belonged to a certain person;
- (c) That the property was of a certain value, or of some value; and

(d) That the taking, obtaining, or withholding by the accused was with the intent temporarily to deprive or defraud another person of the use and benefit of the property or temporarily to appropriate the property for the use of the accused or for any person other than the owner.

c. Explanation.

(1) Larceny.

- (a) In general. A wrongful taking with intent permanently to deprive includes the common law offense of larceny; a wrongful obtaining with intent permanently to defraud includes the offense formerly known as obtaining by false pretense; and a wrongful withholding with intent permanently to appropriate includes the offense formerly known as embezzlement. Any of the various types of larceny under Article 121 may be charged and proved under a specification alleging that the accused "did steal" the property in question.
- (b) Taking, obtaining, or withholding. There must be a taking, obtaining, or withholding of the property by the thief. For instance, there is no taking if the property is connected to a building by a chain and the property has not been disconnected from the building; property is not "obtained" by merely acquiring title thereto without exercising some possessory control over it. As a general rule, however, any movement of the property or any exercise of dominion over it is sufficient if accompanied by the requisite intent. Thus, if an accused enticed another's horse into the accused's stable without touching the animal, or procured a railroad company to deliver another's trunk by changing the check on it, or obtained the delivery of another's goods to a person or place designated by the accused, or had the funds of another transferred to the accused's bank account, the accused is guilty of larceny if the other elements of the offense have been proved. A person may "obtain" the property of another by acquiring possession without title, and one who already has possession of the property of another may "obtain" it by later acquiring title to it. A "withholding" may arise as a result of a failure to return, account for, or deliver property to its owner when a return, accounting, or delivery is due, even if the owner has made no demand for the property, or it may arise as a result of devoting property to a use not authorized by its owner. Generally, this is so whether the person withholding the property acquired it lawfully or unlawfully. See subparagraph c(1)(f) below. Howev-

er, acts which constitute the offense of unlawfully receiving, buying, or concealing stolen property or of being an accessory after the fact are not included within the meaning of "withholds." Therefore, neither a receiver of stolen property nor an accessory after the fact can be convicted of larceny on that basis alone. The taking, obtaining, or withholding must be of specific property. A debtor does not withhold specific property from the possession of a creditor by failing or refusing to pay a debt, for the relationship of debtor and creditor does not give the creditor a possessory right in any specific money or other property of the debtor.

(c) Ownership of the property.

- (i) *In general.* Article 121 requires that the taking, obtaining, or withholding be from the possession of the owner or of any other person. Care, custody, management, and control are among the definitions of possession.
- (ii) Owner. "Owner" refers to the person who, at the time of the taking, obtaining, or withholding, had the superior right to possession of the property in the light of all conflicting interests therein which may be involved in the particular case. For instance, an organization is the true owner of its funds as against the custodian of the funds charged with the larceny thereof.
- (iii) Any other person. "Any other person" means any person—even a person who has stolen the property—who has possession or a greater right to possession than the accused. In pleading a violation of this article, the ownership of the property may be alleged to have been in any person, other than the accused, who at the time of the theft was a general owner or a special owner thereof. A general owner of property is a person who has title to it, whether or not that person has possession of it; a special owner, such as a borrower or hirer, is one who does not have title but who does have possession, or the right of possession, of the property.
- (iv) *Person*. "Person," as used in referring to one from whose possession property has been taken, obtained, or withheld, and to any owner of property, includes (in addition to a natural person) a government, a corporation, an association, an organization, and an estate. Such a person need not be a legal entity.
- (d) Wrongfulness of the taking, obtaining, or withholding. The taking, obtaining, or withholding

of the property must be wrongful. As a general rule, a taking or withholding of property from the possession of another is wrongful if done without the consent of the other, and an obtaining of property from the possession of another is wrongful if the obtaining is by false pretense. However, such an act is not wrongful if it is authorized by law or apparently lawful superior orders, or, generally, if done by a person who has a right to the possession of the property either equal to or greater than the right of one from whose possession the property is taken, obtained, or withheld. An owner of property who takes or withholds it from the possession of another, without the consent of the other, or who obtains it therefrom by false pretense, does so wrongfully if the other has a superior right—such as a lien—to possession of the property. A person who takes, obtains, or withholds property as the agent of another has the same rights and liabilities as does the principal, but may not be charged with a guilty knowledge or intent of the principal which that person does not

(e) False pretense. With respect to obtaining property by false pretense, the false pretense may be made by means of any act, word, symbol, or token. The pretense must be in fact false when made and when the property is obtained, and it must be knowingly false in the sense that it is made without a belief in its truth. A false pretense is a false representation of past or existing fact. In addition to other kinds of facts, the fact falsely represented by a person may be that person's or another's power, authority, or intention. Thus, a false representation by a person that person presently intends to perform a certain act in the future is a false representation of an existing fact—the intention—and thus a false pretense. Although the pretense need not be the sole cause inducing the owner to part with the property, it must be an effective and intentional cause of the obtaining. A false representation made after the property was obtained will not result in a violation of Article 121. A larceny is committed when a person obtains the property of another by false pretense and with intent to steal, even though the owner neither intended nor was requested to part with title to the property. Thus, a person who gets another's watch by pretending that it will be borrowed briefly and then returned, but who really intends to sell it, is guilty of larceny.

(f) Intent.

- (i) In general. The offense of larceny requires that the taking, obtaining, or withholding by the thief be accompanied by an intent permanently to deprive or defraud another of the use and benefit of property or permanently to appropriate the property to the thief's own use or the use of any person other than the owner. These intents are collectively called an intent to steal. Although a person gets property by a taking or obtaining which was not wrongful or which was without a concurrent intent to steal, a larceny is nevertheless committed if an intent to steal is formed after the taking or obtaining and the property is wrongfully withheld with that intent. For example, if a person rents another's vehicle, later decides to keep it permanently, and then either fails to return it at the appointed time or uses it for a purpose not authorized by the terms of the rental, larceny has been committed, even though at the time the vehicle was rented, the person intended to return it after using it according to the agreement.
- (ii) Inference of intent. An intent to steal may be proved by circumstantial evidence. Thus, if a person secretly takes property, hides it, and denies knowing anything about it, an intent to steal may be inferred; if the property was taken openly and returned, this would tend to negate such an intent. Proof of sale of the property may show an intent to steal, and therefore, evidence of such a sale may be introduced to support a charge of larceny. An intent to steal may be inferred from a wrongful and intentional dealing with the property of another in a manner likely to cause that person to suffer a permanent loss thereof.

(iii) Special situations.

- (A) Motive does not negate intent. The accused's purpose in taking an item ordinarily is irrelevant to the accused's guilt as long as the accused had the intent required under subparagraph c(1)(f)(i) above. For example, if the accused wrongfully took property as a "joke" or "to teach the owner a lesson" this would not be a defense, although if the accused intended to return the property, the accused would be guilty of wrongful appropriation, not larceny. When a person takes property intending only to return it to its lawful owner, as when stolen property is taken from a thief in order to return it to its owner, larceny or wrongful appropriation is not committed.
- (B) Intent to pay for or replace property not a defense. An intent to pay for or replace the

stolen property is not a defense, even if that intent existed at the time of the theft. If, however, the accused takes money or a negotiable instrument having no special value above its face value, with the intent to return an equivalent amount of money, the offense of larceny is not committed although wrongful appropriation may be.

(C) Return of property not a defense. Once a larceny is committed, a return of the property or payment for it is no defense. See subparagraph c(2) below when the taking, obtaining, or withholding is with the intent to return.

(g) Value.

- (i) *In general*. Value is a question of fact to be determined on the basis of all of the evidence admitted.
- (ii) Government property. When the stolen property is an item issued or procured from Government sources, the price listed in an official publication for that property at the time of the theft is admissible as evidence of its value. See Mil. R. Evid. 803(17). However, the stolen item must be shown to have been, at the time of the theft, in the condition upon which the value indicated in the official publication is not conclusive as to the value of the item, and other evidence may be admitted on the question of its condition and value.
- (iii) Other property. As a general rule, the value of other stolen property is its legitimate market value at the time and place of the theft. If this property, because of its character or the place where it was stolen, had no legitimate market value at the time and place of the theft or if that value cannot readily be ascertained, its value may be determined by its legitimate market value in the United States at the time of the theft, or by its replacement cost at that time, whichever is less. Market value may be established by proof of the recent purchase price paid for the article in the legitimate market involved or by testimony or other admissible evidence from any person who is familiar through training or experience with the market value in question. The owner of the property may testify as to its market value if familiar with its quality and condition. The fact that the owner is not an expert of the market value of the property goes only to the weight to be given that testimony, and not to its admissibility. See Mil. R. Evid. 701. When the character of the

property clearly appears in evidence—for instance, when it is exhibited to the court-martial—the court-martial, from its own experience, may infer that it has some value. If as a matter of common knowledge the property is obviously of a value substantially in excess of \$500.00, the court-martial may find a value of more than \$500.00. Writings representing value may be considered to have the value—even though contingent—which they represented at the time of the theft.

(iv) Limited interest in property. If an owner of property or someone acting in the owner's behalf steals it from a person who has a superior, but limited, interest in the property, such as a lien, the value for punishment purposes shall be that of the limited interest.

(h) Miscellaneous considerations.

- (i) Lost property. A taking or withholding of lost property by the finder is larceny if accompanied by an intent to steal and if a clue to the identity of the general or special owner, or through which such identity may be traced, is furnished by the character, location, or marketing of the property, or by other circumstances.
- (ii) Multiple article larceny. When a larceny of several articles is committed at substantially the same time and place, it is a single larceny even though the articles belong to different persons. Thus, if a thief steals a suitcase containing the property of several persons or goes into a room and takes property belonging to various persons, there is but one larceny, which should be alleged in but one specification.
- (iii) Special kinds of property which may also be the subject of larceny. Included in property which may be the subject of larceny is property which is taken, obtained, or withheld by severing it from real estate and writings which represent value such as commercial paper.
- (iv) *Services*. Theft of services may not be charged under this paragraph, but *see* paragraph 78.
- (vi) Credit, Debit, and Electronic Transactions. Wrongfully engaging in a credit, debit, or electronic transaction to obtain goods or money is an obtaining-type larceny by false pretense. Such use to obtain goods is usually a larceny of those goods from the merchant offering them. Such use to obtain money or a negotiable instrument (e.g., withdrawing cash from an automated teller or a cash advance

from a bank) is usually a larceny of money from the entity presenting the money or a negotiable instrument. For the purpose of this section, the term 'credit, debit, or electronic transaction' includes the use of an instrument or device, whether known as a credit card, debit card, automated teller machine (ATM) card or by any other name, including access devices such as code, account number, electronic serial number or personal identification number, issued for the use in obtaining money, goods, or anything else of value.

(2) Wrongful appropriation.

- (a) In general. Wrongful appropriation requires an intent to temporarily—as opposed to permanently—deprive the owner of the use and benefit of, or appropriate to the use of another, the property wrongfully taken, withheld, or obtained. In all other respects wrongful appropriation and larceny are identical.
- (b) Examples. Wrongful appropriation includes: taking another's automobile without permission or lawful authority with intent to drive it a short distance and then return it or cause it to be returned to the owner; obtaining a service weapon by falsely pretending to be about to go on guard duty with intent to use it on a hunting trip and later return it; and while driving a government vehicle on a mission to deliver supplies, withholding the vehicle from government service by deviating from the assigned route without authority, to visit a friend in a nearby town and later restore the vehicle to its lawful use. An inadvertent exercise of control over the property of another will not result in wrongful appropriation. For example, a person who fails to return a borrowed boat at the time agreed upon because the boat inadvertently went aground is not guilty of this offense.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Larceny.
 - (a) Article 121—wrongful appropriation
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
 - (2) Larceny of military property.
 - (a) Article 121—wrongful appropriation
- (b) Article 121—larceny of property other than military property
 - (c) Article 80—attempts
- (3) Wrongful appropriation. Article 80—attempts e. *Maximum punishment*.

- (1) Larceny.
- (a) Military property of a value of \$500 or less. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- (b) Property other than military property of a value of \$500 or less. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- (c) Military property of a value of more than \$500 or of any military motor vehicle, aircraft, vessel, firearm, or explosive. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.
- (d) Property other than military property of a value of more than \$500 or any motor vehicle, aircraft, vessel, firearm, or explosive not included in subparagraph e(1)(c). Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for five years.
 - (2) Wrongful appropriation.
- (a) Of a value of \$500.00 or less. Confinement for 3 months, and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 3 months.
- (b) Of a value of more than \$500.00. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- (c) Of any motor vehicle, aircraft, vessel, firearm, or explosive. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- f. Sample specifications.

(1) Larceny.	
In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on boa	ard—location) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data	a, if required), on or
about	2 0
steal	, (military property), of a
value of (about) \$, the property
of	

(2) Wrongful appropriation.

In that	_ (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—lo	cation) (subject matter
jurisdiction data, if	required), on or
about 20	, wrong-
fully appropriate	, of a value of
(about) \$, the property
of	
01	

47. Article 122-Robbery

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who with intent to steal takes anything of value from the person or in the presence of another, against his will, by means of force or violence or fear of immediate or future injury to his person or property or to the person or property of a relative or member of his family or of anyone in his company at the time of the robbery, is guilty of robbery and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused wrongfully took certain property from the person or from the possession and in the presence of a person named or described;
- (2) That the taking was against the will of that person;
- (3) That the taking was by means of force, violence, or force and violence, or putting the person in fear of immediate or future injury to that person, a relative, a member of the person's family, anyone accompanying the person at the time of the robbery, the person's property, or the property of a relative, family member, or anyone accompanying the person at the time of the robbery;
- (4) That the property belonged to a person named or described;
- (5) That the property was of a certain or of some value; and
- (6) That the taking of the property by the accused was with the intent permanently to deprive the person robbed of the use and benefit of the property. [Note: If the robbery was committed with a firearm, add the following element]
- (7) That the means of force or violence or of putting the person in fear was a firearm.

- (1) Taking in the presence of the victim. It is not necessary that the property taken be located within any certain distance of the victim. If persons enter a house and force the owner by threats to disclose the hiding place of valuables in an adjoining room, and, leaving the owner tied, go into that room and steal the valuables, they have committed robbery.
- (2) Force or violence. For a robbery to be committed by force or violence, there must be actual force or violence to the person, preceding or accompanying the taking against the person's will, and it is

- immaterial that there is no fear engendered in the victim. Any amount of force is enough to constitute robbery if the force overcomes the actual resistance of the person robbed, puts the person in such a position that no resistance is made, or suffices to overcome the resistance offered by a chain or other fastening by which the article is attached to the person. The offense is not robbery if an article is merely snatched from the hand of another or a pocket is picked by stealth, no other force is used, and the owner is not put in fear. But if resistance is overcome in snatching the article, there is sufficient violence, as when an earring is torn from a person's ear. There is sufficient violence when a person's attention is diverted by being jostled by a confederate of a pickpocket, who is thus enabled to steal the person's watch, even though the person had no knowledge of the act; or when a person is knocked insensible and that person's pockets rifled; or when a guard steals property from the person of a prisoner in the guard's charge after handcuffing the prisoner on the pretext of preventing escape.
- (3) Fear. For a robbery to be committed by putting the victim in fear, there need be no actual force or violence, but there must be a demonstration of force or menace by which the victim is placed in such fear that the victim is warranted in making no resistance. The fear must be a reasonable apprehension of present or future injury, and the taking must occur while the apprehension exists. The injury apprehended may be death or bodily injury to the person or to a relative or family member, or to anyone in the person's company at the time, or it may be the destruction of the person's habitation or other property or that of a relative or family member or anyone in the person's company at the time of sufficient gravity to warrant giving up the property demanded by the assailant.
- (4) Larceny by taking. Robbery includes "taking with intent to steal"; hence, a larceny by taking is an integral part of a charge of robbery and must be proved at the trial. See paragraph 46c(1).
- (5) *Multiple-victim robberies*. Robberies of different persons at the same time and place are separate offenses and each such robbery should be alleged in a separate specification.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Article 121—larceny
 - (2) Article 121—wrongful appropriation

- (3) Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery
- (4) Article 128—assault with a dangerous weapon
- (5) Article 128—assault intentionally inflicting grievous bodily harm
 - (6) Article 134—assault with intent to rob
 - (7) Article 80—attempts

[Note: More than one lesser included offense may be found in an appropriate case because robbery is a compound offense. For example, a person may be found not guilty of robbery but guilty of wrongful appropriation and assault.]

e. Maximum punishment.

- (1) When committed with a firearm. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 15 years.
- (2) Other cases. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.

f. Sample specifications.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—loc	cation) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data, if	required), on or
about20.	, b y
means of (force) (violence)	(force and violence)
(and) (putting him/her in fear)) (with a firearm) steal
from the (person) (presence	e) of,
against his/her will, (a watch)	() of
value of (about) \$, the property
of	

48. Article 123—Forgery

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who, with intent to defraud—"

- "(1) falsely makes or alters any signature to, or any part of, any writing which would, if genuine, apparently impose a legal liability on another or change his legal right or liability to his prejudice; or"
- "(2) utters, offers, issues, or transfers such a writing, known by him to be so made or altered; is guilty of forgery and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

(1) Forgery—making or altering.

- (a) That the accused falsely made or altered a certain signature or writing;
- (b) That the signature or writing was of a nature which would, if genuine, apparently impose a legal liability on another or change another's legal rights or liabilities to that person's prejudice; and
- (c) That the false making or altering was with the intent to defraud.
 - (2) Forgery—uttering.
- (a) That a certain signature or writing was falsely made or altered;
- (b) That the signature or writing was of a nature which would, if genuine, apparently impose a legal liability on another or change another's legal rights or liabilities to that person's prejudice;
- (c) That the accused uttered, offered, issued, or transferred the signature or writing;
- (d) That at such time the accused knew that the signature or writing had been falsely made or altered; and
- (e) That the uttering, offering, issuing or transferring was with the intent to defraud.

c. Explanation.

- (1) In general. Forgery may be committed either by falsely making a writing or by knowingly uttering a falsely made writing. There are three elements common to both aspects of forgery: a writing falsely made or altered; and apparent capability of the writing as falsely made or altered to impose a legal liability on another or to change another's legal rights or liabilities to that person's prejudice; and an intent to defraud.
- (2) False. "False" refers not to the contents of the writing or to the facts stated therein but to the making or altering of it. Hence, forgery is not committed by the genuine making of a false instrument even when made with intent to defraud. A person who, with intent to defraud, signs that person's own signature as the maker of a check drawn on a bank in which that person does not have money or credit does not commit forgery. Although the check falsely represents the existence of the account, it is what it purports to be, a check drawn by the actual maker, and therefore it is not falsely made. See, however, paragraph 49. Likewise, if a person makes a false signature of another to an instrument, but adds the word "by" with that person's own signature thus indicating authority to sign, the offense is not forgery even if no such authority exists. False recitals

- of fact in a genuine document, as an aircraft flight report which is "padded" by the one preparing it, do not make the writing a forgery. *But see* paragraph 31 concerning false official statements.
- (3) Signatures. Signing the name of another to an instrument having apparent legal efficacy without authority and with intent to defraud is forgery as the signature is falsely made. The distinction is that in this case the falsely made signature purports to be the act of one other than the actual signer. Likewise, a forgery may be committed by a person signing that person's own name to an instrument. For example, when a check payable to the order of a certain person comes into the hands of another of the same name, forgery is committed if, knowing the check to be another's, that person indorses it with that person's own name intending to defraud. Forgery may also be committed by signing a fictitious name, as when Roe makes a check payable to Roe and signs it with a fictitious name-Doe-as drawer.
- (4) Nature of writing. The writing must be one which would, if genuine, apparently impose a legal liability on another, as a check or promissory note, or change that person's legal rights or liabilities to that person's prejudice, as a receipt. Some other instruments which may be the subject of forgery are orders for the delivery of money or goods, railroad tickets, and military orders directing travel. A writing falsely "made" includes an instrument that may be partially or entirely printed, engraved, written with a pencil, or made by photography or other device. A writing may be falsely "made" by materially altering an existing writing, by filling in a paper signed in blank, or by signing an instrument already written. With respect to the apparent legal efficacy of the writing falsely made or altered, the writing must appear either on its face or from extrinsic facts to impose a legal liability on another, or to change a legal right or liability the prejudice of another. If under all the circumstances the instrument has neither real nor apparent legal efficacy, there is no forgery. Thus, the false making with intent to defraud of an instrument affirmatively invalid on its face is not forgery nor is the false making or altering, with intent to defraud, of a writing which could not impose a legal liability, as a mere letter of introduction. However, the false making of another's signature on an instrument with intent to defraud is forgery, even if there is no resemblance to the genuine signature and the name is misspelled.

- (5) *Intent to defraud. See* paragraph 49c(14). The intent to defraud need not be directed toward anyone in particular nor be for the advantage of the offender. If is immaterial that nobody was actually defrauded, or that no further step was made toward carrying out the intent to defraud other than the false making or altering of a writing.
- (6) Alteration. The alteration must effect a material change in the legal tenor of the writing. Thus, an alteration which apparently increases, diminishes, or discharges any obligation is material. Examples of material alterations in the case of a promissory note are changing the date, amount, or place of payment. If a genuine writing has been delivered to the accused and while in the accused's possession is later found to be altered, it may be inferred that the writing was altered by the accused.
 - (7) Uttering. See paragraph 49c(4).
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80—attempts e. Maximum punishment. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specifications.
 - (1) Forgery—making or altering.

In that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about_____, with intent to defraud, falsely[make (in its entirety) (the signature of______ as an indorsement to) (the signature of______ to) (______) a certain (check) (writing) (_____) in the following words and figures, to wit:_____][alter a certain (check) (writing) (______) in the following words and figures, to wit:______, by (adding thereto______) (_______)], which said (check) (writing) (_______) would, if genuine, apparently operate to the legal harm of another[*and which_____ (could be) (was) used to the legal harm of______, in that______]. [Note: This allegation should be used when the document specified is not one which by its nature would clearly operate to the legal prejudice of another—for example, an insurance application. The manner in which the document could be or was used to prejudice the legal rights of another should be alleged in the last blank.]

(2) Forgery—uttering.

In that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or _____ 20____ _____, with intent to defraud, (utter) (offer) (issue) (transfer) a certain (check) (writing) (_____) in the following words and figures, to wit:_____, a writing which would, if genuine, apparently operate to the legal harm of another, (which said (check) (writing) _____)) (the signature to which said (check) (writing) (_____)) (_____) was, as he/she, the said_____, then well knew, falsely (made) (altered) (*and which___ (could be) (was) used to the legal harm of_____, in that_____ [Note: See the note following (1), above]

49. Article 123a—Making, drawing, or uttering check, draft, or order without sufficient funds

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who—"

- (1) "for the procurement of any article or thing of value, with intent to defraud; or"
- (2) "for the payment of any past due obligation, or for any other purpose, with intent to deceive; makes, draws, utters, or delivers any check, draft, or order for the payment of money upon any bank or other depository, knowing at the time that the maker or drawer has not or will not have sufficient funds in, or credit with, the bank or other depository for the payment of that check, draft, or order in full upon its presentment, shall be punished as a courtmartial may direct. The making, drawing, uttering, or delivering by a maker or drawer of a check, draft, or order, payment of which is refused by the drawee because of insufficient funds of the maker or drawer in the drawee's possession or control, is prima facie evidence of his intent to defraud or deceive and of his knowledge of insufficient funds in, or credit with, that bank or other depository, unless the maker or drawer pays the holder the amount due within five days after receiving notice, orally or in writing, that the check, draft, or order was not paid on presentment. In this section, the word "credit" means an arrangement or understanding, express or implied,

with the bank or other depository for the payment of that check, draft, or order."

b. Elements.

- (1) For the procurement of any article or thing of value, with intent to defraud.
- (a) That the accused made, drew, uttered, or delivered a check, draft, or order for the payment of money payable to a named person or organization;
- (b) That the accused did so for the purpose of procuring an article or thing of value;
- (c) That the act was committed with intent to defraud; and
- (d) That at the time of making, drawing, uttering, or delivery of the instrument the accused knew that the accused or the maker or drawer had not or would not have sufficient funds in, or credit with, the bank or other depository for the payment thereof upon presentment.
- (2) For the payment of any past due obligation, or for any other purpose, with intent to deceive.
- (a) That the accused made, drew, uttered, or delivered a check, draft, or order for the payment of money payable to a named person or organization;
- (b) That the accused did so for the purpose or purported purpose of effecting the payment of a past due obligation or for some other purpose;
- (c) That the act was committed with intent to deceive; and
- (d) That at the time of making, drawing, uttering, or delivering of the instrument, the accused knew that the accused or the maker or drawer had not or would not have sufficient funds in, or credit with, the bank or other depository for the payment thereof upon presentment.

c. Explanation.

- (1) Written instruments. The written instruments covered by this article include any check, draft (including share drafts), or order for the payment of money drawn upon any bank or other depository, whether or not the drawer bank or depository is actually in existence. It may be inferred that every check, draft, or order carries with it a representation that the instrument will be paid in full by the bank or other depository upon presentment by a holder when due.
- (2) Bank or other depository. "Bank or other depository" includes any business regularly but not

necessarily exclusively engaged in public banking activities.

- (3) *Making or drawing*. "Making" and "drawing" are synonymous and refer to the act of writing and signing the instrument.
- (4) Uttering or delivering. "Uttering" and "delivering" have similar meanings. Both mean transferring the instrument to another, but "uttering" has the additional meaning of offering to transfer. A person need not personally be the maker or drawer of an instrument in order to violate this article if that person utters or delivers it. For example, if a person holds a check which that person knows is worthless, and utters or delivers the check to another, that person may be guilty of an offense under this article despite the fact that the person did not personally draw the check.
- (5) For the procurement. "For the procurement" means for the purpose of obtaining any article or thing of value. It is not necessary that an article or thing of value actually be obtained, and the purpose of the obtaining may be for the accused's own use or benefit or for the use or benefit of another.
- (6) For the payment. "For the payment" means for the purpose or purported purpose of satisfying in whole or in part any past due obligation. Payment need not be legally effected.
- (7) For any other purpose. "For any other purpose" includes all purposes other than the payment of a past due obligation or the procurement of any article or thing of value. For example, it includes paying or purporting to pay an obligation which is not yet past due. The check, draft, or order, whether made or negotiated for the procurement of an article or thing of value or for the payment of a past due obligation or for some other purpose, need not be intended or represented as payable immediately. For example, the making of a postdated check, delivered at the time of entering into an installment purchase contract and intended as payment for a future installment, would, if made with the requisite intent and knowledge, be a violation of this article.
- (8) Article or thing of value. "Article or thing of value" extends to every kind of right or interest in property, or derived from contract, including interests and rights which are intangible or contingent or which mature in the future.
- (9) Past due obligation. A "past due obligation" is an obligation to pay money, which obligation has

- legally matured before making, drawing, uttering, or delivering the instrument.
- (10) Knowledge. The accused must have knowledge, at the time the accused makes, draws, utters, or delivers the instrument, that the maker or drawer, whether the accused or another, has not or will not have sufficient funds in, or credit with, the bank or other depository for the payment of the instrument in full upon its presentment. Such knowledge may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
- (11) Sufficient funds. "Sufficient funds" refers to a condition in which the account balance of the maker or drawer in the bank or other depository at the time of the presentment of the instrument for payment is not less than the face amount of the instrument and has not been rendered unavailable for payment by garnishment, attachment, or other legal procedures.
- (12) Credit. "Credit" means an arrangement or understanding, express or implied, with the bank or other depository for the payment of the check, draft, or order. An absence of credit includes those situations in which an accused writes a check on a non-existent bank or on a bank in which the accused has no account.
- (13) *Upon its presentment*. "Upon its presentment" refers to the time the demand for payment is made upon presentation of the instrument to the bank or other depository on which it was drawn.
- (14) *Intent to defraud.* "Intent to defraud" means an intent to obtain, through a misrepresentation, an article or thing of value and to apply it to one's own use and benefit or to the use and benefit of another, either permanently or temporarily.
- (15) Intent to deceive. "Intent to deceive" means an intent to mislead, cheat, or trick another by means of a misrepresentation made for the purpose of gaining an advantage for oneself or for a third person, or of bringing about a disadvantage to the interests of the person to whom the representation was made or to interests represented by that person.
- (16) The relationship of time and intent. Under this article, two times are involved: (a) when the accused makes, draws, utters, or delivers the instrument; and (b) when the instrument is presented to the bank or other depository for payment. With respect to (a), the accused must possess the requisite intent and must know that the maker or drawer does not have or will not have sufficient funds in, or

credit with, the bank or the depository for payment of the instrument in full upon its presentment when due. With respect to (b), if it can otherwise be shown that the accused possessed the requisite intent and knowledge at the time the accused made, drew, uttered, or delivered the instrument, neither proof of presentment nor refusal of payment is necessary, as when the instrument is one drawn on a nonexistent bank.

- (17) Statutory rule of evidence. The provision of this article with respect to establishing prima facie evidence of knowledge and intent by proof of notice and nonpayment within 5 days is a statutory rule of evidence. The failure of an accused who is a maker or drawer to pay the holder the amount due within 5 days after receiving either oral or written notice from the holder of a check, draft, or order, or from any other person having knowledge that such check, draft, or order was returned unpaid because of insufficient funds, is prima facie evidence (a) that the accused had the intent to defraud or deceive as alleged; and (b) that the accused knew at the time the accused made, drew, uttered, or delivered the check, draft, or order that the accused did not have or would not have sufficient funds in, or credit with, the bank or other depository for the payment of such check, draft, or order upon its presentment for payment. Prima facie evidence is that evidence from which the accused's intent to defraud or deceive and the accused's knowledge of insufficient funds in or credit with the bank or other depository may be inferred, depending on all the circumstances. The failure to give notice referred to in the article, or payment by the accused, maker, or drawer to the holder of the amount due within 5 days after such notice has been given, precludes the prosecution from using the statutory rule of evidence but does not preclude conviction of this offense if all the elements are otherwise proved.
- (18) Affirmative defense. Honest mistake is an affirmative defense to offenses under this article. See R.C.M. 916(j).
- d. Lesser included offenses.
- (1) Article 134—making, drawing, uttering or delivering a check, draft, or order, and thereafter wrongfully and dishonorably failing to maintain sufficient funds
 - (2) Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.

- (1) For the procurement of any article or thing of value, with intent to defraud, in the face amount of:
- (a) \$500.00 or less. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- (b) *More than \$500.00*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- (2) For the payment of any past due obligation, or for any other purpose, with intent to deceive. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- f. Sample specifications.
- (1) For the procurement of any article or thing of value, with intent to defraud.

In that_____(personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about_____, with intent to defraud and for the procurement of (lawful currency) (and) (______ (an article) (a thing) of value), wrongfully and unlawfully ((make (draw)) (utter) (deliver) to______,) a certain (check) (draft) (money order) upon the (_____Bank) (_____ depository) in words and figures as follows, to wit:_____, then knowing that (he/she) _____), the (maker) (drawer) thereof, did not or would not have sufficient funds in or credit with such (bank) (depository) for the payment of the said (check) (draft) (order) in full upon its presentment.

(Bank	i) (_depo	si-
tory), in wo	ords and	figures	as	follo	ows,	to
wit:	, tl	nen know	ing	that	(he/s	he)
(), the	(maker)	(dra	wer)	there	of
did not or we	ould not l	nave suffi	cient	func	ds in	OI
credit with suc	h (bank) (depositor	v) foi	the	pavm	en

of the said (check) (draft) (order) in full upon its presentment.

50. Article 124—Maiming

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who, with intent to injure, disfigure, or disable, inflicts upon the person of another an injury which—"

- (1) "seriously disfigures his person by any mutilation thereof;"
- (2) "destroys or disables any member or organ of his body; or"
- (3) "seriously diminishes his physical vigor by the injury of any member or organ; is guilty of maiming and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused inflicted a certain injury upon a certain person;
- (2) That this injury seriously disfigured the person's body, destroyed or disabled an organ or member, or seriously diminished the person's physical vigor by the injury to an organ or member; and
- (3) That the accused inflicted this injury with an intent to cause some injury to a person.

c. Explanation.

- (1) Nature of offense. It is maining to put out a person's eye, to cut off a hand, foot, or finger, or to knock out a tooth, as these injuries destroy or disable those members or organs. It is also maiming to injure an internal organ so as to seriously diminish the physical vigor of a person. Likewise, it is maiming to cut off an ear or to scar a face with acid, as these injuries seriously disfigure a person. A disfigurement need not mutilate any entire member to come within the article, or be of any particular type, but must be such as to impair perceptibly and materially the victim's comeliness. The disfigurement, diminishment of vigor, or destruction or disablement of any member or organ must be a serious injury of a substantially permanent nature. However, the offense is complete if such an injury is inflicted even though there is a possibility that the victim may eventually recover the use of the member or organ, or that the disfigurement may be cured by surgery.
- (2) Means of inflicting injury. To prove the offense it is not necessary to prove the specific means by which the injury was inflicted. However, such

- evidence may be considered on the question of intent.
- (3) *Intent.* Maiming requires a specific intent to injure generally but not a specific intent to maim. Thus, one commits the offense who intends only a slight injury, if in fact there is infliction of an injury of the type specified in this article. Infliction of the type of injuries specified in this article upon the person of another may support an inference of the intent to injure, disfigure, or disable.
- (4) *Defenses*. If the injury is done under circumstances which would justify or excuse homicide, the offense of maiming is not committed. *See* R.C.M. 916.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
- (1) Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery
- (2) Article 128—assault with a dangerous weapon
- (3) Article 128—assault intentionally inflicting grievous bodily harm
 - (4) Article 80—attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 7 years.

C	0 1	• (*	. •
t	Sample	specition	ation

In that		(personal	jurisdic	tion data)
did, (at/on b	oard—locati	on) (subj	ect-matte	er jurisdic
tion data, if	required) or	n or abou	ıt	
20	, n	naim		by
(crushing	his/her foot	with a	sledge	hammer
().			

51. Article 125—Sodomy

a. Text.

- "(a) Any person subject to this chapter who engages in unnatural carnal copulation with another person of the same or opposite sex or with an animal is guilty of sodomy. Penetration, however slight, is sufficient to complete the offense.
- (b) Any person found guilty of sodomy shall by punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

(1) That the accused engaged in unnatural carnal copulation with a certain other person or with an animal.

(Note: Add either or both of the following elements, if applicable)

- (2) That the act was done with a child under the age of 16.
- (3) That the act was done by force and without the consent of the other person.
- c. Explanation. It is unnatural carnal copulation for a person to take into that person's mouth or anus the sexual organ of another person or of an animal; or to place that person's sexual organ in the mouth or anus of another person or of an animal; or to have carnal copulation in any opening of the body, except the sexual parts, with another person; or to have carnal copulation with an animal.

d. Lesser included offenses.

- (1) With a child under the age of 16.
- (a) Article 125—forcible sodomy (and offenses included therein; *see* subparagraph (2) below)
- (b) Article 134—indecent acts with a child under 16
 - (c) Article 80—attempts
 - (2) Forcible sodomy.
- (a) Article 125—sodomy (and offenses included therein; *see* subparagraph (3) below)
- (b) Article 134—assault with intent to commit sodomy
 - (c) Article 134—indecent assault
 - (d) Article 80—attempts.
 - (3) Sodomy.
 - (a) Article 134—indecent acts with another
 - (b) Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) By force and without consent. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for life without eligibility for parole.
- (2) With a child who, at the time of the offense, has attained the age of 12 but is under the age of 16 years. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 20 years.
- (3) With a child under the age of 12 years at the time of the offense. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for life without eligibility for parole.
- (4) Other cases. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	(norconal	iurisdiction	data
in inai	(personal	iurisaiction	ciata).

did, (at/on board-	—location) (subject-matter jurisdic-
tion data, if requ	ired), on or about
2 0	, commit sodomy
with	(a child under the age of 16
years) (by force	and without the consent of the
said).

52. Article 126—Arson

a. Text.

- "(a) Any person subject to this chapter who willfully and maliciously burns or sets on fire an inhabited dwelling, or any other structure, movable or immovable, wherein to the knowledge of the offender there is at the time a human being, is guilty of aggravated arson and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct.
- (b) Any person subject to this chapter who willfully and maliciously burns or sets fire to the property of another, except as provided in subsection (a), is guilty of simple arson and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) Aggravated arson.
 - (a) Inhabited dwelling.
- (i) That the accused burned or set on fire an inhabited dwelling;
- (ii) That this dwelling belonged to a certain person and was of a certain value; and
 - (iii) That the act was willful and malicious.
 - (b) Structure.
- (i) That the accused burned or set on fire a certain structure;
 - (ii) That the act was willful and malicious;
- (iii) That there was a human being in the structure at the time;
- (iv) That the accused knew that there was a human being in the structure at the time; and
- (v) That this structure belonged to a certain person and was of a certain value.
 - (2) Simple arson.
- (a) That the accused burned or set fire to certain property of another;
- (b) That the property was of a certain value; and
- (c) That the act was willful and malicious.c. Explanation.
 - (1) In general. In aggravated arson, danger to hu-

man life is the essential element; in simple arson, it is injury to the property of another. In either case, it is immaterial that no one is, in fact, injured. It must be shown that the accused set the fire willfully and maliciously, that is, not merely by negligence or accident.

(2) Aggravated arson.

- (a) Inhabited dwelling. An inhabited dwelling includes the outbuildings that form part of the cluster of buildings used as a residence. A shop or store is not an inhabited dwelling unless occupied as such, nor is a house that has never been occupied or which has been temporarily abandoned. A person may be guilty of aggravated arson of the person's dwelling, whether as owner or tenant.
- (b) Structure. Aggravated arson may also be committed by burning or setting on fire any other structure, movable or immovable, such as a theater, church, boat, trailer, tent, auditorium, or any other sort of shelter or edifice, whether public or private, when the offender knows that there is a human being inside at the time. It may be that the offender had this knowledge when the nature of the structure—as a department store or theater during hours of business, or other circumstances—are shown to have been such that a reasonable person would have known that a human being was inside at the time.
- (c) Damage to property. It is not necessary that the dwelling or structure be consumed or materially injured; it is enough if fire is actually communicated to any part thereof. Any actual burning or charring is sufficient, but a mere scorching or discoloration by heat is not.
- (d) Value and ownership of property. For the offense of aggravated arson, the value and ownership of the dwelling or other structure are immaterial, but should ordinarily be alleged and proved to permit the finding in an appropriate case of the included offense of simple arson.
- (3) Simple arson. "Simple arson" is the willful and malicious burning or setting fire to the property of another under circumstances not amounting to aggravated arson. The offense includes burning or setting fire to real or personal property of someone other than the offender. See also paragraph 67 (Burning with intent to defraud).
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Aggravated arson.
 - (a) Article 126—simple arson

- (b) Article 80—attempts
- (2) Simple arson. Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Aggravated arson. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 20 years.
 - (2) Simple arson, where the property is-
- (a) Of a value of \$500.00 or less. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- (b) Of a value of more than \$500.00. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specifications.
 - (1) Aggravated arson.

(a) Inhabited dwelling.

In that _____ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about ______ 20_____, willfully and maliciously

(burn) (set on fire) an inhabited dwelling, to wit:
(the residence of _______)
(_______), (the property
of______) of a value of (about)

(b) Structure.

(2) Simple arson.

In that(personal jurisdiction data)
did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdic-
tion data, if required), on or about
20, willfully and maliciously
(burn) (set fire to) (an automo
bile)(), the property
of, of a value of (about
\$

53. Article 127—Extortion

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who communi-

cates threats to another person with the intention thereby to obtain anything of value or any acquittance, advantage, or immunity is guilty of extortion and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct." b. *Elements*.

- (1) That the accused communicated a certain threat to another; and
- (2) That the accused intended to unlawfully obtain something of value, or any acquittance, advantage, or immunity.

c. Explanation.

- (1) *In general*. Extortion is complete upon communication of the threat with the requisite intent. The actual or probable success of the extortion need not be proved.
- (2) Threat. A threat may be communicated by any means but must be received by the intended victim. The threat may be: a threat to do any unlawful injury to the person or property of the person threatened or to any member of that person's family or any other person held dear to that person; a threat to accuse the person threatened, or any member of that persons's family or any other person held dear to that person, of any crime; a threat to expose or impute any deformity or disgrace to the person threatened or to any member of that person's family or any other person held dear to that person; a threat to expose any secret affecting the person threatened or any member of that person's family or any other person held dear to that person; or a threat to do any other harm.
- (3) Acquittance. An "acquittance" is a release or discharge from an obligation.
- (4) Advantage or immunity. Unless it is clear from the circumstances, the advantage or immunity sought should be described in the specification. An intent to make a person do an act against that person's will is not, by itself, sufficient to constitute extortion.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Article 134—communicating a threat
 - (2) Article 80—attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that_____(personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdic-

tion data, if requi	red), on or about
	, with intent unlawfully to ob
tain (something of	value) (an acquittance) (an advan
tage, to wit) (an immunity, to
w i t), communicat
to	_a threat to (here describe the
threat).	

54. Article 128—Assault

a. Text.

- "(a) Any person subject to this chapter who attempts or offers with unlawful force or violence to do bodily harm to another person, whether or not the attempt or offer is consummated, is guilty of assault and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct.
 - (b) Any person subject to this chapter who—
- (1) commits an assault with a dangerous weapon or other means or force likely to produce death or grievous bodily harm; or
- (2) commits an assault and intentionally inflicts grievous bodily harm with or without a weapon; is guilty of aggravated assault and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) Simple assault.
- (a) That the accused attempted or offered to do bodily harm to a certain person; and
- (b) That the attempt or offer was done with unlawful force or violence.
 - (2) Assault consummated by a battery.
- (a) That the accused did bodily harm to a certain person; and
- (b) That the bodily harm was done with unlawful force or violence.
- (3) Assaults permitting increased punishment based on status of victim.
- (a) Assault upon a commissioned, warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer.
- (i) That the accused attempted to do, offered to do, or did bodily harm to a certain person;
- (ii) That the attempt, offer, or bodily harm was done with unlawful force or violence;
- (iii) That the person was a commissioned, warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer; and
- (iv) That the accused then knew that the person was a commissioned, warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer.
 - (b) Assault upon a sentinel or lookout in the

execution of duty, or upon a person in the execution of law enforcement duties.

- (i) That the accused attempted to do, offered to do, or did bodily harm to a certain person;
- (ii) That the attempt, offer, or bodily harm was done with unlawful force or violence:
- (iii) That the person was a sentinel or lookout in the execution of duty or was a person who then had and was in the execution of security police, military police, shore patrol, master at arms, or other military or civilian law enforcement duties; and
- (iv) That the accused then knew that the person was a sentinel or lookout in the execution of duty or was a person who then had and was in the execution of security police, military police, shore patrol, master at arms, or other military or civilian law enforcement duties.
- (c) Assault consummated by a battery upon a child under 16 years.
- (i) That the accused did bodily harm to a certain person;
- (ii) That the bodily harm was done with unlawful force or violence; and
- (iii) That the person was then a child under the age of 16 years.
 - (4) Aggravated assault.
- (a) Assault with a dangerous weapon or other means of force likely to produce death or grievous bodily harm.
- (i) That the accused attempted to do, offered to do, or did bodily harm to a certain person;
- (ii) That the accused did so with a certain weapon, means, or force;
- (iii) That the attempt, offer, or bodily harm was done with unlawful force or violence; and
- (iv) That the weapon, means, or force was used in a manner likely to produce death or grievous bodily harm.

(Note: When a loaded firearm was used, add the following element)

- (v) That the weapon was a loaded firearm.
- (b) Assault in which grievous bodily harm is intentionally inflicted.
- (i) That the accused assaulted a certain person;
- (ii) That grievous bodily harm was thereby inflicted upon such person;

- (iii) That the grievous bodily harm was done with unlawful force or violence; and
- (iv) That the accused, at the time, had the specific intent to inflict grievous bodily harm.

(Note: When a loaded firearm was used, add the following element)

- (v) That the injury was inflicted with a loaded firearm.
- c. Explanation.
 - (1) Simple assault.
- (a) Definition of assault. An "assault" is an attempt or offer with unlawful force or violence to do bodily harm to another, whether or not the attempt or offer is consummated. It must be done without legal justification or excuse and without the lawful consent of the person affected. "Bodily harm" means any offensive touching of another, however slight.
- (b) Difference between "attempt" and "offer" type assaults.
- (i) Attempt type assault. An "attempt" type assault requires a specific intent to inflict bodily harm, and an overt act—that is, an act that amounts to more than mere preparation and apparently tends to effect the intended bodily harm. An attempt type assault may be committed even though the victim had no knowledge of the incident at the time.
- (ii) Offer type assault. An "offer" type assault is an unlawful demonstration of violence, either by an intentional or by a culpably negligent act or omission, which creates in the mind of another a reasonable apprehension of receiving immediate bodily harm. Specific intent to inflict bodily harm is not required.
 - (iii) Examples.
- (A) If Doe swings a fist at Roe's head intending to hit Roe but misses, Doe has committed an attempt type assault, whether or not Roe is aware of the attempt.
- (B) If Doe swings a fist in the direct of Roe's head either intentionally or as a result of culpable negligence, and Roe sees the blow coming and is thereby put in apprehension of being struck, Doe has committed an offer type assault whether or not Doe intended to hit Roe.
- (C) If Doe swings at Roe's head, intending to hit it, and Roe sees the blow coming and is thereby put in apprehension of being struck, Doe has committed both on offer and an attempt type assault.

- (D) If Doe swings at Roe's head simply to frighten Roe, not intending to hit Roe, and Roe does not see the blow and is not placed in fear, then no assault of any type has been committed.
 - (c) Situations not amounting to assault.
- (i) Mere preparation. Preparation not amounting to an overt act, such as picking up a stone without any attempt or offer to throw it, does not constitute an assault.
- (ii) Threatening words. The use of threatening words alone does not constitute an assault. However, if the threatening words are accompanied by a menacing act or gesture, there may be an assault, since the combination constitutes a demonstration of violence.
- (iii) Circumstances negating intent to harm. If the circumstances known to the person menaced clearly negate an intent to do bodily harm there is no assault. Thus, if a person accompanies an apparent attempt to strike another by an unequivocal announcement in some form of an intention not to strike, there is no assault. For example, if Doe raises a stick and shakes it at Roe within striking distance saying, "If you weren't an old man, I would knock you down," Doe has committed no assault. However, an offer to inflict bodily injury upon another instantly if that person does not comply with a demand which the assailant has no lawful right to make is an assault. Thus, if Doe points a pistol at Roe and says, "If you don't hand over your watch, I will shoot you," Doe has committed an assault upon Roe. See also paragraph 47 (robbery) of this part.
- (d) Situations not constituting defenses to assault.
- (i) Assault attempt fails. It is not a defense to a charge of assault that for some reason unknown to the assailant, an assault attempt was bound to fail. Thus, if a person loads a rifle with what is believed to be a good cartridge and, pointing it at another, pulls the trigger, that person may be guilty of assault although the cartridge was defective and did not fire. Likewise, if a person in a house shoots through the roof at a place where a policeman is believed to be, that person may be guilty of assault even though the policeman is at another place on the roof.
- (ii) Retreating victim. An assault is complete if there is a demonstration of violence and an apparent ability to inflict bodily injury causing the person at whom it was directed to reasonably apprehend

that unless the person retreats bodily harm will be inflicted. This is true even though the victim retreated and was never within actual striking distance of the assailant. There must, however, be an apparent present ability to inflict the injury. Thus, to aim a pistol at a person at such a distance that it clearly could not injure would not be an assault.

(2) Battery.

- (a) In general. A "battery" is an assault in which the attempt or offer to do bodily harm is consummated by the infliction of that harm.
- (b) Application of force. The force applied in a battery may have been directly or indirectly applied. Thus, a battery can be committed by inflicting bodily injury on a person through striking the horse on which the person is mounted causing the horse to throw the person, as well as by striking the person directly.
- (c) Examples of battery. It may be a battery to spit on another, push a third person against another, set a dog at another which bites the person, cut another's clothes while the person is wearing them though without touching or intending to touch the person, shoot a person, cause a person to take poison, or drive an automobile into a person. A person who, although excused in using force, uses more force than is required, commits a battery. Throwing an object into a crowd may be a battery on anyone whom the object hits.
- (d) Situations not constituting battery. If bodily harm is inflicted unintentionally and without culpable negligence, there is no battery. It is also not a battery to touch another to attract the other's attention or to prevent injury.
- (3) Assaults permitting increased punishment based on status of victims.
- (a) Assault upon a commissioned, warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer. The maximum punishment is increased when assault is committed upon a commissioned officer of the armed forces of the United States, or of a friendly foreign power, or upon a warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer of the armed forces of the United States. Knowledge of the status of the victim is an essential element of the offense and may be proved by circumstantial evidence. It is not necessary that the victim be superior in rank or command to the accused, that the victim be in the same armed force, or that the victim

be in the execution of office at the time of the assault.

- (b) Assault upon a sentinel or lookout in the execution of duty, or upon a person in the execution of law enforcement duties. The maximum punishment is increased when assault is committed upon a sentinel or lookout in the execution of duty or upon a person who was then performing security police, military police, shore patrol, master at arms, or other military or civilian law enforcement duties. Knowledge of the status of the victim is an essential element of this offense and may be proved by circumstantial evidence. See paragraph 38c(4) for the definition of "sentinel or lookout."
- (c) Assault consummated by a battery upon a child under 16 years of age. The maximum punishment is increased when assault consummated by a battery is committed upon a child under 16 years of age. Knowledge that the person assaulted was under 16 years of age is not an element of this offense.
 - (4) Aggravated assault.
- (a) Assault with a dangerous weapon or other means or force likely to produce death or grievous bodily harm.
- (i) Dangerous weapon. A weapon is dangerous when used in a manner likely to produce death or grievous bodily harm.
- (ii) Other means or force. The phrase "other means or force" may include any means or instrumentality not normally considered a weapon. When the natural and probable consequence of a particular use of any means or force would be death or grievous bodily harm, it may be inferred that the means or force is "likely" to produce that result. The use to which a certain kind of instrument is ordinarily put is irrelevant to the question of its method of employment in a particular case. Thus, a bottle, beer glass, a rock, a bunk adaptor, a piece of pipe, a piece of wood, boiling water, drugs, or a rifle butt may be used in a manner likely to inflict death or grievous bodily harm. On the other hand, an unloaded pistol, when presented as a firearm and not as a bludgeon, is not a dangerous weapon or a means of force likely to produce grievous bodily harm, whether or not the assailant knew it was unloaded.
- (iii) *Grievous bodily harm.* "Grievous bodily harm" means serious bodily injury. It does not include minor injuries, such as a black eye or a bloody nose, but does include fractured or dislocated bones,

- deep cuts, torn members of the body, serious damage to internal organs, and other serious bodily injuries.
- (iv) Death or injury not required. It is not necessary that death or grievous bodily harm be actually inflicted to prove assault with a dangerous weapon or means likely to produce grievous bodily harm
- (b) Assault in which grievous bodily harm is intentionally inflicted.
- (i) *In general.* It must be proved that the accused specifically intended to and did inflict grievous bodily harm. Culpable negligence will not suffice.
- (ii) Proving intent. Specific intent may be proved by circumstantial evidence. When grievous bodily harm has been inflicted by means of intentionally using force in a manner likely to achieve that result, it may be inferred that grievous bodily harm was intended. On the other hand, that inference might not be drawn if a person struck another with a fist in a sidewalk fight even if the victim fell so that the victim's head hit the curbstone and a skull fracture resulted. It is possible, however, to commit this kind of aggravated assault with the fists, as when the victim is held by one of several assailants while the others beat the victim with their fists and break a nose, jaw, or rib.
- (iii) Grievous bodily harm. See subparagraph (4)(a)(iii).
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Simple assault. None
- (2) Assault consummated by a battery. Article 128—simple assault
- (3) Assault upon a commissioned, warrant, non-commissioned, or petty officer. Article 128—simple assault; assault consummated by a battery
- (4) Assault upon a sentinel or lookout in the execution of duty, or upon a person in the execution of police duties. Article 128—simple assault; assault consummated by a battery
- (5) Assault consummated by a battery upon a child under 16 years. Article 128—simple assault; assault consummated by a battery
- (6) Assault with a dangerous weapon or other means or force likely to produce death or grievous bodily harm. Article 128—simple assault; assault consummated by a battery

- (7) Assault in which grievous bodily harm is intentionally inflicted. Article 128—assault with a dangerous weapon; simple assault; assault consummated by a battery
- e. Maximum punishment.
 - (1) Simple assault.
- (A) Generally. Confinement for 3 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 3 months.
- (B) When committed with an unloaded firearm. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.
- (2) Assault consummated by a battery. Bad conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- (3) Assault upon a commissioned officer of the armed forces of the United States or of a friendly foreign power, not in the execution of office. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.
- (4) Assault upon a warrant officer, not in the execution of office. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 18 months.
- (5) Assault upon a noncommissioned or petty officer, not in the execution of office. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- (6) Assault upon a sentinel or lookout in the execution of duty, or upon any person who, in the execution of office, is performing security police, military police, shore patrol, master at arms, or other military or civilian law enforcement duties. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.
- (7) Assault consummated by a battery upon a child under 16 years. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- (8) Assault with a dangerous weapon or other means of force to produce death or grievous bodily harm.
- (a) When committed with a loaded firearm. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 8 years.
- (b) *Other cases*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.

- (9) Assault in which grievous bodily harm is intentionally inflicted.
- (a) When the injury is inflicted with a loaded firearm. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.
- (b) *Other cases*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.

f. Sample specifications.
(1) Simple assault.
In that (personal jurisdiction data
did, (at/on board—location), (subject-matter jurisdie
tion data, if required), on or about
20
(striking at him/her with a
().
(2) Assault consummated by a battery.
In that (personal jurisdiction data
did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdie
tion data, if required), on or about
20, unlawfully (strike
()(on) (in
the with
(3) Assault upon a commissioned officer.
In that (personal jurisdiction data
did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdic
tion data, if required), on or about
20, assault, wh
then was and was then known by the accused to be
commissioned officer of (,
friendly foreign power) (the United States(Army
(Navy) (Marine Corps) (Air Force) (Coast Guard
by
(4) Assault upon a warrant, noncommissioned, of
petty officer.
In that (personal jurisdiction data
did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdie
tion data, if required), on or about
20, assault, wh
then was and was then known by the accused to be
(warrant) (noncommissioned) (petty) officer of the
United States (Army) (Navy) (Marine Corps) (A
Force) (Coast Guard), by
(5) Assault upon a sentinel or lookout.
In that (personal jurisdiction data
did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdic
tion data, if required), on or about

__, assault_

then was and was then known by the accused to be a

(sentinel) (lookout) in the execution of his/her duty,
((in) (on) the) by
(6) Assault upon a person in the execution of law
enforcement duties.
In that (personal jurisdiction data),
did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdic-
tion data, if required), on or about
20, assault, who
then was and was then known by the accused to be a
person then having and in the execution of (Air
Force security police) (military police) (shore patrol)
(master at arms) ((military) (civilian) law enforce-
ment)) duties, by
(7) Assault consummated by a battery upon a
child under 16 years.
In that (personal jurisdiction data),
did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdic-
tion data, if required), on or about
20, unlawfully (strike)
()a child under
the age of 16 years, (in) (on) the
with
(8) Assault, aggravated—with a dangerous weap-
on, means, or force.
In that (personal jurisdiction data),
did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdic-
tion data, if required), on or about
20, commit an assault
upon by (shooting) (pointing)
(striking) (cutting) () (at him/her)
(striking) (cutting) () (at him/her) (him/her) (in) (on) (the) with (a
dangerous weapon) (a (means) (force) likely to pro-
duce death or grievous bodily harm), to wit: a
(loaded firearm) (pickax) (bayonet) (club)
(
(9) Assault, aggravated—inflicting grievous bod-
ily harm.
In that (personal jurisdiction data),
did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdic-
tion data, if required), on or about
20, commit an assault
upon by (shooting) (striking) (cut-
ting) () (him/her) (on)
the with a (loaded firearm) (club)
(rock) (brick) (and did thereby in-
tentionally inflict grievous bodily harm upon him/
her, to wit: a (broken leg) (deep cut) (fractured
skull) ().
, \

55. Article 129—Burglary

a. Text.

"Any person subject to this chapter who, with intent to commit an offense punishable under sections 918-928 of this title (articles 118-128), breaks and enters, in the nighttime, the dwelling house of another, is guilty of burglary and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused unlawfully broke and entered the dwelling house of another;
- (2) That both the breaking and entering were done in the nighttime; and
- (3) That the breaking and entering were done with the intent to commit an offense punishable under Article 118 through 128, except Article 123a. c. *Explanation*.
- (1) In general. "Burglary" is the breaking and entering in the nighttime of the dwelling house of another, with intent to commit an offense punishable under Articles 118 through 128, except 123a. In addition, an intent to commit an offense which, although not covered by Article 118 through 128, necessarily includes an offense within one of these articles, satisfies the intent element of this article. This includes, for example, assaults punishable under Article 134 which necessarily include simple assault under Article 128.
- (2) Breaking. There must be a breaking, actual or constructive. Merely to enter through a hole left in the wall or roof or through an open window or door will not constitute a breaking; but if a person moves any obstruction to entry of the house without which movement the person could not have entered, the person has committed a "breaking." Opening a closed door or window or other similar fixture, opening wider a door or window already partly open but insufficient for the entry, or cutting out the glass of a window or the netting of a screen is a sufficient breaking. The breaking of an inner door by one who has entered the house without breaking, or by a person lawfully within the house who has no authority to enter the particular room, is a sufficient breaking, but unless such a breaking is followed by an entry into the particular room with the requisite intent, burglary is not committed. There is a constructive breaking when the entry is gained by a trick, such as concealing oneself in a box; under false pretense, such as impersonating a gas or telephone

inspector; by intimidating the occupants through violence or threats into opening the door; through collusion with a confederate, an occupant of the house; or by descending a chimney, even if only a partial descent is made and no room is entered.

- (3) *Entry*. An entry must be effected before the offense is complete, but the entry of any part of the body, even a finger, is sufficient. Insertion into the house of a tool or other instrument is also a sufficient entry, unless the insertion is solely to facilitate the breaking or entry.
- (4) *Nighttime*. Both the breaking and entry must be in the nighttime. "Nighttime" is the period between sunset and sunrise when there is not sufficient daylight to discern a person's face.
- (5) Dwelling house of another. To constitute burglary the house must be the dwelling house of another. "Dwelling house" includes outbuildings within the common inclosure, farmyard, or cluster of buildings used as a residence. Such an area is the "curtilage." A store is not a dwelling house unless part of, or also used as, a dwelling house, as when the occupant uses another part of the same building as a dwelling, or when the store in habitually slept in by family members or employees. The house must be used as a dwelling at the time of the breaking and entering. It is not necessary that anyone actually be in it at the time of the breaking and entering, but if the house has never been occupied at all or has been left without any intention of returning, it is not a dwelling house. Separate dwellings within the same building, such as a barracks room, apartment, or a room in a hotel, are subjects of burglary by other residents or guests, and in general by the owner of the building. A tent is not a subject of burglary.
- (6) Intent to commit offense. Both the breaking and entry must be done with the intent to commit in the house an offense punishable under Articles 118 through 128, except 123a. If, after the breaking and entering, the accused commits one or more of these offenses, it may be inferred that the accused intended to commit the offense or offenses at the time of the breaking and entering. If the evidence warrants, the intended offense may be separately charged. It is immaterial whether the offense intended is committed or even attempted. If the offense is intended, it is no defense that its commission was impossible.

- (7) Separate offense. If the evidence warrants, the intended offense in the burglary specification may be separately charged.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Article 130—housebreaking
 - (2) Article 134—unlawful entry
 - (3) Article 80—attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.

f. Sample specification.	
1 1 0	(personal jurisdiction data),
	,(subject-matter jurisdiction
data, if required), on	or about
20, in	the nighttime, unlawfully
break and enter t	he (dwelling house)
(w i	thin the curtilage)
of, wit	h intent to commit (murder)
(larceny) () therein.

56. Article 130—Housebreaking

a Text

"Any person subject to this chapter who unlawfully enters the building or structure of another with intent to commit a criminal offense therein is guilty of housebreaking and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused unlawfully entered a certain building or structure of a certain other person; and
- (2) That the unlawful entry was made with the intent to commit a criminal offense therein.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) Scope of offense. The offense of housebreaking is broader than burglary in that the place entered is not required to be a dwelling house; it is not necessary that the place be occupied; it is not essential that there be a breaking; the entry may be either in the night or in the daytime; and the intent need not be to commit one of the offenses made punishable under Articles 118 through 128.
- (2) Intent. The intent to commit some criminal offense is an essential element of housebreaking and must be alleged and proved to support a conviction of this offense. If, after the entry the accused committed a criminal offense inside the building or structure, it may be inferred that the accused in-

tended to commit that offense at the time of the entry.

- (3) Criminal offense. Any act or omission which is punishable by courts-martial, except an act or omission constituting a purely military offense, is a "criminal offense."
- (4) Building, structure. "Building" includes a room, shop, store, office, or apartment in a building. "Structure" refers only to those structures which are in the nature of a building or dwelling. Examples of these structures are a stateroom, hold, or other compartment of a vessel, an inhabitable trailer, an inclosed truck or freight car, a tent, and a houseboat. It is not necessary that the building or structure be in use at the time of the entry.
 - (5) Entry. See paragraph 55c(3).
- (6) *Separate offense*. If the evidence warrants, the intended offense in the housebreaking specification may be separately charged.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Article 134—unlawful entry
 - (2) Article 80—attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.

C	a 1	•	
t	Sample	speciti	ication

In that,	(personal jurisdiction data),
did, (at/on board—location	on) (subject-matter jurisdic-
tion data, if required), on	n or about
20, unla	awfully enter a (dwelling)
(room) (bank) (store) ((warehouse) (shop) (tent)
(stateroom) (), the property
of, with	intent to commit a crimi-
nal offense, to wit:	, therein.
20	awfully enter a (dwelling (warehouse) (shop) (tent), the property in intent to commit a crimi

57. Article 131—Perjury

a. Text.

- "Any person subject to this chapter who in a judicial proceeding or in a course of justice willfully and corruptly—
- (1) upon a lawful oath or in any form allowed by law to be substituted for an oath, gives any false testimony material to the issue or matter of inquiry; or
- (2) in any declaration, certificate, verification, or statement under penalty of perjury as permitted under section 1746 of title 28, United States Code, subscribes any false statement material to the issue

or matter of inquiry; is guilty of perjury and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) Giving false testimony.
- (a) That the accused took an oath or affirmation in a certain judicial proceeding or course of justice;
- (b) That the oath or affirmation was administered to the accused in a matter in which an oath or affirmation was required or authorized by law;
- (c) That the oath or affirmation was administered by a person having authority to do so;
- (d) That upon the oath or affirmation that accused willfully gave certain testimony;
 - (e) That the testimony was material;
 - (f) That the testimony was false; and
- (g) That the accused did not then believe the testimony to be true.
 - (2) Subscribing false statement.
- (a) That the accused subscribed a certain statement in a judicial proceeding or course of justice;
- (b) That in the declaration, certification, verification, or statement under penalty of perjury, the accused declared, certified, verified, or stated the truth of that certain statement;
- (c) That the accused willfully subscribed the statement;
 - (d) That the statement was material;
 - (e) That the statement was false; and
- (f) That the accused did not then believe the statement to be true.

c. Explanation.

- (1) In general. "Judicial proceeding" includes a trial by court-martial and "course of justice" includes an investigation conducted under Article 32. If the accused is charged with having committed perjury before a court-martial, it must be shown that the court-martial was duly constituted.
 - (2) Giving false testimony.
- (a) *Nature*. The testimony must be false and must be willfully and corruptly given; that is, it must be proved that the accused gave the false testimony willfully and did not believe it to be true. A witness may commit perjury by testifying to the truth of a matter when in fact the witness knows nothing about it at all or is not sure about it, whether the thing is true or false in fact. A witness may also commit

perjury in testifying falsely as to a belief, remembrance, or impression, or as to a judgment or opinion. It is no defense that the witness voluntarily appeared, that the witness was incompetent as a witness, or that the testimony was given in response to questions that the witness could have declined to answer.

- (b) Material matter. The false testimony must be with respect to a material matter, but that matter need not be the main issue in the case. Thus, perjury may be committed by giving false testimony with respect to the credibility of a material witness or in an affidavit in support of a request for a continuance, as well as by giving false testimony with respect to a fact from which a legitimate inference may be drawn as to the existence or nonexistence of a fact in issue. Whether the allegedly false testimony was with respect to a material matter is a question of law to be determined as an interlocutory question.
- (c) *Proof.* The falsity of the allegedly perjured statement cannot be proved by circumstantial evidence alone, except with respect to matters which by their nature are not susceptible of direct proof. The falsity of the statement cannot be proved by the testimony of a single witness unless that testimony directly contradicts the statement and is corroborated by other evidence either direct or circumstantial, tending to prove the falsity of the statement. However, documentary evidence directly disproving the truth of the statement charged to have been perjured need not be corroborated if: the document is an official record shown to have been well known to the accused at the time the oath was taken; or the documentary evidence originated from the accused-or had in any manner been recognized by the accused as containing the truth—before the allegedly perjured statement was made.
- (d) Oath. The oath must be one recognized or authorized by law and must be duly administered by one authorized to administer it. When a form of oath has been prescribed, a literal following of that form is not essential; it is sufficient if the oath administered conforms in substance to the prescribed form. "Oath" includes an affirmation when the latter is authorized in lieu of an oath.
- (e) *Belief of accused*. The fact that the accused did not believe the statement to be true may be proved by testimony of one witness without corroboration or by circumstantial evidence.

- (3) Subscribing false statement. See sub-paragraphs (1) and (2), above, as applicable. Section 1746 of title 28, United States Code, provides for subscribing to the truth of a document by signing it expressly subject to the penalty for perjury. The signing must take place in a judicial proceeding or course of justice—for example, if a witness signs under penalty of perjury summarized testimony given at an Article 32 investigation. It is not required that the document be sworn before a third party. Section 1746 does not change the requirement that a deposition be given under oath or alter the situation where an oath is required to be taken before a specific person.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts.
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specifications.

(1) Giving fals	se testimony.		
In that	(person	al jurisdiction of	data),
having taken a la	wful (oath) (af	firmation) in a	(trial
b у	c o u r	t - mart	i a l
of) (trial by a	court of comp	etent
jurisdictio	on, to wi	t:	
of) (deposition	for use in a	trial
b у	o f)
(_) that he/she	would (testify)	(de-
pose) truly, did,	(at/on board-	-location) (sub-	oject-
matter jurisdic	tion data, if	required), of	n or
about	20	, wi	llful-
ly, corruptly, and	contrary to s	uch (oath) (affi	irma-
tion), (testify)	(depose) fal	sely in subst	ance
that	, which (1	testimony) (de	posi-
tion) was upon a	material matte	er and which h	e/she
did not then beli	eve to be true).	
(2) Subscribing	g false stateme	ent.	
In that			(etch

In that _______ (personal jurisdiction data), did (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about ________ 20_______, in a (judicial proceeding) (course of justice), and in a (declaration) (certification) (verification) (statement) under penalty of perjury pursuant to section 1746 of title 28, United States Code, willfully and corruptly subscribed a false statement material to the (issue) (matter of inquiry), to wit:_______, which statement was false in that______, and which statement he/she did not then believe to be true.

58. Article 132—Frauds against the United States

- a. Text.
- "Any person subject to this chapter—"
 - (1) "who, knowing it to be false or fraudulent—"
- (a) "makes any claim against the United States or any officer thereof; or"
- (b) "presents to any person in the civil or military service thereof, for approval or payment, any claim against the United States or any officer thereof;"
- (2) "who, for the purpose of obtaining the approval, allowance, or payment of any claim against the United States or any officer thereof—"
- (a) "makes or uses any writing or other paper knowing it to contain any false or fraudulent statements;"
- (b) "makes any oath to any fact or to any writing or other paper knowing the oath to be false; or"
- (c) "forges or counterfeits any signature upon any writing or other paper, or uses any such signature knowing it to be forged or counterfeited;"
- (3) "who, having charge, possession, custody, or control of any money, or other property of the United States, furnished or intended for the armed forces thereof, knowingly delivers to any person having authority to receive it, any amount thereof less than that for which he receives a certificate or receipt; or"
- (4) "who, being authorized to make or deliver any paper certifying the receipt of any property of the United States furnished or intended for the armed forces thereof, makes or delivers to any person such writing without having full knowledge of the truth of the statements therein contained and with intent to defraud the United States; shall, upon conviction, be punished as a court-martial may direct."

b. Elements.

- (1) Making a false or fraudulent claim.
- (a) That the accused made a certain claim against the United States or an officer thereof;
- (b) That the claim was false or fraudulent in certain particulars; and
- (c) That the accused then knew that the claim was false or fraudulent in these particulars.

- (2) Presenting for approval or payment a false or fraudulent claim.
- (a) That the accused presented for approval or payment to a certain person in the civil or military service of the United States having authority to approve or pay it a certain claim against the United States or an officer thereof;
- (b) That the claim was false or fraudulent in certain particulars; and
- (c) That the accused then knew that the claim was false or fraudulent in these particulars.
- (3) Making or using a false writing or other paper in connection with claims.
- (a) That the accused made or used a certain writing or other paper;
- (b) That certain material statements in the writing or other paper were false or fraudulent;
- (c) That the accused then knew the statements were false or fraudulent; and
- (d) That the act of the accused was for the purpose of obtaining the approval, allowance, or payment of a certain claim or claims against the United States or an officer thereof.
 - (4) False oath in connection with claims.
- (a) That the accused made an oath to a certain fact or to a certain writing or other paper;
- (b) That the oath was false in certain particulars;
- (c) That the accused then knew it was false; and
- (d) That the act was for the purpose of obtaining the approval, allowance, or payment of a certain claim or claims against the United States or an officer thereof.
- (5) Forgery of signature in connection with claims.
- (a) That the accused forged or counterfeited the signature of a certain person on a certain writing or other paper; and
- (b) That the act was for the purpose of obtaining the approval, allowance, or payment of a certain claim against the United States or an officer thereof.
- (6) Using forged signature in connection with claims.
- (a) That the accused used the forged or counterfeited signature of a certain person;

- (b) That the accused then knew that the signature was forged or counterfeited; and
- (c) That the act was for the purpose of obtaining the approval, allowance, or payment of a certain claim against the United States or an officer thereof.
- (7) Delivering less than amount called for by receipt.
- (a) That the accused had charge, possession, custody, or control of certain money or property of the United States furnished or intended for the armed forces thereof;
- (b) That the accused obtained a certificate or receipt for a certain amount or quantity of that money or property;
- (c) That for the certificate or receipt the accused knowingly delivered to a certain person having authority to receive it an amount or quantity of money or property less than the amount or quantity thereof specified in the certificate or receipt; and
- (d) That the undelivered money or property was of a certain value.
- (8) Making or delivering receipt without having full knowledge that it is true.
- (a) That the accused was authorized to make or deliver a paper certifying the receipt from a certain person of certain property of the United States furnished or intended for the armed forces thereof;
- (b) That the accused made or delivered to that person a certificate or receipt;
- (c) That the accused made or delivered the certificate without having full knowledge of the truth of a certain material statement or statements therein;
- (d) That the act was done with intent to defraud the United States; and
- (e) That the property certified as being received was of a certain value.
- c. Explanation.
 - (1) Making a false or fraudulent claim.
- (a) Claim. A "claim" is a demand for a transfer of ownership of money or property and does not include requisitions for the mere use of property. This article applies only to claims against the United States or any officer thereof as such, and not to claims against an officer of the United States in that officer's private capacity.
- (b) Making a claim. Making a claim is a distinct act from presenting it. A claim may be made in one place and presented in another. The mere writ-

- ing of a paper in the form of a claim, without any further act to cause the paper to become a demand against the United States or an officer thereof, does not constitute making a claim. However, any act placing the claim in official channels constitutes making a claim, even if that act does not amount to presenting a claim. It is not necessary that the claim be allowed or paid or that it be made by the person to be benefited by the allowance or payment. *See* also subparagraph (2), below.
- (c) *Knowledge*. The claim must be made with knowledge of its fictitious or dishonest character. This article does not proscribe claims, however groundless they may be, that the maker believes to be valid, or claims that are merely made negligently or without ordinary prudence.
- (2) Presenting for approval or payment a false or fraudulent claim.
- (a) False and fraudulent. False and fraudulent claims include not only those containing some material false statement, but also claims which the claimant knows to have been paid or for some other reason the claimant knows the claimant is not authorized to present or upon which the claimant knows the claimant has no right to collect.
- (b) Presenting a claim. The claim must be presented, directly or indirectly, to some person having authority to pay it. The person to whom the claim is presented may be identified by position or authority to approve the claim, and need not be identified by name in the specification. A false claim may be tacitly presented, as when a person who knows that there is no entitlement to certain pay accepts it nevertheless without disclosing a disqualification, even though the person may not have made any representation of entitlement to the pay. For example, a person cashing a pay check which includes an amount for a dependency allowance, knowing at the time that the entitlement no longer exists because of a change in that dependency status, has tacitly presented a false claim. See also subparagraph (1), above.
- (3) Making or using a false writing or other paper in connection with claims. The false or fraudulent statement must be material, that is, it must have a tendency to mislead governmental officials in their consideration or investigation of the claim. The offense of making a writing or other paper known to contain a false or fraudulent statement for the purpose of obtaining the approval, allowance, or pay-

ment of a claim is complete when the writing or paper is made for that purpose, whether or not any use of the paper has been attempted and whether or not the claim has been presented. *See also* the explanation in subparagraph (1) and (2), above.

- (4) False oath in connection with claims. See subparagraphs (1) and (2), above.
- (5) Forgery of signature in connection with claims. Any fraudulent making of the signature of another is forging or counterfeiting, whether or not an attempt is made to imitate the handwriting. See paragraph 48(c) and subparagraph (1) and (2), above.
- (6) Delivering less than amount called for by receipt. It is immaterial by what means—whether deceit, collusion, or otherwise—the accused effected the transaction, or what was the accused's purpose.
- (7) Making or delivering receipt without having full knowledge that it is true. When an officer or other person subject to military law is authorized to make or deliver any paper certifying the receipt of any property of the United States furnished or intended for the armed forces thereof, and a receipt or other paper is presented for signature stating that a certain amount of supplies has been furnished by a certain contractor, it is that person's duty before signing the paper to know that the full amount of supplies therein stated to have been furnished has in fact been furnished, and that the statements contained in the paper are true. If the person signs the paper with intent to defraud the United States and without that knowledge, that person is guilty of a violation of this section of the article. If the person signs the paper with knowledge that the full amount was not received, it may be inferred that the person intended to defraud the United States.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80—attempts e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Article 132(1) and (2). Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
 - (2) Article 132(3) and (4).
- (a) When amount is \$500.00 or less. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- (b) When amount is over \$500.00. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.

f. Sample specifications.
(1) Making false claim.
In that (personal jurisdiction data)
did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdic-
tion data, if required), on or about
20, (by preparing (a voucher)
() for presentation for approval or
payment) (), make a claim against
the (United States) (finance officer
at) () in the
at) () in the amount of $\$ for (private property
alleged to have been (lost) (destroyed) in the mili-
tary service) (), which claim was
(false) (fraudulent) (false and fraudulent) in the
amount of \$ir
that and was then known by the
that and was then known by the said to be (false) (fraudulent)
(false and fraudulent).
(2) Presenting false claim.
In that (personal jurisdiction data)
did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdic-
tion data, if required), on or about
20, by presenting (a vouch-
er)(
cer of the United States duly authorized to (approve)
(pay) (approve and pay) such claim, present for (ap-
proval) (payment) (approval and payment) a claim
against the (United States) (finance officer
at) () in the
amount of \$ for (services alleged
to have been rendered to the United States
b y d u r i n g
(), which claim was (false) (fraud-
ulent) (false and fraudulent) in the amount of
\$ in that and
was then known by the said to be
(false) (fraudulent) (false and fraudulent).
(3) Making or using false writing.
In that (personal jurisdiction data)
for the purpose of obtaining the (approval) (allow-
ance) (payment) (approval, allowance, and pay-
ment), of a claim against the United States in the
amount of \$, did (at/on board—
location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if re-
quired), on or about
20, (make) (use) (make and use) a
certain (writing) (paper), to wit:
which said (writing) (paper), as he/she, the

_____, then knew, contained a state-

ment that_____, which statement was

(false) (fraudulent) (false and fraudulent) in that, and was then known by the said to be (false) (fraudulent) (false and fraudulent). (4) Making false oath. In that (personal jurisdiction data), for the purpose of obtaining the (approval) (allowance) (payment) (approval, allowance, and payment) of a claim against the United States, did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about 20, make an oath (to the fact that) (to a certain (writing) (paper), to wit:, to the effect that), which said oath was false in that, and was then known by the said to be false. (5) Forging or counterfeiting signature. In that (personal jurisdiction data), for the purpose of obtaining the (approval) (allowance) (payment) (approval, allowance, and payment) of a claim against the United States, did (at/on	she,, then knew, was (\$) () less than the (amount) () for which he/she received a (certificate) (receipt) from the said (8) Making receipt without knowledge of the facts. In that (personal jurisdiction data), being authorized to (make) (deliver) (make and deliver) a paper certifying the receipt of property of the United States (furnished) (intended) (furnished and intended) for the armed forces thereof, did, (at/ on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about
board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about————————————————————————————————————	 59. Article 133—Conduct unbecoming an officer and gentleman a. <i>Text</i>. "Any commissioned officer, cadet, or midshipman who is convicted of conduct unbecoming an officer and a gentleman shall be punished as a court-martial may direct." b. <i>Elements</i>.
ing the (approval) (allowance) (payment) (approval, allowance, and payment) of a claim against the United States, did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about	(1) That the accused did or omitted to do certain acts; and(2) That, under the circumstances, these acts or omissions constituted conduct unbecoming an officer and gentleman.c. <i>Explanation</i>.
signature to be (forged) (counterfeited) (forged and counterfeited). (7) Paying amount less than called for by receipt. In that	(1) Gentleman. As used in this article, "gentleman" includes both male and female commissioned officers, cadets, and midshipmen. (2) Nature of offense. Conduct violative of this article is action or behavior in an official capacity which, in dishonoring or disgracing the person as an officer, seriously compromises the officer's character as a gentleman, or action or behavior in an unofficial or private capacity which, in dishonoring or disgracing the officer personally, seriously compromises the person's standing as an officer. There are certain moral attributes common to the ideal officer and the perfect gentleman, a lack of which is indi-

cated by acts of dishonesty, unfair dealing, indecency, indecorum, lawlessness, injustice, or cruelty. Not everyone is or can be expected to meet unrealistically high moral standards, but there is a limit of tolerance based on customs of the service and military necessity below which the personal standards of an officer, cadet, or midshipman cannot fall without seriously compromising the person's standing as an officer, cadet, or midshipman or the person's character as a gentleman. This article prohibits conduct by a commissioned officer, cadet, or midshipman which, taking all the circumstances into consideration, is thus compromising. This article includes acts made punishable by any other article, provided these acts amount to conduct unbecoming an officer and a gentleman. Thus, a commissioned officer who steals property violates both this article and Article 121. Whenever the offense charged is the same as a specific offense set forth in this Manual, the elements of proof are the same as those set forth in the paragraph which treats that specific offense, with the additional requirement that the act or omission constitutes conduct unbecoming an officer and gentleman.

- (3) Examples of offenses. Instances of violation of this article include knowingly making a false official statement; dishonorable failure to pay a debt; cheating on an exam; opening and reading a letter of another without authority; using insulting or defamatory language to another officer in that officer's presence or about that officer to other military persons; being drunk and disorderly in a public place; public association with known prostitutes; committing or attempting to commit a crime involving moral turpitude; and failing without good cause to support the officer's family.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80—attempts e. Maximum punishment. Dismissal, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for a period not in excess of that authorized for the most analogous offense for which a punishment is prescribed in this Manual, or, if none is prescribed, for 1 year.
- f. Sample specifications.

sing examinat	tion paper	r.
(personal	jurisdiction	on data).
ard—1oca	tion),	on or
20		, while
en examinatio	n on the	subject
wrongfully	and disho	onorably
	(personal ard — loca 20 n examinatio	sing examination paper (personal jurisdiction ard — location), 20 en examination on the wrongfully and dishoration

(receive) (request) unauthorized aid by ((using)
(copying) the examination paper
of)) ().
(2) Drunk or disorderly.
In that (personal jurisdiction data),
was, (at/on board—location), on or
about, in a
public place, to wit:, (drunk) (dis-
orderly) (drunk and disorderly) while in uniform, to
the disgrace of the armed forces

60. Article 134—General article

a. Text.

"Though not specifically mentioned in this chapter, all disorders and neglects to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces, all conduct of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces, and crimes and offenses not capital, of which persons subject to this chapter may be guilty, shall be taken cognizance of by a general, special, or summary court-martial, according to the nature and degree of the offense, and shall be punished at the discretion of that court."

- b. *Elements*. The proof required for conviction of an offense under Article 134 depends upon the nature of the misconduct charged. If the conduct is punished as a crime or offense not capital, the proof must establish every element of the crime or offense as required by the applicable law. If the conduct is punished as a disorder or neglect to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces, or of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces, then the following proof is required:
- (1) That the accused did or failed to do certain acts; and
- (2) That, under the circumstances, the accused's conduct was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) In general. Article 134 makes punishable acts in three categories of offenses not specifically covered in any other article of the code. These are referred to as "clauses 1, 2, and 3" of Article 134. Clause 1 offenses involve disorders and neglects to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces. Clause 2 offenses involve conduct of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces. Clause 3 offenses involve noncapital crimes or of-

fenses which violate Federal law including law made applicable through the Federal Assimilative Crimes Act, *see* subsection (4) below. If any conduct of this nature is specifically made punishable by another article of the code, it must be charged as a violation of that article. *See* subparagraph (5)(a) below. However, *see* paragraph 59c for offenses committed by commissioned officers, cadets, and midshipmen.

- (2) Disorders and neglects to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces (clause 1).
- (a) To the prejudice of good order and discipline. "To the prejudice of good order and discipline" refers only to acts directly prejudicial to good order and discipline and not to acts which are prejudicial only in a remote or indirect sense. Almost any irregular or improper act on the part of a member of the military service could be regarded as prejudicial in some indirect or remote sense; however, this article does not include these distant effects. It is confined to cases in which the prejudice is reasonably direct and palpable. An act in violation of a local civil law or of a foreign law may be punished if it constitutes a disorder or neglect to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces. However, see R.C.M. 203 concerning subject-matter jurisdiction.
- (b) Breach of custom of the service. A breach of a custom of the service may result in a violation of clause 1 of Article 134. In its legal sense, "custom" means more than a method of procedure or a mode of conduct or behavior which is merely of frequent or usual occurrence. Custom arises out of long established practices which by common usage have attained the force of law in the military or other community affected by them. No custom may be contrary to existing law or regulation. A custom which has not been adopted by existing statute or regulation ceases to exist when its observance has been generally abandoned. Many customs of the service are now set forth in regulations of the various armed forces. Violations of these customs should be charged under Article 92 as violations of the regulations in which they appear if the regulation is punitive. See paragraph 16c.
- (3) Conduct of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces (clause 2). "Discredit" means to injure the reputation of. This clause of Article 134 makes punishable conduct which has a tendency to bring the service into disrepute or which tends to

lower it in public esteem. Acts in violation of a local civil law or a foreign law may be punished if they are of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces. However, *see* R.C.M. 203 concerning subject-matter jurisdiction.

- (4) Crimes and offenses not capital (clause 3).
- (a) In general. State and foreign laws are not included within the crimes and offenses not capital referred to in this clause of Article 134 and violations thereof may not be prosecuted as such except when State law becomes Federal law of local application under section 13 of title 18 of the United States Code (Federal Assimilative Crimes Act-see subparagraph (4)(c) below). For the purpose of court-martial jurisdiction, the laws which may be applied under clause 3 of Article 134 are divided into two groups: crimes and offenses of unlimited application (crimes which are punishable regardless where they may be committed), and crimes and offenses of local application (crimes which are punishable only if committed in areas of federal jurisdiction).
- (b) Crimes and offenses of unlimited application. Certain noncapital crimes and offenses prohibited by the United States Code are made applicable under clause 3 of Article 134 to all persons subject to the code regardless where the wrongful act or omission occurred. Examples include: counterfeiting (18 U.S.C. § 471), and various frauds against the Government not covered by Article 132.
 - (c) Crimes and offenses of local application.
- (i) In general. A person subject to the code may not be punished under clause 3 of Article 134 for an offense that occurred in a place where the law in question did not apply. For example, a person may not be punished under clause 3 of Article 134 when the act occurred in a foreign country merely because that act would have been an offense under the United States Code had the act occurred in the United States. Regardless where committed, such an act might be punishable under clauses 1 or 2 of Article 134. There are two types of congressional enactments of local application: specific federal statutes (defining particular crimes), and a general federal statute, the Federal Assimilative Crimes Act (which adopts certain state criminal laws).
- (ii) Federal Assimilative Crimes Act (18 U.S.C. § 13). The Federal Assimilative Crimes Act is an adoption by Congress of state criminal laws for

areas of exclusive or concurrent federal jurisdiction, provided federal criminal law, including the UCMJ, has not defined an applicable offense for the misconduct committed. The Act applies to state laws validly existing at the time of the offense without regard to when these laws were enacted, whether before or after passage of the Act, and whether before or after the acquisition of the land where the offense was committed. For example, if a person committed an act on a military installation in the United States at a certain location over which the United States had either exclusive or concurrent jurisdiction, and it was not an offense specifically defined by federal law (including the UCMJ), that person could be punished for that act by a courtmartial if it was a violation of a noncapital offense under the law of the State where the military installation was located. This is possible because the Act adopts the criminal law of the state wherein the military installation is located and applies it as though it were federal law. The text of the Act is as follows: Whoever within or upon any of the places now existing or hereafter reserved or acquired as provided in section 7 of this title, is guilty of any act or omission which, although not made punishable by any enactment of Congress, would be punishable if committed or omitted within the jurisdiction of the State, Territory, Possession, or District in which such place is situated, by the laws thereof in force at the time of such act or omission, shall be guilty of a like offense and subject to a like punishment.

(5) Limitations on Article 134.

- (a) Preemption doctrine. The preemption doctrine prohibits application of Article 134 to conduct covered by Articles 80 through 132. For example, larceny is covered in Article 121, and if an element of that offense is lacking—for example, intent—there can be no larceny or larceny-type offense, either under Article 121 or, because of preemption, under Article 134. Article 134 cannot be used to create a new kind of larceny offense, one without the required intent, where Congress has already set the minimum requirements for such an offense in Article 121.
- (b) Capital offense. A capital offense may not be tried under Article 134.
- (6) Drafting specifications for Article 134 offenses.
 - (a) In general. A specification alleging a viola-

- tion of Article 134 need not expressly allege that the conduct was "a disorder or neglect," that it was "of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces," or that it constituted "a crime or offense not capital." The same conduct may constitute a disorder or neglect to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces and at the same time be of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- (b) Specifications under clause 3. When alleging a clause 3 violation, each element of the federal or assimilated statute must be alleged expressly or by necessary implication. In addition, the federal or assimilated statute should be identified.
- (c) Specifications for clause 1 or 2 offenses not listed. If conduct by an accused does not fall under any of the listed offenses for violations of Article 134 in this Manual (paragraphs 61 through 113 of this Part) a specification not listed in this Manual may be used to allege the offense.

61. Article 134—(Abusing public animal)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused wrongfully abused a certain public animal; and
- (2) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. A public animal is any animal owned or used by the United States; and animal owned or used by a local or State government in the United States, its territories or possessions; or any wild animal located on any public lands in the United States, its territories or possessions. This would include, for example, drug detector dogs used by the government.
- d. Lesser included offenses. Article 80—attempts e. Maximum punishment. Confinement for 3 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 3 months.

f. Sample spec	ification.	
In that	(personal jui	risdiction data)
did (at/on boar	d—location) (subject-	matter jurisdic
tion data, if rec	quired), on or about	
20	, wrongfully (kicl	k a public drug
detector dog in	the nose) ()

62. Article 134—(Adultery)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused wrongfully had sexual intercourse with a certain person;
- (2) That, at the time, the accused or the other person was married to someone else; and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

c. Explanation.

- (1) Nature of offense. Adultery is clearly unacceptable conduct, and it reflects adversely on the service record of the military member.
- (2) Conduct prejudicial to good order and discipline or of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces. To constitute an offense under the UCMJ, the adulterous conduct must either be directly prejudicial to good order and discipline or service discrediting. Adulterous conduct that is directly prejudicial includes conduct that has an obvious, and measurably divisive effect on unit or organization discipline, morale, or cohesion, or is clearly detrimental to the authority or stature of or respect toward a servicemember. Adultery may also be service discrediting, even though the conduct is only indirectly or remotely prejudicial to good order and discipline. Discredit means to injure the reputation of the armed forces and includes adulterous conduct that has a tendency, because of its open or notorious nature, to bring the service into disrepute, make it subject to public ridicule, or lower it in public esteem. While adulterous conduct that is private and discreet in nature may not be service discrediting by this standard, under the circumstances, it may be determined to be conduct prejudicial to good order and discipline. Commanders should consider all relevant circumstances, including but not limited to the following factors, when determining whether adulterous acts are prejudicial to good order and discipline or are of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces:
- (a) The accused's marital status, military rank, grade, or position;
- (b) The co-actor's marital status, military rank, grade, and position, or relationship to the armed forces;

- (c) The military status of the accused's spouse or the spouse of co-actor, or their relationship to the armed forces:
- (d) The impact, if any, of the adulterous relationship on the ability of the accused, the co-actor, or the spouse of either to perform their duties in support of the armed forces;
- (e) The misuse, if any, of government time and resources to facilitate the commission of the conduct;
- (f) Whether the conduct persisted despite counseling or orders to desist; the flagrancy of the conduct, such as whether any notoriety ensued; and whether the adulterous act was accompanied by other violations of the UCMJ;
- (g) The negative impact of the conduct on the units or organizations of the accused, the co-actor or the spouse of either of them, such as a detrimental effect on unit or organization morale, teamwork, and efficiency;
- (h) Whether the accused or co-actor was legally separated; and
- (i) Whether the adulterous misconduct involves an ongoing or recent relationship or is remote in time.
- (3) *Marriage*. A marriage exists until it is dissolved in accordance with the laws of a competent state or foreign jurisdiction.
- (4) Mistake of fact. A defense of mistake of fact exists if the accused had an honest and reasonable belief either that the accused and the co-actor were both unmarried, or that they were lawfully married to each other. If this defense is raised by the evidence, then the burden of proof is upon the United States to establish that the accused's belief was unreasonable or not honest.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal jurisdiction data)
(a married man/a i	married woman), did, (at/or
board-location) (sub	oject-matter jurisdiction data, i
required), on	or about
20	wrongfully have sexual inter-
course with	, a (married) (woman
man) not (his wife)	(her husband).

63. Article 134—(Assault—indecent)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused assaulted a certain person not the spouse of the accused in a certain manner;
- (2) That the acts were done with the intent to gratify the lust or sexual desires of the accused; and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. See paragraph 54c for a discussion of assault. Specific intent is an element of this offense. For a definition of "indecent", see paragraph 90 c.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
- (1) Article 128—assault consummated by a battery; assault
 - (2) Article 134—indecent acts
 - (3) Article 80—attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal jurisdiction data)
did (at/on board—lo	cation), (subject-matter jurisdic-
tion data, if required	l), on or about
20,	commit an indecent assault
upon	_ a person not his/her wife/hus-
band by	, with intent to gratify his/
her (lust) (sexual de	esires).

64. Article 134—(Assault—with intent to commit murder, voluntary manslaughter, rape, robbery, sodomy, arson, burglary, or housebreaking)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) That the accused assaulted a certain person;
- (2) That, at the time of the assault, the accused intended to kill (as required for murder or voluntary manslaughter) or intended to commit rape, robbery, sodomy, arson, burglary, or housebreaking; and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

c. Explanation.

- (1) In general. An assault with intent to commit any of the offenses mentioned above is not necessarily the equivalent of an attempt to commit the intended offense, for an assault can be committed with intent to commit an offense without achieving that proximity to consummation of an intended offense which is essential to an attempt. See paragraph 4.
- (2) Assault with intent to murder. Assault with intent to commit murder is assault with specific intent to kill. Actual infliction of injury is not necessary. To constitute an assault with intent to murder with a firearm, it is not necessary that the weapon be discharged. When the intent to kill exists, the fact that for some unknown reason the actual consummation of the murder by the means employed is impossible is not a defense if the means are apparently adapted to the end in view. The intent to kill need not be directed against the person assaulted if the assault is committed with intent to kill some person. For example, if a person, intending to kill Jones, shoots Smith, mistaking Smith for Jones, that person is guilty of assaulting Smith with intent to murder. If a person fires into a group with intent to kill anyone in the group, that person is guilty of and assault with intent to murder each member of the group.
- (3) Assault with intent to commit voluntary manslaughter. Assault with intent to commit voluntary manslaughter is an assault committed with a specific intent to kill under such circumstances that, if death resulted therefrom, the offense of voluntary manslaughter would have been committed. There can be no assault with intent to commit involuntary manslaughter, for it is not a crime capable of being intentionally committed.
- (4) Assault with intent to commit rape. In assault with intent to commit rape, the accused must have intended to overcome any resistance by force, and to complete the offense. Any lesser intent will not suffice. No actual touching is necessary, but indecent advances and importunities, however earnest, not accompanied by such an intent, do not constitute this offense, nor do mere preparations to rape not amounting to an assault. Once an assault with intent to commit rape is made, it is no defense that the accused voluntarily desisted.
- (5) Assault with intent to rob. For assault with intent to rob, the fact that the accused intended to take money and that the person the accused intended to rob had none is not a defense.

- (6) Assault with intent to commit sodomy. Assault with intent to commit sodomy is an assault against a human being and must be committed with a specific intent to commit sodomy. Any lesser intent, or different intent, will not suffice.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Assault with intent to murder.
- (a) Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery; assault with a dangerous weapon; assault intentionally inflicting grievous bodily harm
- (b) Article 134—assault with intent to commit voluntary manslaughter; willful or careless discharge of a firearm
- (2) Assault with intent to commit voluntary manslaughter.
- (a) Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery; assault with a dangerous weapon; assault intentionally inflicting grievous bodily harm
- (b) Article 134—willful or careless discharge of a firearm
 - (3) Assault with intent to commit rape or sodomy.
- (a) Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery; assault with a dangerous weapon
 - (b) Article 134—indecent assault
 - (4) Assault with intent to commit burglary.
- (a) Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery; assault with a dangerous weapon
- (b) Article 134—assault with intent to commit housebreaking
- (5) Assault with intent to commit robbery, arson, or housebreaking. Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery; assault with a dangerous weapon
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Assault with intent to commit murder or rape. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 20 years.
- (2) Assault with intent to commit voluntary manslaughter, robbery, sodomy, arson, or burglary. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.
- (3) Assault with intent to commit housebreaking. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal jurisdiction	data)
did. (at/on boar	rd—location) (subject-matter iu	risdic-

tion data, if require	ed), on or about
20	_, with intent to commit (murder)
(voluntary manslau	ighter) (rape) (robbery) (sodomy)
(arson) (burglary)	(housebreaking), commit an as-
sault upon	by

65. Article 134—(Bigamy)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) That the accused had a living lawful spouse;
- (2) That while having such spouse the accused wrongfully married another person; and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. Bigamy is contracting another marriage by one who already has a living lawful spouse. If a prior marriage was void, it will have created no status of "lawful spouse." However, if it was only voidable and has not been voided by a competent court, this is no defense. A belief that a prior marriage has been terminated by divorce, death of the other spouse, or otherwise, constitutes a defense only if the belief was reasonable. See R.C.M. 916(j)(1).
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- f. Sample specification.

 In that ______ (personal jurisdiction data), did, at ______, (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about _______ 2 0 ______, wrongfully mar-ry ______, having at the time of his/her said marriage to ______ a lawful wife/hus-band then living, to wit: ______.

66. Article 134—(Bribery and graft)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) Asking, accepting, or receiving.
- (a) That the accused wrongfully asked, accepted, or received a thing of value from a certain person or organization;
- (b) That the accused then occupied a certain official position or had certain official duties;

- (c) That the accused asked, accepted, or received this thing of value (with the intent to have the accused's decision or action influenced with respect to a certain matter)* (as compensation for or in recognition of services rendered, to be rendered, or both, by the accused in relation to a certain matter)**;
- (d) That this certain matter was an official matter in which the United States was and is interested; and
- (e) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

(*Note: This element is required for bribery.) (**Note: This element is required for graft.)

- (2) Promising, offering, or giving.
- (a) That the accused wrongfully promised, offered, or gave a thing of value to a certain person;
- (b) That this person then occupied a certain official position or had certain official duties;
- (c) That this thing of value was promised, offered, or given (with the intent to influence the decision or action of this person)* (as compensation for or in recognition of services rendered, to be rendered, or both, by this person in relation to a certain matter)**;
- (d) That this matter was an official matter in which the United States was and is interested; and
- (e) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

(*Note: This element is required for bribery.) (**Note: This element is required for graft.)

- c. Explanation. Bribery requires an intent to influence or be influenced in an official matter; graft does not. Graft involves compensation for services performed in an official matter when no compensation is due.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Bribery. Article 134—graft
- (2) Bribery and graft. Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) *Bribery*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- (2) *Graft*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.

bribery.)

f. Sample specifications.
(1) Asking, accepting, or receiving.
In that (personal jurisdiction data),
being at the time (a contracting officer
for) (the personnel officer
of), did, (at/on
board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if
required), on or about
20, wrongfully (ask) (accept) (re-
ceive) from, (a contracting
company) engaged in
(), (the sum of \$)
(, of a value of (about)
\$) (), (with intent
to have his/her (decision) (action) influenced with
respect to*) ((as compensation for) (in recognition
of)) service (rendered) (to be rendered) (rendered
and to be rendered) by him/her the
said in relation to**) an
official matter in which the United States was and is
interested, to wit: (the purchasing of military sup-
plies from) (the trans-
fer ofto duty with
() ().
(*Note: This language should be used to allege
bribery.)
(**Note: This language should be used to allege
graft.)
(2) Promising, offering, or giving.
In that (personal jurisdiction data),
did (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdic-
tion data, if required), on or about
20, wrongfully (promise) (offer)
(give) to, (his/her commanding of-
ficer) (the claims officer of)
(), (the sum of \$)
of a value of (about
\$
to influence the (decision) (action) of the
said with respect to*) ((as
compensation for) (in recognition of)) services (ren-
dered) (to be rendered) (rendered and to be ren-
dered) by the said in relation to**)
an official matter in which the United States was
and is interested, to wit: (the granting of leave
to (the processing of a
claim against the United States in favor
of) ().
(*Note: This language should be used to allege

(**Note: This language should be used to allege graft.)

67. Article 134—(Burning with intent to defraud)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused willfully and maliciously burned or set fire to certain property owned by a certain person or organization;
- (2) That such burning or setting on fire was with the intent to defraud a certain person or organization: and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. See paragraph 49c(14) for a discussion of "intent to defraud."
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 10 years.

f. Sample specificati	on.		
In that	(pe	rsonal juri	sdiction data).
did, (at/on board—lo	cation)	(subject-m	natter jurisdic-
tion data, if required), on or	about	
20,	willfi	ully and	maliciously
(burn) (set fire to) (a	dwellir	ng) (a barn) (an automo-
bile), the property (of		, with
intent to defraud	l (the	insurer	thereof, to
wit:	.) ().

68. Article 134—(Check, worthless, making and uttering—by dishonorably failing to maintain funds)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused made and uttered a certain check;
- (2) That the check was made and uttered for the purchase of a certain thing, in payment of a debt, or for a certain purpose;
- (3) That the accused subsequently failed to place or maintain sufficient funds in or credit with the drawee bank for payment of the check in full upon its presentment for payment;

- (4) That this failure was dishonorable; and
- (5) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. This offense differs from an Article 123a offense (paragraph 49) in that there need be no intent to defraud or deceive at the time of making, drawing, uttering, or delivery, and that the accused need not know at that time that the accused did not or would not have sufficient funds for payment. The gist of the offense lies in the conduct of the accused after uttering the instrument. Mere negligence in maintaining one's bank balance is insufficient for this offense, for the accused's conduct must reflect bad faith or gross indifference in this regard. As in the offense of dishonorable failure to pay debts (see paragraph 71), dishonorable conduct of the accused is necessary, and the other principles discussed in paragraph 71 also apply here.
- d. Lesser included offenses. None.
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.

f.	Samp	ole	specificat	tion.
----	------	-----	------------	-------

In that	_ (personal jurisdiction data),
did, (at/on board—loc	ation) (subject-matter jurisdic-
tion data, if required),	on or about
2 0	, make and utter
to a	certain check, in words and
figures as follows, to	wit:, (for the
purchase of) (in payment of a
debt) (for the purpose	of), and did
thereafter dishonorably	fail to (place) (maintain) suf-
ficient funds in the	Bank for pay-
ment of such check in	full upon its presentment for
payment.	

69. Article 134—(Cohabitation, wrongful)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That, during a certain period of time, the accused and another person openly and publicly lived together as husband and wife, holding themselves out as such;
- (2) That the other person was not the spouse of the accused:
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and

discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

- c. Explanation. This offense differs from adultery (see paragraph 62) in that it is not necessary to prove that one of the partners was married or that sexual intercourse took place. Public knowledge of the wrongfulness of the relationship is not required, but the partners must behave in a manner, as exhibited by conduct or language, that leads others to believe that a martial relationship exists.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Confinement for 4 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 4 months.

f. Sample specification	
In that	_ (personal jurisdiction data)
did, (at/on board—loca	tion) (subject-matter jurisdic
tion data, if required),	from about
20, to	about 20
wrongfully cohabit with	n, (a woman
not his wife) (a man	not her husband).

70. Article 134—(Correctional custody—offenses against)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) Escape from correctional custody.
- (a) That the accused was placed in correctional custody by a person authorized to do so;
- (b) That, while in such correctional custody, the accused was under physical restraint;
- (c) That the accused freed himself or herself from the physical restraint of this correctional custody before being released therefrom by proper authority; and
- (d) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
 - (2) Breach of correctional custody.
- (a) That the accused was placed in correctional custody by a person authorized to do so;
- (b) That, while in correctional custody, a certain restraint was imposed upon the accused;
- (c) That the accused went beyond the limits of the restraint imposed before having been released **IV-102**

from the correctional custody or relieved of the restraint by proper authority; and

- (d) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) Escape from correctional custody. Escape from correctional custody is the act of a person undergoing the punishment of correctional custody pursuant to Article 15, who, before being set at liberty by proper authority, casts off any physical restraint imposed by the custodian or by the place or conditions of custody.
- (2) Breach of correctional custody. Breach of restraint during correctional custody is the act of a person undergoing the punishment who, in the absence of physical restraint imposed by a custodian or by the place or conditions of custody, breaches any form of restraint imposed during this period.
- (3) Authority to impose correctional custody. See Part V concerning who may impose correctional custody. Whether the status of a person authorized that person to impose correctional custody is a question of law to be decided by the military judge. Whether the person who imposed correctional custody had such a status is a question of fact to be decided by the factfinder.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Escape from correctional custody. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- (2) Breach of correctional custody. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- f. Sample specifications.

(1) Escape from	ı correctional custody.	
In that	(personal jurisdiction	on data),
while undergoing	the punishment of corr	ectional
custody imposed b	by a person authorized to	do so,
did, (at/on b	oard—location),	on or
about	20	, escape
from correctional	custody.	

(2) Breach of correctional custody.

In that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), while duly undergoing the punishment of correctional custody imposed by a person authorized to do so, did, (at/on board—location), on or

	¶/2.ī
about,	amount became due and payable (on) (about) (on or
breach the restraint imposed thereunder by	about), did (at/on board—location)(subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), from
71. Article 134—(Debt, dishonorably failing	2 0, t o
to pay)	
a. Text. See paragraph 60.	debt.
b. Elements.	72. Article 134—(Disloyal statements)
(1) That the accused was indebted to a certain person or entity in a certain sum;(2) That this debt became due and payable on or	a. Text. See paragraph 60.b. Elements.
about a certain date; (3) That while the debt was still due and payable	(1) That the accused made a certain statement;(2) That the statement was communicated to another person;
the accused dishonorably failed to pay this debt; and (4) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the projudice of good order and	(3) That the statement was disloyal to the United States;
the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces. c. <i>Explanation</i> . More than negligence in nonpayment is necessary. The failure to pay must be characterized by deceit, evasion, false promises, or other	(4) That the statement was made with the intent to promote disloyalty or disaffection toward the United States by any member of the armed forces or to interfere with or impair the loyalty to the United States or good order and discipline of any member of the armed forces; and
distinctly culpable circumstances indicating a deliberate nonpayment or grossly indifferent attitude toward one's just obligations. For a debt to form the basis of this offense, the accused must not have had a defense, or an equivalent offset or countersloim.	(5) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
a defense, or an equivalent offset or counterclaim, either in fact or according to the accused's belief, at the time alleged. The offense should not be charged if there was a genuine dispute between the parties as to the facts or law relating to the debt which would affect the obligation of the accused to pay. The offense is not committed if the creditor or creditors involved are satisfied with the conduct of the debtor with respect to payment. The length of the period of nonpayment and any denial of indebtedness which the accused may have made may tend to prove that the accused's conduct was dishonorable, but the court-martial may convict only if it finds from all of the evidence that the conduct was in fact dishonorable. d. Lesser included offenses. None.	c. Explanation. Certain disloyal statements by military personnel may not constitute an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 2385, 2387, and 2388, but may, under the circumstances, be punishable under this article Examples include praising the enemy, attacking the war aims of the United States, or denouncing our form of government with the intent to promote disloyalty or disaffection among members of the armed services. A declaration of personal belief car amount to a disloyal statement if it disavows allegiance owed to the United States by the declarant The disloyalty involved for this offense must be to the United States as a political entity and not merely to a department or other agency that is a part of its administration.
e. <i>Maximum punishment</i> . Bad-conduct discharge,	d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.	e. <i>Maximum punishment</i> . Dishonorable discharge forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.

f. Sample specification.

In that______ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location), on or

IV-103

about_____, with

f. Sample specification.

In that______ (personal jurisdiction data), being indebted to______ in the sum

of \$______, which

f. Sample specification.

intent to (promote (disloyalty) (disaffection) (disloyalty and disaffection)) ((interfere with) (impair) the (loyalty) (good order and discipline)) of any member of the armed forces of the United States communicate to_______, the following statement, to wit: "_______," or words to that effect, which statement was disloyal to the United States.

73. Article 134—(Disorderly conduct, drunkenness)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused was drunk, disorderly, or drunk and disorderly on board ship or in some other place; and
- (2) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) *Drunkenness*. See paragraph 35c(6) for a discussion of intoxication.
- (2) Disorderly. Disorderly conduct is conduct of such a nature as to affect the peace and quiet of persons who may witness it and who may be disturbed or provoked to resentment thereby. It includes conduct that endangers public morals or outrages public decency and any disturbance of a contentious or turbulent character.
- (3) Service discrediting. Unlike most offenses under Article 134, "conduct of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces" must be included in the specification and proved in order to authorized the higher maximum punishment when the offense is service discrediting.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
 - (1) Disorderly conduct.
- (a) Under such circumstances as to bring discredit upon the military service. Confinement for 4 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 4 months.
- (b) Other cases. Confinement for 1 month and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 1 month.
 - (2) Drunkenness.
- (a) Aboard ship or under such circumstances as to bring discredit upon the military service. Con-

- finement for 3 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 3 months.
- (b) Other cases. Confinement for 1 month and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 1 month.
 - (3) Drunk and disorderly.
- (a) *Aboard ship*. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- (b) Under such circumstances as to bring discredit upon the military service. Confinement for 6 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 6 months.
- (c) *Other cases*. Confinement for 3 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 3 months. f. *Sample specification*.

In that______ (personal jurisdiction data), was, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about_______ 20_______, (drunk) (disorderly) (drunk and disorderly) (which conduct was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces).

74. Article 134—(Drinking liquor with prisoner)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused was a sentinel or in another assignment in charge of a prisoner;
- (2) That, while in such capacity, the accused unlawfully drank intoxicating liquor with a prisoner;
- (3) That the prisoner was under the charge of the accused:
- (4) That the accused knew that the prisoner was a prisoner under the accused's charge; and
- (5) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) *Prisoner*. A "prisoner" is a person who is in confinement or custody imposed under R.C.M. 302, 304, or 305, or under sentence of a court-martial who has not been set free by proper authority.
- (2) *Liquor*. For the purposes of this offense, "liquor" includes any alcoholic beverage.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts

e. <i>N</i>	1aximum p	unis	shment.	Cor	ıfineı	nent	for 3 r	nont	hs
and	forfeiture	of	two-thi	rds	pay	per	month	for	3
mon	ıths.								

f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), a (sentinel) (_) in charge of
prisoners, did, (at/on	board—location), on or about
20,	unlawfully drink intoxicating
liquor with	, a prisoner under his/
her charge.	

75. Article 134—(Drunk prisoner)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) That the accused was a prisoner;
- (2) That while in such status the accused was found drunk; and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation.
 - (1) Prisoner. See paragraph 74c(1).
- (2) *Drunk. See* paragraph 35c(6) for a discussion of intoxication.
- d. Lesser included offenses. None.
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Confinement for 3 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 3 months.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal	l jurisdiction
data), a prisoner,	, was (at/on board— loo	cation), on or
about	20	, found
drunk.		

76. Article 134—(Drunkenness— incapacitation for performance of duties through prior wrongful indulgence in intoxicating liquor or any drug)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused had certain duties to perform;
- (2) That the accused was incapacitated for the proper performance of such duties;
 - (3) That such incapacitation was the result of pre-

- vious wrongful indulgence in intoxicating liquor or any drug; and
- (4) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation.
 - (1) Liquor. See paragraph 74c(2).
- (2) *Incapacitated*. Incapacitated means unfit or unable to perform properly. A person is "unfit" to perform duties if at the time the duties are to commence, the person is drunk, even though physically able to perform the duties. Illness resulting from previous overindulgence is an example of being "unable" to perform duties. For a discussion of "drunk" *see* paragraph 35c(6).
- (3) Affirmative defense. The accused's lack of knowledge of the duties assigned is an affirmative defense to this offense.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Confinement for 3 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 3 months.
- f. Sample specification.

In	that	(personal	jurisdiction
data),	was, (at/on	board-locatio	n), on o
about		. 20	, as a re-
sult of v	wrongful previo	ous overindulgenc	e in intoxi
cating li	iquor or drugs	incapacitated for	the proper
performa	ance of his/her	r duties.	

77. Article 134—(False or unauthorized pass offenses)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) Wrongful making, altering, counterfeiting, or tampering with a military or official pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card.
- (a) That the accused wrongfully and falsely made, altered, counterfeited, or tampered with a certain military or official pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card; and
- (b) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
 - (2) Wrongful sale, gift, loan, or disposition of a

military or official pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card.

- (a) That the accused wrongfully sold, gave, loaned, or disposed of a certain military or official pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card:
- (b) That the pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card was false or unauthorized;
- (c) That the accused then knew that the pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card was false or unauthorized; and
- (d) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- (3) Wrongful use or possession of a false or unauthorized military or official pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card.
- (a) That the accused wrongfully used or possessed a certain military or official pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card;
- (b) That the pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card was false or unauthorized;
- (c) That the accused then knew that the pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card was false or unauthorized: and
- (d) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

[Note: When there is intent to defraud or deceive, add the following element after (c) above: That the accused used or possessed the pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card with an intent to defraud or deceive.]

c. Explanation.

- (1) In general. "Military or official pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card" includes, as well as the more usual forms of these documents, all documents issued by any governmental agency for the purpose of identification and copies thereof.
- (2) Intent to defraud or deceive. See paragraph 49c(14) and (15).

d. Lesser included offenses.

(1) Wrongful use or possession of false or unauthorized military or official pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card, with the intent to defraud or deceive. Article 134—same of-

fenses, except without the intent to defraud or deceive.

- (2) All false or unauthorized pass offenses. Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Possessing or using with intent to defraud or deceive, or making, altering, counterfeiting, tampering with, or selling. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.
- (2) All other cases. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.

f. Sample specifications.

(1) Wrongful making, altering, counterfeiting, or
tampering with military or official pass, permit, dis-
charge certificate, or identification card. In
that (personal jurisdiction data),
did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdic-
tion data, if required), on or about
20, wrongfully and falsely (make)
(forge) (alter by) (counterfeit)
(tamper with by) (a certain instru-
ment purporting to be) (a) (an) (another's) (naval)
(military) (official) (pass) (permit) (discharge certifi-
cate) (identification card) () in
words and figures as follows:

(2) Wrongful sale, gift, loan, or disposition of a military or official pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on bo	ard—location) (subject-matter
jurisdiction dat	a, if required), on or
about	_ 20, wrong-
	o) (give
to) (loan to) (dis-
pose of by) (a certain instrument
purporting to be) (a)	(an) (another's) (naval) (mili-
tary) (official) (pass)	(permit)(discharge certificate)
(identification card)	() in words
and figures as follows	s:, he/she, the
said	, then well knowing the same
to be (false) (unauth	orized).

(3) Wrongful use or possession of a false or unauthorized military or official pass, permit, discharge certificate, or identification card.

In that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), did (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or

about	. 20
wrongfully (use) (possess)	(with intent to (defraud)
(deceive)) (a certain instrum	nent purporting to be) (a)
(an) (another's) (naval) (r	nilitary) (official) (pass)
(permit) (discharge certific	ate) (identification card)
()	, he/she, the
said, the	n well knowing the same
to be (false) (unauthorized).

78. Article 134—(False pretenses, obtaining services under)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused wrongfully obtained certain services;
- (2) That the obtaining was done by using false pretenses;
- (3) That the accused then knew of the falsity of the pretenses;
 - (4) That the obtaining was with intent to defraud;
 - (5) That the services were of a certain value: and
- (6) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. This offense is similar to the offenses of larceny and wrongful appropriation by false pretenses, except that the object of the obtaining is services (for example, telephone service) rather than money, personal property, or articles of value of any kind as under Article 121. See paragraph 46c. See paragraph 49c(14) for a definition of "intent to defraud."
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80—attempts e. Maximum punishment. Obtaining services unde
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Obtaining services under false pretenses.
- (1) Of a value of \$500.00 or less. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- (2) Of a value of more than \$500.00. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that		_ (person	al juris	dict	o
data), did, (at/o	n board—lo	ocation)	subject	-mat	te
jurisdiction	data, if	requir	ed),	o n	0
about	20_			, w	itł
intent to defraud,	, falsely pret	end to			

that,	then knowing that the pre	Э
tenses were false, and	by means thereof did wrong	3
fully obtain from	services, of	í
value of (abou	ut) \$, t	(
wit:		

79. Article 134—(False swearing)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) That the accused took an oath or equivalent;
- (2) That the oath or equivalent was administered to the accused in a matter in which such oath or equivalent was required or authorized by law;
- (3) That the oath or equivalent was administered by a person having authority to do so;
- (4) That upon this oath or equivalent the accused made or subscribed a certain statement;
 - (5) That the statement was false;
- (6) That the accused did not then believe the statement to be true; and
- (7) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) Nature of offense. False swearing is the making under a lawful oath or equivalent of any false statement, oral or written, not believing the statement to be true. It does not include such statements made in a judicial proceeding or course of justice, as these are under Article 131, perjury (see paragraph 57). Unlike a false official statement under Article 107 (see paragraph 31) there is no requirement that the statement be made with an intent to deceive or that the statement be official. See paragraphs 57c(1), c(2)(c) and c(2)(e) concerning "judicial proceeding or course of justice," proof of the falsity, and the belief of the accused, respectively.
- (2) *Oath. See* Article 136 and R.C.M. 807 as to the authority to administer oaths, and *see* Section IX of Part III (Military Rules of Evidence) concerning proof of the signatures of persons authorized to administer oaths. An oath includes an affirmation when authorized in lieu of an oath.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.

/ 5.i.
f. Sample specification.
In that (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data, if required), on or
about, (in an
affidavit) (in), wrongfully and un-
lawfully (make) (subscribe) under lawful (oath) (af-
firmation) a false statement in substance as
follows:, which statement he/she
did not then believe to be true.
80. Article 134—(Firearm, discharging—
through negligence)
a. Text. See paragraph 60.
b. Elements.
(1) That the accused discharged a firearm;

- (2) That such discharge was caused by the negligence of the accused; and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. *Explanation*. For a discussion of negligence, *see* paragraph 85c(2).
- d. Lesser included offenses. None
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Confinement for 3 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 3 months.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board-	-location) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data, i	f required), on or
about20_	, through
negligence, discharg	ge a (service rifle)
() in the	e (squadron) (tent) (bar-
racks) ()	of

81. Article 134—(Firearm, discharging—willfully, under such circumstances as to endanger human life)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) That the accused discharged a firearm;
 - (2) That the discharge was willful and wrongful;
- (3) That the discharge was under circumstances such as to endanger human life; and
- (4) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of **IV-108**

the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

- c. Explanation. "Under circumstances such as to endanger human life"refers to a reasonable potentiality for harm to human beings in general. The test is not whether the life was in fact endangered but whether, considering the circumstances surrounding the wrongful discharge of the weapon, the act was unsafe to human life in general.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
- (1) Article 134—firearm, discharging—through negligence
 - (2) Article 80—attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on bo	oard—location) (subject-matter
jurisdiction dat	ta, if required), on o
about	20, wrong-
fully and willfull	y discharge a firearm, to
wit:	, (in the mess hal
of)	(), under cir-
cumstances such as	to endanger human life.

82. Article 134—(Fleeing scene of accident)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) Driver.
- (a) That the accused was the driver of a vehicle;
- (b) That while the accused was driving the vehicle was involved in an accident;
- (c) That the accused knew that the vehicle had been in an accident;
- (d) That the accused left the scene of the accident without (providing assistance to the victim who had been struck (and injured) by the said vehicle) or (providing identification);
 - (e) That such leaving was wrongful; and
- (f) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
 - (2) Senior passenger.

- (a) That the accused was a passenger in a vehicle which was involved in an accident;
- (b) That the accused knew that said vehicle had been in an accident;
- (c) That the accused was the superior commissioned or noncommissioned officer of the driver, or commander of the vehicle, and wrongfully and unlawfully ordered, caused, or permitted the driver to leave the scene of the accident without (providing assistance to the victim who had been struck (and injured) by the said vehicle) (or) (providing identification); and
- (d) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

c. Explanation.

- (1) Nature of offense. This offense covers "hit and run" situations where there is damage to property other than the driver's vehicle or injury to someone other than the driver or a passenger in the driver's vehicle. It also covers accidents caused by the accused, even if the accused's vehicle does not contact other people, vehicles, or property.
- (2) *Knowledge*. Actual knowledge that an accident has occurred is an essential element of this offense. Actual knowledge may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
- (3) Passenger. A passenger other than a senior passenger may also be liable under this paragraph. See paragraph 1 of this Part.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.

f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal	jurisdiction
data), (the driver of) (a	passenger in*)	(the senior
officer/noncommi	ssioned of	ficer in)
(in) a	vehicle at the	time of an
accident in which said	vehicle was in	volved, and
having knowledge of	said acciden	t, did, at-
(subject	-matter jurisdic	tion data, if
required), on or	a b o u t	
2 0(w	rongfully	leave)
(by, ass	sist the driver	of the said
vehicle in wrongfully le	aving*) (wrong	fully order,
cause, or permit the drive	er to leave) the	scene of the
accident without (providing a	ssistance

to______, who had been struck (and injured) by the said vehicle) (making his/her (the driver's) identity known).

[Note: This language should be used when the accused was a passenger and is charged as a principal. *See* paragraph 1 of this part.]

83. Article 134—(Fraternization)

a. Text. See paragraph 60.

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused was a commissioned or warrant officer;
- (2) That the accused fraternized on terms of military equality with one or more certain enlisted member(s) in a certain manner;
- (3) That the accused then knew the person(s) to be (an) enlisted member(s);
- (4) That such fraternization violated the custom of the accused's service that officers shall not fraternize with enlisted members on terms of military equality; and
- (5) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

c. Explanation.

- (1) In general. The gist of this offense is a violation of the custom of the armed forces against fraternization. Not all contact or association between officers and enlisted persons is an offense. Whether the contact or association in question is an offense depends on the surrounding circumstances. Factors to be considered include whether the conduct has compromised the chain of command, resulted in the appearance of partiality, or otherwise undermined good order, discipline, authority, or morale. The acts and circumstances must be such as to lead a reasonable person experienced in the problems of military leadership to conclude that the good order and discipline of the armed forces has been prejudiced by their tendency to compromise the respect of enlisted persons for the professionalism, integrity, and obligations of an officer.
- (2) Regulations. Regulations, directives, and orders may also govern conduct between officer and enlisted personnel on both a service-wide and a local basis. Relationships between enlisted persons of different ranks, or between officers of different ranks may be similarly covered. Violations of such regula-

IV-110

tions, directives, or orders may be punishable under Article 92. <i>See</i> paragraph 16.	matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about, gamble
d. Lesser included offense. Article 80—attempts	with, then knowing that the
e. <i>Maximum punishment</i> . Dismissal, forfeiture of all	said was not a noncommissioned
pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.	or petty officer and was subordinate to the
f. Sample specification.	said
In that (personal jurisdiction	
data), did, (at/on board—location), on or	85. Article 134—(Homicide, negligent)
about, 20, know-	a. Text. See paragraph 60.
ingly fraternize with, an enlisted	
person, on terms of military equality, to	b. Elements.
wit:, in violation of the custom of	(1) That a certain person is dead;
(the Naval Service of the United States) (the United	(2) That this death resulted from the act or failure
States Army) (the United States Air Force) (the	to act of the accused;
United States Coast Guard) that officers shall not	(3) That the killing by the accused was unlawful;
fraternize with enlisted persons on terms of military	(4) That the act or failure to act of the accused
equality.	which caused the death amounted to simple negli-
	gence; and
84. Article 134—(Gambling with subordinate)	
a. Text. See paragraph 60.	(5) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and
b. Elements.	discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to
(1) That the accused gambled with a certain	bring discredit upon the armed forces.
servicemember;	
(2) That the accused was then a noncommis-	c. Explanation.
sioned or petty officer;	(1) Nature of offense. Negligent homicide is any
(3) That the servicemember was not then a non-	unlawful homicide which is the result of simple neg-
commissioned or petty officer and was subordinate	ligence. An intent to kill or injure is not required.
to the accused;	(2) Simple negligence. Simple negligence is the
(4) That the accused knew that the servicemem-	absence of due care, that is, an act or omission of a
ber was not then a noncommissioned or petty officer	person who is under a duty to use due care which
and was subordinate to the accused; and	exhibits a lack of that degree of care of the safety of
(5) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of	others which a reasonably careful person would have
the accused was to the prejudice of good order and	exercised under the same or similar circumstances.
discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to	Simple negligence is a lesser degree of carelessness
bring discredit upon the armed forces.	than culpable negligence. See paragraph $44c(2)(a)$.
c. <i>Explanation</i> . This offense can only be committed	d. Lesser included offenses. None
by a noncommissioned or petty officer gambling	e. Maximum punishment. Dishonorable discharge,
with an enlisted person of less than noncommis-	forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confine-
sioned or petty officer rank. Gambling by an officer	ment for 3 years.
with an enlisted person may be a violation of Article	f. Sample specification.
133. See also paragraph 83.	In that (personal ju-
d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts	risdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (sub-
e. <i>Maximum punishment</i> . Confinement for 3 months	ject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or
and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 3	about
months.	fully kill, (by negligent-
f. Sample specification.	ly the said (in)
In that (personal juris-	(on) the with a)
diction data), did (at/on board—location) (subject-	(by driving a (motor vehicle) ()

against the	said		in	a	negligen
manner) ().			

86. Article 134—(Impersonating a commissioned, warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer, or an agent or official)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused impersonated a commissioned, warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer, or an agent of superior authority of one of the armed forces of the United States, or an official of a certain government, in a certain manner;
- (2) That the impersonation was wrongful and willful; and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

[Note 1: If intent to defraud is in issue, add the following additional element after (2), above: That the accused did so with the intent to defraud a certain person or organization in a certain manner;]. [Note 2: If the accused is charged with impersonating an official of a certain government without an intent to defraud, use the following additional element after (2) above: That the accused committed one or more acts which exercised or asserted the authority of the office the accused claimed to have;].

c. Explanation.

- (1) *Nature of offense*. Impersonation does not depend upon the accused deriving a benefit from the deception or upon some third party being misled, although this is an aggravating factor.
- (2) Willfulness. "Willful" means with the knowledge that one is falsely holding one's self out as such.
- (3) Intent to defraud. See paragraph 49c(14).
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Impersonating a commissioned, warrant, noncommissioned, or petty officer, or an agent or official.
- (1) With intent to defraud. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.
 - (2) All other cases. Bad-conduct discharge, for-

feiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.

f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board-	-location) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data,	if required), on or
about 20), wrong-
fully and willfully imperso	onate (a (commissioned of-
ficer) (warrant officer) (noncommissioned officer)
(petty officer) (agent of	superior authority) of the
(Army) (Navy) (Marine	Corps) (Air Force) (Coast
Guard)) (an official	of the Government
of) by (publicly wearing the uni-
form and insignia of 1	ank of a (lieutenant of
the) ()) (showing
the credentials of	o f)
() (wi	th intent to defraud
	by*)
	serted) the authority
of by	**).
(* See subsection b no	
(** See subsection b i	note 2.)

87. Article 134—(Indecent acts or liberties with a child)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) Physical contact.
- (a) That the accused committed a certain act upon or with the body of a certain person;
- (b) That the person was under 16 years of age and not the spouse of the accused;
 - (c) That the act of the accused was indecent;
- (d) That the accused committed the act with intent to arouse, appeal to, or gratify the lust, passions, or sexual desires of the accused, the victim, or both; and
- (e) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
 - (2) No physical contact.
 - (a) That the accused committed a certain act;
- (b) That the act amounted to the taking of indecent liberties with a certain person;
- (c) That the accused committed the act in the presence of this person;

- (d) That this person was under 16 years of age and not the spouse of the accused;
- (e) That the accused committed the act with the intent to arouse, appeal to, or gratify the lust, passions, or sexual desires of the accused, the victim, or both: and
- (f) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) *Consent.* Lack of consent by the child to the act or conduct is not essential to this offense; consent is not a defense.
- (2) Indecent liberties. When a person is charged with taking indecent liberties, the liberties must be taken in the physical presence of the child, but physical contact is not required. Thus, one who with the requisite intent exposes one's private parts to a child under 16 years of age may be found guilty of this offense. An indecent liberty may consist of communication of indecent language as long as the communication is made in the physical presence of the child.
 - (3) Indecent. See paragraph 89c and 90c.
- d. Lesser included offense.
 - (1) Article 134—indecent acts with another
- (2) Article 128—assault; assault consummated by a battery
 - (3) Article 80—attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 7 years.

(personal jurisdiction

f. Sample specification.

In that

iii tilat (personal juristiction
data), did, (at/on board-location) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data, if required), on or
about, (take
(indecent) liberties with) (commit an indecent act
(upon) (with) the body of), a (fe-
male) (male) under 16 years of age, not the (wife)
(husband) of the said, by (fondling
(her) (him) and placing his/her hands upon (her)
(his) leg and private parts) ()
with intent to (arouse) (appeal to) (gratify) the (lust)
(passion) (sexual desires) of the
said(and).

88. Article 134—(Indecent exposure)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused exposed a certain part of the accused's body to public view in an indecent manner;
- (2) That the exposure was willful and wrongful; and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the accused's conduct was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. "Willful" means an intentional exposure to public view. Negligent indecent exposure is not punishable as a violation of the code. See paragraph 90c concerning "indecent."
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- f. Sample specification.

In that		_ (personal	jurisdict	ior
data), did (at/on board-	-loc	atio	n) (subjec	et-matter	ju
risdiction data,	i f	r e	quired), on	0 1
about	20_			, wh	ile
(at a barracks window)	(_) willfu	ılly
and wrongfully expose	in	an	indecent	manner	to
public view his or her					

89. Article 134—(Indecent language)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused orally or in writing communicated to another person certain language;
 - (2) That such language was indecent; and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

[Note: In appropriate cases add the following element after element (1): That the person to whom the language was communicated was a child under the age of 16.]

c. Explanation. "Indecent" language is that which is grossly offensive to modesty, decency, or propriety, or shocks the moral sense, because of its vulgar, filthy, or disgusting nature, or its tendency to incite lustful thought. Language is indecent if it tends rea-

sonably to corrupt morals or incite libidinous
thoughts. The language must violate community
standards. See paragraph 87 if the communication
was made in the physical presence of a child.

- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Article 117—provoking speeches
 - (2) Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment. Indecent or insulting language.
- (1) Communicated to any child under the age of 16 years. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- (2) *Other cases*. Bad-conduct discharge; forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	_ (personal ju	risdiction
data), did (at/on board—loca	ation) (subject-r	natter ju-
risdiction data, if	required),	on or
about 20		, (orally)
(in writing) communicate	to	, (a
child under the age of 16	years), certain	indecent
language, to wit:		

90. Article 134—(Indecent acts with another)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused committed a certain wrongful act with a certain person;
 - (2) That the act was indecent; and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. "Indecent" signifies that form of immorality relating to sexual impurity which is not only grossly vulgar, obscene, and repugnant to common propriety, but tends to excite lust and deprave the morals with respect to sexual relations.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that			_ (personal	juri	sdict	tion
data), did (at/o	n board-	-loc	ation) (subject	et-ma	atter	ju-
risdiction	data	i f	required)	o n	0.1

about	20	, wrong-
fully commit	an indecent act with	
by		

91. Article 134—(Jumping from vessel into the water)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused jumped from a vessel in use by the armed forces into the water;
- (2) That such act by the accused was wrongful and intentional; and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. *Explanation*. "In use by" means any vessel operated by or under the control of the armed forces. This offense may be committed at sea, at anchor, or in port.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, on board	, at (location)
on or about	20
wrongfully and	intentionally jump
from,	a vessel in use by the armed
forces, into the (sea) (lake) (river).

92. Article 134—(Kidnapping)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused seized, confined, inveigled, decoyed, or carried away a certain person;
- (2) That the accused then held such person against that person's will;
- (3) That the accused did so willfully and wrongfully; and
- (4) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation.
 - (1) Inveigle, decoy. "Inveigle" means to lure, lead

astray, or entice by false representations or other deceitful means. For example, a person who entices another to ride in a car with a false promise to take the person to a certain destination has inveigled the passenger into the car. "Decoy" means to entice or lure by means of some fraud, trick, or temptation. For example, one who lures a child into a trap with candy has decoyed the child.

- (2) *Held.* "Held" means detained. The holding must be more than a momentary or incidental detention. For example, a robber who holds the victim at gunpoint while the victim hands over a wallet, or a rapist who throws his victim to the ground, does not, by such acts, commit kidnapping. On the other hand, if, before or after such robbery or rape, the victim is involuntarily transported some substantial distance, as from a housing area to a remote area of the base or post, this may be kidnapping, in addition to robbery or rape.
- (3) Against the will. "Against that person's will" means that the victim was held involuntarily. The involuntary nature of the detention may result from force, mental or physical coercion, or from other means, including false representations. If the victim is incapable of having a recognizable will, as in the case of a very young child or a mentally incompetent person, the holding must be against the will of the victim's parents or legal guardian. Evidence of the availability or nonavailability to the victim of means of exit or escape is relevant to the voluntariness of the detention, as is evidence of threats or force, or lack thereof, by the accused to detain the victim.
- (4) Willfully. The accused must have specifically intended to hold the victim against the victim's will to be guilty of kidnapping. An accidental detention will not suffice. The holding need not have been for financial or personal gain or for any other particular purpose. It may be an aggravating circumstance that the kidnapping was for ransom, however. See R.C.M. 1001(b)(4).
- (5) Wrongfully. "Wrongfully" means without justification or excuse. For example, a law enforcement official may justifiably apprehend and detain, by force if necessary (see R.C.M. 302(d)(3)), a person reasonably believed to have committed an offense. An official who unlawfully uses the official's authority to apprehend someone is not guilty of kidnapping, but may be guilty of unlawful detention. See paragraph 21. It is not wrongful under this para-

graph and therefore not kidnapping for a parent or legal guardian to seize and hold that parent's or legal guardian's minor child.

- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for life without eligibility for parole.
- f. Sample specification.

In that, (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board-location) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data, if required), on or
about, will-
fully and wrongfully (seize) (confine) (inveigle)
(decoy) (carry away) and hold (a
minor whose parent or legal guardian the accused
was not) (a person not a minor) against his/her will

93. Article 134—(Mail: taking, opening, secreting, destroying, or stealing)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) Taking.
 - (a) That the accused took certain mail matter;
 - (b) That such taking was wrongful;
- (c) That the mail matter was taken by the accused before it was delivered to or received by the addressee:
- (d) That such taking was with the intent to obstruct the correspondence or pry into the business or secrets of any person or organization; and
- (e) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
 - (2) Opening, secreting, destroying, or stealing.
- (a) That the accused opened, secreted, destroyed, or stole certain mail matter;
- (b) That such opening, secreting, destroying, or stealing was wrongful;
- (c) That the mail matter was opened, secreted, destroyed, or stolen by the accused before it was delivered to or received by the addressee; and
- (d) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. These offenses are intended to pro-

tect the mail and mail system. "Mail matter" means any matter deposited in a postal system of any government or any authorized depository thereof or in official mail channels of the United States or an agency thereof including the armed forces. The value of the mail matter is not an element. *See* paragraph 46c(1) concerning "steal."

- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Article 121—larceny; wrongful appropriation
 - (2) Article 80—attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specifications.
 - (1) Taking.

(2) Opening, secreting, destroying, or stealing. In that_____ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or a b o u t _______ 2 0 ______, (wrongfully (open) (secret) (destroy)) (steal) certain mail matter, to wit: (a) (letter(s)) (postal card(s)) (package(s)) addressed to_____, which said (letter(s)) (_______) (was) (were) then (in the (______ Post Of-of _______) (unit mail box o f _________ (c u s t o d y of_____) (_____)) (had previously been committed to_______, (a agency for the transmission of communications)) before said (letter(s)) (______) (was)

(were) (delivered) (actually received) (to) (by) the (addressee).

94. Article 134—(Mails: depositing or causing to be deposited obscene matters in)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused deposited or caused to be deposited in the mails certain matter for mailing and delivery;
- (2) That the act was done wrongfully and knowingly;
 - (3) That the matter was obscene; and
- (4) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. Whether something is obscene is a question of fact. "Obscene" is synonymous with "indecent" as the latter is defined in paragraph 89c. The matter must violate community standards of decency or obscenity and must go beyond customary limits of expression. "Knowingly" means the accused deposited the material with knowledge of its nature.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data, if required), on or
about, wrong-
fully and knowingly (deposit) (cause to be depos-
ited) in the (United States) (
mails, for mailing and delivery a (letter) (picture)
() (containing) (portraying) (sug-
gesting) () certain obscene matters
to wit:

95. Article 134—(Misprision of serious offense)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That a certain serious offense was committed by a certain person;

- (2) That the accused knew that the said person had committed the serious offense;
- (3) That, thereafter, the accused concealed the serious offense and failed to make it known to civilian or military authorities as soon as possible;
 - (4) That the concealing was wrongful; and
- (5) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

c. Explanation.

- (1) In general. Misprision of a serious offense is the offense of concealing a serious offense committed by another but without such previous concert with or subsequent assistance to the principal as would make the accused an accessory. See paragraph 3. An intent to benefit the principal is not necessary to this offense.
- (2) Serious offense. For purposes of this paragraph, a "serious offense" is any offense punishable under the authority of the code by death or by confinement for a term exceeding 1 year.
- (3) Positive act of concealment. A mere failure or refusal to disclose the serious offense without some positive act of concealment does not make one guilty of this offense. Making a false entry in an account book for the purpose of concealing a theft committed by another is an example of a positive act of concealment.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that (personal jurisdiction
data), having knowledge that had
actually committed a serious offense to wit: (the
murder of) ()
did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdic-
tion data, if required from about
20, to about
20, wrongfully conceal such seri-
ous offense by and fail to make the
same known to the civil or military authorities as
soon as possible.

96. Article 134—(Obstructing justice)

a. Text. See paragraph 60.

- b. Elements.
 - (1) That the accused wrongfully did a certain act;
- (2) That the accused did so in the case of a certain person against whom the accused had reason to believe there were or would be criminal proceedings pending;
- (3) That the act was done with the intent to influence, impede, or otherwise obstruct the due administration of justice; and
- (4) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. This offense may be based on conduct that occurred before preferral of charges. Actual obstruction of justice is not an element of this offense. For purposes of this paragraph "criminal proceedings" includes nonjudicial punishment proceedings under Part V of this Manual. Examples of obstruction of justice include wrongfully influencing, intimidating, impeding, or injuring a witness, a person acting on charges under this chapter, an investigating officer under R.C.M. 406, or a party; and by means of bribery, intimidation, misrepresentation, or force or threat of force delaying or preventing communication of information relating to a violation of any criminal statute of the United States to a person authorized by a department, agency, or armed force of the United States to conduct or engage in investigations or prosecutions of such offenses; or endeavoring to do so. See also paragraph 22 and Article 37.
- d. Lesser included offenses. None.
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on be	oard—location) (subject-matte
jurisdiction da	ta, if required), on o
about	
fully (endeavor to) (i	mpede (a trial by court-martial
(an investigation) ()) [influence the
actions of	, (a trial counsel of the
court-martial) (a def	ense counsel of the court-mar
tial) (an officer respo	onsible for making a recommen
dation concernin	g disposition of charges
()]	[(influence) (alter) the testi
mony of	as a witness before

(court-martial) (an investigating officer)
()] in the case of
by [(promising) (offering) (giving) to the
said, (the sum of
\$, of a value of
about \$)] [communicating to the
said a threat to]
[], (if) (unless) he/she, the
said, would [recommend dismissal
of the charges against said]
[(wrongfully refuse to testify) (testify falsely con-
cerning) ()] [(at
such trial) (before such investigating officer)]
].

96a. Art 134 (Wrongful interference with an adverse administrative proceeding)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) That the accused wrongfully did a certain act;
- (2) That the accused did so in the case of a certain person against whom the accused had reason to believe there was or would be an adverse administrative proceeding pending;
- (3) That the act was done with the intent to influence, impede, or obstruct the conduct of such administrative proceeding, or otherwise obstruct the due administration of justice;
- (4) That under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. For purposes of this paragraph "adverse administrative proceeding" includes any administrative proceeding or action, initiated against a servicemember, that could lead to discharge, loss of special or incentive pay, administrative reduction in grade, loss of a security clearance, bar to reenlistment, or reclassification. Examples of wrongful interference include wrongfully influencing, intimidating, impeding, or injuring a witness, an investigator, or other person acting on an adverse administrative action; by means of bribery, intimidation, misrepresentation, or force or threat of force delaying or preventing communication of information relating to such administrative proceeding; and, the wrongful destruction or concealment of information relevant to such adverse administrative proceeding.

- d. Lesser included offenses. None.
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that (personal jurisdiction
data), did (at/on board-location) (subject-matter ju-
risdiction data, if required), on or
a b o u t 2 0,
(wrongfully endeavor to) [impede (an adverse ad-
ministrative proceeding) (an investigation)
()] [influence the actions
of, (an officer responsible for mak-
ing a recommendation concerning the adverse ad-
ministrative action)(an individual responsible for
making a decision concerning an adverse administra-
tive proceeding) (an individual responsible for
processing an adverse administrative proceed-
ing)()] [(influence)(alter) the testi-
mony of a witness before (a board
established to consider an administrative proceeding
or elimination) (an investigating offi-
cer)()] in the case
of, by](promising) (offering) (giv-
ing) to the said, (the sum of
\$, of a value of
about \$)] [communicating to the
said a threat to]
[], (if) (unless) the
said, would [recommend dismissal
of the action against said]
[(wrongfully refuse to testify) (testify falsely con-
cerning) ()] [(at
such administrative proceeding) (before such investi-
gating officer) (before such administrative board)]
[].

97. Article 134—(Pandering and prostitution)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) Prostitution.
- (a) That the accused had sexual intercourse with another person not the accused's spouse;
- (b) That the accused did so for the purpose of receiving money or other compensation;
 - (c) That this act was wrongful; and
- (d) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order

and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

- (2) Pandering by compelling, inducing, enticing, or procuring act of prostitution.
- (a) That the accused compelled, induced, enticed, or procured a certain person to engage in an act of sexual intercourse for hire and reward with a person to be directed to said person by the accused;
- (b) That this compelling, inducing, enticing, or procuring was wrongful; and
- (c) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- (3) Pandering by arranging or receiving consideration for arranging for sexual intercourse or sodomy.
- (a) That the accused arranged for, or received valuable consideration for arranging for, a certain person to engage in sexual intercourse or sodomy with another person;
- (b) That the arranging (and receipt of consideration) was wrongful; and
- (c) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. Prostitution may be committed by males or females. Sodomy for money or compensation is not included in subparagraph b(1). Sodomy may be charged under paragraph 51. Evidence that sodomy was for money or compensation may be a matter in aggravation. See R.C.M. 1001(b)(4).
- d. *Lesser included offense*. Article 80—attempts e. *Maximum punishment*.
- (1) *Prostitution*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- (2) *Pandering*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specifications.
 - (1) Prostitution.

In that	(personal	jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board	d—location) (su	bject-matter
jurisdiction data,	if required	d), on or
about	20	, wrong-
fully engage in (an act)	(acts) of sexual	intercourse
IV-118		

with.		,	a j	person	not	his	her	spou	se,
for	the	purpose	o f	rece	ivi	n g	(m	o n e	y)
().							

(2) Compelling, inducing, enticing, or procuring act of prostitution.

In that		_ (personal	jurisdi	ction
data), did, (at/on bo	oard—lo	ocation) (su	bject-n	ıatter
jurisdiction da	ta, if	required), or	n or
about	20		, w	rong-
fully (compel)	(indu	ce) (enti	ce) (j	pro-
cure)	_ to eng	gage in (an a	ct) (ac	ts) of
(sexual intercourse for	or hire a	nd reward) v	vith pe	rsons
to be directe	d to	him/her	bу	t h e
said	_•			

(3) Arranging, or receiving consideration for arranging for sexual intercourse or sodomy.

In that		_ (personal	jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on	board—lo	ocation) (su	bject-matter
jurisdiction of	lata, if	required	d), on or
about	20		, wrong-
fully (arrange for)	(receive va	aluable cons	ideration, to
wit:	on acc	count of ar	ranging for-
)	to engage	in (an ac	t) (acts) of
(sexual int	ercou	rse) (s	odomy)
with			

97a. Article 134—(Parole, Violation of)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused was a prisoner as the result of a court-martial conviction or other criminal proceeding;
 - (2) That the accused was on parole;
- (3) That there were certain conditions of parole that the parolee was bound to obey;
- (4) That the accused violated the conditions of parole by doing an act or failing to do an act; and
- (5) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces
- c. Explanation.
- (1) "Prisoner" refers only to those in confinement resulting from conviction at a court-martial or other criminal proceeding.
- (2) "Parole" is defined as "word of honor." A prisoner on parole, or parolee, has agreed to adhere to a parole plan and conditions of parole. A "parole

plan" is a written or oral agreement made by the prisoner prior to parole to do or refrain from doing certain acts or activities. A parole plan may include a residence requirement stating where and with whom a parolee will live, and a requirement that the prisoner have an offer of guaranteed employment. "Conditions of parole" include the parole plan and other reasonable and appropriate conditions of parole, such as paying restitution, beginning or continuing treatment for alcohol or drug abuse, or paying a fine ordered executed as part of the prisoner's courtmartial sentence. In return for giving his or her "word of honor" to abide by a parole plan and conditions of parole, the prisoner is granted parole.

- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Bad-conduct discharge, confinement for 6 months, and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 6 months.
- f. Sample specifications.

In that	(personal jurisdiction da-
ta), a prisoner on parole,	, did, (at/on board—loca-
tion), on or about	, 20
violate the conditio	ns of his/her parole
by	

98. Article 134—(Perjury: subornation of)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused induced and procured a certain person to take an oath or its equivalent and to falsely testify, depose, or state upon such oath or its equivalent concerning a certain matter;
- (2) That the oath or its equivalent was administered to said person in a matter in which an oath or its equivalent was required or authorized by law;
- (3) That the oath or its equivalent was administered by a person having authority to do so;
- (4) That upon the oath or its equivalent said person willfully made or subscribed a certain statement;
 - (5) That the statement was material;
 - (6) That the statement was false;
- (7) That the accused and the said person did not then believe that the statement was true; and
- (8) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. See paragraph 57c for applicable

principles. "Induce and procure" means to influence, persuade, or cause.

- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that (personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter
jurisdiction data, if required), on or
about, pro-
cure to commit perjury by induc-
ing him/her, the said, to take a
lawful (oath) (affirmation) in a (trial by court-martial
of) (trial by a court of com-
petent jurisdiction, to wit:
of) (deposition for use in a trial
b y o f)
() that he/she, the
said, would (testify) (depose)
() truly, and to (testify) (depose)
() willfully, corruptly, and contrary
to such (oath) (affirmation) in substance
that, which (testimony) (deposi-
tion) () was upon a material matter
and which the accused and the said
did not then believe to be true.

99. Article 134—(Public record: altering, concealing, removing, mutilating, obliterating, or destroying)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused altered, concealed, removed, mutilated, obliterated, destroyed, or took with the intent to alter, conceal, remove, mutilate, obliterate, or destroy, a certain public record;
- (2) That the act of the accused was willful and unlawful; and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. "Public records" include records, reports, statements, or data compilations, in any form, of public offices or agencies, setting forth the activities of the office or agency, or matters observed pursuant to duty imposed by law as to which

matters there was a duty to report. "Public records" includes classified matters.

- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that______ (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or about______ 20______, will-fully and unlawfully ((alter) (conceal) (remove) (mutilate) (obliterate) (destroy)) (take with intent to (alter)(conceal) (remove) (mutilate) (obliterate) (destroy)) a public record, to wit:_______.

100. Article 134—(Quarantine: medical, breaking)

a. Text. See paragraph 60.

b. Elements.

- (1) That a certain person ordered the accused into medical quarantine;
- (2) That the person was authorized to order the accused into medical quarantine;
- (3) That the accused knew of this medical quarantine and the limits thereof;
- (4) That the accused went beyond the limits of the medical quarantine before being released therefrom by proper authority; and
- (5) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. None.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Article 134—breaking restriction
 - (2) Article 80—attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Confinement for 6 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 6 months.
- f. Sample specification.

In that______ (personal jurisdiction data) having been placed in medical quarantine by a person authorized to order the accused into medical quarantine, did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or

about	20	, break
said medical	quarantine.	

100a. Article 134—(Reckless endangerment)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) That the accused did engage in conduct;
- (2) That the conduct was wrongful and reckless or wanton;
- (3) That the conduct was likely to produce death or grievous bodily harm to another person; and
- (4) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) *In general*. This offense is intended to prohibit and therefore deter reckless or wanton conduct that wrongfully creates a substantial risk of death or serious injury to others.
- (2) *Wrongfulness*. Conduct is wrongful when it is without legal justification or excuse.
- (3) Recklessness. "Reckless" conduct is conduct that exhibits a culpable disregard of foreseeable consequences to others from the act or omission involved. The accused need not intentionally cause a resulting harm or know that his conduct is substantially certain to cause that result. The ultimate question is whether, under all the circumstances, the accused's conduct was of that heedless nature that made it actually or imminently dangerous to the rights or safety of others.
- (4) Wantonness. "Wanton" includes "Reckless" but may connote willfulness, or a disregard of probable consequences, and thus describe a more aggravated offense.
- (5) *Likely to produce*. When the natural or probable consequence of particular conduct would be death or grievous bodily harm, it may be inferred that the conduct is "likely" to produce that result. *See* paragraph 54c(4)(a)(ii).
- (6) Grievous bodily harm. "Grievous bodily harm" means serious bodily injury. It does not include minor injuries, such as a black eye or a bloody nose, but does include fractured or dislocated bones, deep cuts, torn members of the body, serious damage to internal organs, and other serious bodily injuries.

- (7) *Death or injury not required.* It is not necessary that death or grievous bodily harm be actually inflicted to prove reckless endangerment.
- d. Lesser included offenses. None.
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal	jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on board-	-location) (sul	oject-matter
jurisdiction data, i	f required), on or
about 20		, wrong-
fully and recklessly engage	ge in conduct,	to wit:(he/
she)(describe conduct) and	d that the acc	used's con-
duct was likely to cause	death or ser	ious bodily
harm to		

101. Article 134—(Requesting commission of an offense)

Paragraph 101 is deleted pursuant to Executive Order 12708.

102. Article 134—(Restriction, breaking)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That a certain person ordered the accused to be restricted to certain limits;
- (2) That said person was authorized to order said restriction:
- (3) That the accused knew of the restriction and the limits thereof;
- (4) That the accused went beyond the limits of the restriction before being released therefrom by proper authority; and
- (5) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. Restriction is the moral restraint of a person imposed by an order directing a person to remain within certain specified limits. "Restriction" includes restriction under R.C.M. 304(a)(2), restriction resulting from imposition of either nonjudicial punishment (see Part V) or the sentence of a courtmartial (see R.C.M. 1003(b)(6)), and administrative restriction in the interest of training, operations, security, or safety.

- d. Lesser included offenses. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Confinement for 1 month and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 1 month.
- f. Sample specification.

In	that			(pe	rsonal	juri	sdict	ion
data),	having	been	res	tricte	d to	the	lim	its
of		, by	a	person	autho	orize	d to	do
so, die	d, (at/o	on bo	arc	l—loc	catio	n),	o n	or
about			20.				, bro	eak
said rest	triction.							

103. Article 134—(Seizure: destruction, removal, or disposal of property to prevent)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That one or more persons authorized to make searches and seizures were seizing, about to seize, or endeavoring to seize certain property;
- (2) That the accused destroyed, removed, or otherwise disposed of that property with intent to prevent the seizure thereof;
- (3) That the accused then knew that person(s) authorized to make searches were seizing, about to seize, or endeavoring to seize the property; and
- (4) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. *Explanation. See* Mil. R. Evid. 316(*e*) concerning military personnel who may make seizures. It is not a defense that a search or seizure was technically defective.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- f. Sample specification.

In that (personal juris-
diction data), did, (at/on board-location) (subject
matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or
about, with
intent to prevent its seizure, (destroy) (remove) (dis-
pose of), property which
as then knew, (a) person(s) author-
ized to make searches and seizures were (seizing)
(about to seize) (endeavoring to seize).

103a. Article 134—(Self-injury without intent to avoid service)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused intentionally inflicted injury upon himself or herself;
- (2) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

[Note: If the offense was committed in time of war or in a hostile fire pay zone, add the following element]

- (3) That the offense was committed (in time of war) (in a hostile fire pay zone).
- c. Explanation.
- (1) Nature of offense. This offense differs from malingering (see paragraph 40) in that for this offense, the accused need not have harbored a design to avoid performance of any work, duty, or service which may properly or normally be expected of one in the military service. This offense is characterized by intentional self-injury under such circumstances as prejudice good order and discipline or discredit the armed forces. It is not required that the accused be unable to perform duties, or that the accused actually be absent from his or her place of duty as a result of the injury. For example, the accused may inflict the injury while on leave or pass. The circumstances and extent of injury, however, are relevant to a determination that the accused's conduct was prejudicial to good order and discipline, or service-discrediting.
- (2) How injury inflicted. The injury may be inflicted by nonviolent as well as by violent means and may be accomplished by any act or omission that produces, prolongs, or aggravates a sickness or disability. Thus, voluntary starvation that results in a debility is a self-inflicted injury. Similarly, the injury may be inflicted by another at the accused's request.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) *Intentional self-inflicted injury*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- (2) Intentional self-inflicted injury in time of war or in a hostile fire pay zone. Dishonorable dis-

charge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.

f. Sample specification.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on boa	ard—location) (in a hostile fire
pay zone) on	or about
20,	(a time of war,) intentionally
injure himself/hersel	lf by (nature
and circumstances of	f injury).

104. Article 134—(Sentinel or lookout: offenses against or by)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) Disrespect to a sentinel or lookout.
- (a) That a certain person was a sentinel or lookout;
- (b) That the accused knew that said person was a sentinel or lookout;
- (c) That the accused used certain disrespectful language or behaved in a certain disrespectful manner:
- (d) That such language or behavior was wrongful;
- (e) That such language or behavior was directed toward and within the sight or hearing of the sentinel or lookout;
- (f) That said person was at the time in the execution of duties as a sentinel or lookout; and
- (g) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- (2) Loitering or wrongfully sitting on post by a sentinel or lookout.
- (a) That the accused was posted as a sentinel or lookout;
- (b) That while so posted, the accused loitered or wrongfully sat down on post; and
- (c) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

[Note: If the offense was committed in time of war or while the accused was receiving special pay under 37 U.S.C. § 310, add the following element after element (a): That the accused was so posted (in

time of war) (while receiving special pay under 37 U.S.C. § 310).]

- c. Explanation.
- (1) *Disrespect.* For a discussion of "disrespect," see paragraph 13c(3).
 - (2) Loitering or wrongfully sitting on post.
- (a) *In general*. The discussion set forth in paragraph 38c applies to loitering or sitting down while posted as a sentinel or lookout as well.
- (b) *Loiter*. "Loiter" means to stand around, to move about slowly, to linger, or to lag behind when that conduct is in violation of known instructions or accompanied by a failure to give complete attention to duty.
- d. Lesser included offenses.
- (1) Disrespect to a sentinel or lookout. Article 80—attempts
- (2) Loitering or wrongfully sitting on post by a sentinel or lookout. Article 80—attempts
- e. Maximum punishment.
- (1) Disrespect to a sentinel or lookout. Confinement for 3 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 3 months.
- (2) Loitering or wrongfully sitting on post by a sentinel or lookout.
- (a) In time of war or while receiving special pay under 37 U.S.C. § 310. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years.
- (b) *Other cases*. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- f. Sample specifications.
 - (1) Disrespect to a sentinel or lookout.

In that	(personal jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on	board-location), on or
about	20, then
knowing that	was a sentinel or
lookout, (wrongfully u	ise the following disrespectful
language "	," or words to that ef-
fect, to) (wrongfully behave in a
disrespectful mann	er toward
by) a	(sentinel) (lookout) in the ex-
ecution of his/her dut	ty.

(2) Loitering or wrongfully sitting down on post by a sentinel or lookout.

In that ______ (personal jurisdiction data), while posted as a (sentinel) (lookout),

did,	(at/on bo	oard–	–location) (1	while r	eceiv	ing	specia
pay	under	37	U.S.C.	§	310)	on	or	abou
			20				(a	time of
war)	(loiter)	(wro	ngfully s	it (lown)	on h	is/he	er post

105. Article 134—(Soliciting another to commit an offense)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused solicited or advised a certain person or persons to commit a certain offense under the code other than one of the four offenses named in Article 82;
- (2) That the accused did so with the intent that the offense actually be committed; and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. See paragraph 6c. If the offense solicited was actually committed, see also paragraph 1.
- d. Lesser included offenses. Article 80 -attempts.
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Any person subject to the code who is found guilty of soliciting or advising another person to commit an offense which, if committed by one subject to the code, would be punishable under the code, shall be subject to the maximum punishment authorized for the offense solicited or advised, except that in no case shall the death penalty be imposed nor shall the period of confinement in any case, including offenses for which life imprisonment may be adjudged, exceed 5 years. However, any person subject to the code who is found guilty of soliciting or advising another person to commit the offense of espionage (Article 106a) shall be subject to any punishment, other than death, that a court-martial may direct.

f. Sample specification.

In that		(personal	jurisdiction
data), did, (at/on	board—loc	cation) (su	bject-matter
jurisdiction d	ata, if	required	l), on or
about	20		, wrong-
fully (solicit) (advis	se)	(1	to disobey a
general regulation	, to wit:) (to
steal	, of	a value	of (about)
\$,	the p	roperty
of) (to)),
by			

106. Article 134—(Stolen property: knowingly receiving, buying, concealing)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused wrongfully received, bought, or concealed certain property of some value;
 - (2) That the property belonged to another person;
 - (3) That the property had been stolen;
- (4) That the accused then knew that the property had been stolen; and
- (5) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) *In general.* The actual thief is not criminally liable for receiving the property stolen; however a principal to the larceny (*see* paragraph 1), when not the actual thief, may be found guilty of knowingly receiving the stolen property but may not be found guilty of both the larceny and receiving the property.
- (2) *Knowledge*. Actual knowledge that the property was stolen is required. Knowledge may be proved by circumstantial evidence.
- (3) Wrongfulness. Receiving stolen property is wrongful if it is without justification or excuse. For example, it would not be wrongful for a person to receive stolen property for the purpose of returning it to its rightful owner, or for a law enforcement officer to seize it as evidence.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. Maximum punishment. Stolen property, knowingly receiving, buying, or concealing.
- (1) Of a value of \$500.00 or less. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- (2) Of a value of more than \$500.00. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 3 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that		_ (personal	jur	isdict	tion
data), did, (at/on	board—lo	ocation) (su	ıbjec	ct-ma	tter
jurisdiction d	ata, if	require	d),	o n	01
about		-			
fully (receive) (buy	(conceal)		, (of a
value of (about)	\$, 1	the	prope	erty

of,	which	propert	y, a	s he/s	he,	the
said	_, then	knew,	had	been	sto	len.

107. Article 134—(Straggling)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused, while accompanying the accuse's organization on a march, maneuvers, or similar exercise, straggled;
 - (2) That the straggling was wrongful; and
- (3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. *Explanation*. "Straggle" means to wander away, to stray, to become separated from, or to lag or linger behind.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Confinement for 3 months and forfeiture of two-thirds pay per month for 3 months.
- f. Sample specification.

In that		(pers	onal juris
diction data), did,	at		_, on o
about	20		, while
accompanying his/her	organization	on ((a march
(maneuvers) (_), w	rongfully
straggle.			

108. Article 134—(Testify: wrongful refusal)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused was in the presence of a court-martial, board of officer(s), military commission, court of inquiry, an officer conducting an investigation under Article 32, or an officer taking a deposition, of or for the United States, at which a certain person was presiding;
- (2) That the said person presiding directed the accused to qualify as a witness or, having so qualified, to answer a certain question;
- (3) That the accused refused to qualify as a witness or answer said question;
 - (4) That the refusal was wrongful; and
- (5) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and

discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

- c. *Explanation*. To "qualify as a witness" means that the witness declares that the witness will testify truthfully. *See* R.C.M. 807; Mil. R. Evid. 603. A good faith but legally mistaken belief in the right to remain silent does not constitute a defense to a charge of wrongful to testify. *See also* Mil. R. Evid. 301 and Section V.
- d. Lesser included offenses. None.
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specification.

In that (personal jurisdiction
data), being in the presence of (a) (an) ((general)
(special) (summary) court-martial) (board of offi-
cer(s)) (military commission) (court of inquiry) (of-
ficer conducting an investigation under Article 32,
Uniform Code of Military Justice) (officer taking a
deposition) () (of) (for) the United
States, of which was (military
judge) (president), (), (and having
been directed by the said to qualify
as a witness) (and having qualified as a witness and
having been directed by the saidto
answer the following question(s) put to him/her as a
witness, ""), did, (at/on board—lo-
cation), on or about
20, wrongfully refuse (to qualify
as a witness) (to answer said question(s)).

109. Article 134—(Threat or hoax: bomb)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
 - (1) Bomb threat.
- (a) That the accused communicated certain language;
- (b) That the language communicated amounted to a threat:
- (c) That the harm threatened was to be done by means of an explosive;
 - (d) That the communication was wrongful; and
- (e) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
 - (2) Bomb hoax.

- (a) That the accused communicated or conveyed certain information;
- (b) That the language or information concerned an attempt being made or to be made by means of an explosive to unlawfully kill, injure, or intimidate a person or to unlawfully damage or destroy certain property;
- (c) That the information communicated by the accused was false and that the accused then knew it was false;
- (d) That the communication of the information by the accused was malicious; and
- (e) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation.
- (1) Threat. A "threat" is an expressed present determination or intent to kill, injure, or intimidate a person or to damage or destroy certain property presently or in the future. Proof that the accused actually intended to kill, injure, intimidate, damage, or destroy is not required. See also paragraph 110.
- (2) Malicious. A communication is "malicious" if the accused believed that the information would probably interfere with the peaceful use of the building, vehicle, aircraft, or other property concerned, or would cause fear or concern to one or more persons.
 - (3) Explosive. See R.C.M. 103(11).
- d. Lesser included offenses.
 - (1) Bomb threat.
 - (a) Article 134—communicating a threat
 - (b) Article 80-attempts
 - (2) Bomb hoax. Article 80—attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Bomb threat and bomb hoax: Dishonorable discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 5 years.
- f. Sample specifications.
 - (1) Bomb threat.

In that (personal juris-
diction data) did, (at/on board-location) (subject-
matter jurisdiction data, if required) on or
about, wrong-
fully communicate certain language, to
wit:, which language constituted a
threat to harm a person or property by means of ar
explosive.

(2) Bomb hoax.

In that (personal juris-	fully communicate to a threa
diction data) did, (at/on board—location) (subject-	(injure
matter jurisdiction data, if required) on or	cuse of having committed the of-
about, mali-	fense of) ().
ciously (communicate) (convey) certain information	
concerning an attempt being made or to be made to	111. Article 134—(Unlawful entry)
unlawfully ((kill) (injure) (intimidate)	a. Text. See paragraph 60.
	b. Elements.
(destroy)) by means of an explosive, to wit:, which information	(1) That the accused entered the real property of
was false and which then knew to	another or certain personal property of another
be false.	which amounts to a structure usually used for habi-
	tation or storage;
110. Article 134—(Threat, communicating)	(2) That such entry was unlawful; and
a. Text. See paragraph 60.	(3) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of
b. Elements.	the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to
(1) That the accused communicated certain lan-	bring discredit upon the armed forces.
guage expressing a present determination or intent to	c. Explanation. See paragraph 55 for a discussion of
wrongfully injure the person, property, or reputation	"entry." An entry is "unlawful" if made without the
of another person, presently or in the future;	consent of any person authorized to consent to entry
(2) That the communication was made known to	or without other lawful authority. No specific inten-
that person or to a third person;	or breaking is required for this offense. See para-
(3) That the communication was wrongful; and	graph 56 for a discussion of housebreaking. The
(4) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of	property protected against unlawful entry includes
the accused was to the prejudice of good order and	real property and the sort of personal property which amounts to a structure usually used for habitation or
discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.	storage. It would usually not include an aircraft
c. <i>Explanation</i> . To establish the threat it is not nec-	automobile, tracked vehicle, or a person's locker
essary that the accused actually intended to do the	even though used for storage purposes. However
injury threatened. However, a declaration made	depending on the circumstances, an intrusion into
under circumstances which reveal it to be in jest or	such property may be prejudicial to good order and
for an innocent or legitimate purpose, or which con-	discipline.
tradict the expressed intent to commit the act, does	d. Lesser included offense. Article 80—attempts
not constitute this offense. Nor is the offense com-	e. Maximum punishment. Bad-conduct discharge
mitted by the mere statement of intent to commit an	forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confine-
unlawful act not involving injury to another. See also paragraph 109 concerning bomb threat.	ment for 6 months.
d. Lesser included offenses.	f. Sample specification.
(1) Article 117—provoking speeches or gestures	In that (personal jurisdiction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-matter
(2) Article 80—attempts	jurisdiction data, if required), on or
e. <i>Maximum punishment</i> . Dishonorable discharge,	about, unlaw-
forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confine-	fully enter the (dwelling house) (garage) (ware-
ment for 3 years.	house) (tent) (vegetable garden) (orchard)
f. Sample specification.	(stateroom) () of
In that (personal juris-	440 Audulu 404 (M)
diction data), did, (at/on board—location) (subject-	112. Article 134—(Weapon: concealed,
matter jurisdiction data, if required), on or	carrying)
about, wrong-	a. Text. See paragraph 60.

b. Elements.

- (1) That the accused carried a certain weapon concealed on or about the accused's person;
 - (2) That the carrying was unlawful;
- (3) That the weapon was a dangerous weapon; and
- (4) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.

c. Explanation.

- (1) Concealed weapon. A weapon is concealed when it is carried by a person and intentionally covered or kept from sight.
- (2) Dangerous weapon. For purposes of this paragraph, a weapon is dangerous if it was specifically designed for the purpose of doing grievous bodily harm, or it was used or intended to be used by the accused to do grievous bodily harm.
- (3) On or about. "On or about" means the weapon was carried on the accused's person or was within the immediate reach of the accused.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 1 year.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	(perso	(personal jurisdiction				
data), did, (at/o	n board—location)	(subject-matter				
jurisdiction	data, if requ	ired), on o				
about	20	, unlaw-				

•	-				his/her	person	a	concealed
wean	on, to	wi	t: a	ì		_		

113. Article 134—(Wearing unauthorized insignia, decoration, badge, ribbon, device, or lapel button)

- a. Text. See paragraph 60.
- b. Elements.
- (1) That the accused wore a certain insignia, decoration, badge, ribbon, device, or lapel button upon the accused's uniform or civilian clothing;
- (2) That the accused was not authorized to wear the item;
 - (3) That the wearing was wrongful; and
- (4) That, under the circumstances, the conduct of the accused was to the prejudice of good order and discipline in the armed forces or was of a nature to bring discredit upon the armed forces.
- c. Explanation. None.
- d. Lesser included offense. Article 80-attempts
- e. *Maximum punishment*. Bad-conduct discharge, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 6 months.
- f. Sample specification.

In that	(per	sonal j	jurisdic	tion
data), did, (at/on	board—lo	cation	n), on	or
about	20		, wro	ng-
fully and without auth	ority wear u	ipon hi	s/her (uni-
form) (civilian clothin	g) (the insig	nia or	grade o	of a
(master sergeant of_) (chief g	gun-
ner's mate of)) (Comba	t Infan	ıtry-
man Badge) (the Dist	inguished Se	ervice (Cross)	(the
ribbon representing the	Silver Star)	(the la	apel bu	tton
representing th	ne Legio	$n o \ f$	Mer	it)
().				